Antibiotic-Resistant Organisms: Screening, Isolation, and Decolonization

CADTH Project in Brief

Context

Bacterial resistance to antibiotics is an increasing problem. Common antibiotic-resistant organisms (AROs) include:

- Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE)
- Bacteria that produce extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL)
- Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA).

Technology

Prevention and control measures for AROs may include:

- Screening (should everyone be tested to find out if they are carrying AROs?)
- Isolation (should patients or residents who are carrying AROs be separated from other people?)
- Decolonization (should people who carry AROs without getting sick be given treatment to eliminate the AROs?).

Issue

AROs lead to increased use of hospital resources, but prevention and control strategies also use resources, so there is demand for these strategies to be evidence-based and cost-effective. Some strategies may also affect patient well-being (e.g., psychological effect of isolation).

Methods

This project had three components:

- Full review of VRE and ESBL, including a review of the health services impact
- Smaller review of MRSA (recognizing much work has already been done in this area)
- Reference lists for related questions on risk factors for the carriage of AROs.

Key Findings

Screening

- For VRE, screening of high-risk patients may reduce spread.
- For ESBL-producing organisms, insufficient evidence was found.
- For MRSA, evidence for different screening strategies was inconsistent and inconclusive.

Isolation

 For VRE, ESBL-producing organisms, and MRSA, isolation and contact precautions may reduce spread but can be associated with depression in hospitalized patients.

Decolonization

 For MRSA, topical mupirocin (together with surveillance) reduced colonization and infection rates.

Health Services Impact

 Patients infected or colonized with VRE or ESBL-producing organisms use more hospital resources through increased length of hospital stay, blocking of beds and rooms, and increased time devoted to direct patient care by health care workers.

Results

From a total of 1,672 citations reviewed, 10 studies were included in the VRE and ESBL report, and 21 in the MRSA report. Almost all were observational studies. It is difficult to draw conclusions based on the limitations of the available evidence.

For complete reports on this topic, please visit www.cadth.ca.

DISCLAIMER: The information in this Project in Brief is intended to help health care decision-makers, patients, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. The information in this Project in Brief should not be used as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process nor is it intended to replace professional medical advice. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the Project in Brief to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete, and up-to-date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not responsible for any errors or omissions or injury, loss, or damage arising from or as a result of the use (or misuse) of any information contained in or implied by the information in this Project in Brief.

CADTH takes sole responsibility for the final form and content of this Project in Brief. The statements, conclusions, and views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the view of Health Canada or any provincial or territorial government. Production of this Project in Brief is made possible through a financial contribution from Health Canada.