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RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of routine and daily blood tests for patients in the intensive care unit?

2. What is the cost-effectiveness of routine and daily blood tests for patients in the intensive care unit?

3. What are the evidence-based guidelines for routine and daily blood tests for patients in the intensive care unit?

KEY MESSAGE

Five non-randomized studies and one economic evaluation were identified regarding the clinical and cost-effectiveness of routine and daily blood tests for patients in the intensive care unit. No evidence-based guidelines were found.

METHODS

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, Medline, The Cochrane Library (2013, Issue 6), University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2003 and August 2, 2013. Internet links were provided, where available.

Disclaimer: The Rapid Response Service is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. Rapid responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. Rapid responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

Copyright: This report contains CADTH copyright material and may contain material in which a third party owns copyright. This report may be used for the purposes of research or private study only. It may not be copied, posted on a web site, redistributed by email or stored on an electronic system without the prior written permission of CADTH or applicable copyright owner.

Links: This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners’ own terms and conditions.
The summary of findings was prepared from the abstracts of the relevant information. Please note that data contained in abstracts may not always be an accurate reflection of the data contained within the full article.

RESULTS

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, economic evaluations, and evidence-based guidelines.

Five non-randomized studies and one economic evaluation were identified regarding the clinical and cost-effectiveness of routine and daily blood tests for patients in the intensive care unit (ICU). No health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, or evidence-based guidelines were identified. Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

OVERALL SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Five non-randomized studies (NRS)\(^1\)-\(^5\) examined routine and daily blood testing in the ICU. Three studies\(^1\),\(^2\),\(^5\) implemented strategies to prevent long-term standing orders for routine biochemistry and hematology blood tests. All three studies found that the number of routine lab tests decreased significantly, resulting in less iatrogenic anemia and substantial cost savings, without compromising patient outcomes. One NRS\(^3\) tracked routine blood tests performed consecutively and daily in the ICU, and documented the normality of the results. Almost 50% of the results were normal and 32% were consecutively normal. The authors questioned the practice of ordering consecutive routine blood tests that might not affect decision-making, could result in more transfusions, and add to the total health care costs. The fifth NRS\(^4\) examined the practice of routine serial arterial blood gas (ABG) measurements in extubated patients in the ICU. The authors concluded that serial ABG measurements at one and three hours post-extubation were not useful.

One UK economic evaluation\(^6\) on daily blood tests for patients in the ICU, implemented an order chart requiring medical personnel to specify required blood tests each day, for the following day. The implementation of this strategy resulted in a 33% net reduction in tests ordered, with a savings of approximately £18,000 per year. The evaluation did not study patient outcomes.

Overall, the included studies suggest that the ordering of routine and daily blood tests in the ICU could be limited without compromising patient safety, while providing substantial cost savings. No evidence-based guidelines regarding routine and daily blood testing in ICU patients were identified.
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