



**TITLE: Sensory Integration Theory Interventions for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders: Clinical Evidence**

**DATE:** 02 February 2011

## **RESEARCH QUESTION**

What is the clinical evidence for the use of sensory integration theory interventions for children with or at risk of being diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder?

## **KEY MESSAGE**

Available evidence regarding the clinical efficacy of sensory integration theory interventions for children with autism spectrum disorder is inconsistent. Further evidence is required before sensory integration therapies could be considered non-experimental.

## **METHODS**

A limited literature search was conducted on key health technology assessment resources, including PubMed, EBSCO CINHAL, Ovid PsychINFO, the Cochrane Library (Issue 1, 2011) University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, ECRI (Health Devices Gold), EuroScan, international health technology agencies, and a focused Internet search. The search was limited to English language articles published between January 1, 2001 and January 20, 2011. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Internet links were provided, where available.

The summary of findings was prepared from the abstracts of the relevant information. Please note that data contained in abstracts may not always be an accurate reflection of the data contained within the full article.

## **RESULTS**

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are

**Disclaimer:** The Rapid Response Service is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. Rapid responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. Rapid responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

**Copyright:** This report contains CADTH copyright material and may contain material in which a third party owns copyright. **This report may be used for the purposes of research or private study only.** It may not be copied, posted on a web site, redistributed by email or stored on an electronic system without the prior written permission of CADTH or applicable copyright owner.

**Links:** This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners' own terms and conditions.

presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, and evidence-based guidelines.

The literature search identified one health technology assessment report, five systematic reviews, seven non-randomized studies, and two evidence-based guidelines concerning the clinical efficacy of sensory integration theory interventions for children with autism spectrum disorder. No randomized controlled trials were identified. Additional information that may be of interest can be found in the appendix.

**OVERALL SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Available evidence regarding the clinical efficacy of sensory integration theory interventions (SIT) for children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is inconsistent. In two studies,<sup>2,9</sup> it was unclear whether the interventions described were consistent with SIT. One health technology assessment<sup>1</sup> found no studies supporting the effectiveness of SIT. Three systematic reviews<sup>3,5,6</sup> found limited and inconsistent evidence regarding SIT. Two other systematic reviews<sup>2,4</sup> provided limited support for the use of auditory integration training (AIT), while another two<sup>5,6</sup> concluded that AIT was unlikely to be more beneficial than unmodified music. Three non-randomized studies<sup>9,10,11</sup> found some benefit to children with ASD using various types of SIT, whereas two<sup>8,12</sup> found no differences, and two<sup>7,13</sup> found that SIT either had detrimental effects or produced results inferior to the control group. One national guideline<sup>14</sup> from New Zealand recommended that sensory programs should only be used for children with ASD who have sensory processing difficulties interfering with their education, and that such programs should be discontinued if progress is not apparent. A guideline<sup>15</sup> group from the United Kingdom chose not to make a recommendation concerning SIT for children with ASD and recommended against the use of AIT.

Further details regarding the included studies is contained in Table 1.

<b>Table 1: Details of the Included Studies</b>			
<b>Author, Year, Study type</b>	<b>Objectives, population</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>
Ludwig & Harstall, 2001 HTA <sup>1</sup>	To review the published research on the effectiveness of IBI programs for children with ASD.	This HTA included one review addressing physiologically based programs. Research on the efficacy of SIT showed no studies supporting effectiveness. AIT was not well accepted by the professional community; one research study did not provide support for the program.	No studies were found supporting the effectiveness of SIT or AIT.
Rossignol, 2009 SR <sup>2</sup>	To systematically review evidence on novel and emerging treatments for ASD. (population not specified)	Novel treatments were ranked based on the level of evidence supporting them. Treatments which may have incorporated SIT theories include Music Therapy (Grade A evidence), AIT, massage and neurofeedback (all Grade C evidence).	The reviewed treatments for ASD were commonly used, and some were supported by RCTs or SRs. Music Therapy was included in the author's listing of promising treatments.

**Table 1: Details of the Included Studies**

Author, Year, Study type	Objectives, population	Results	Conclusions
Ospina et al., 2008 SR <sup>3</sup>	<p>“To identify, appraise, and synthesize the evidence of the effects of[...]behavioural and developmental interventions for improving core symptoms associated with ASD.”</p> <p>All studies reviewed in the sensory motor intervention section were conducted on children.</p>	<p>Six studies of SIT vs. no treatment reported statistically significant results for stereotypic behaviours, off-task behaviours, and touch aversion. Results for communication-related outcomes were contradictory, and no effect was reported for intellectual functioning. No studies were found comparing SIT to other active interventions. Two studies on creative dance and horse riding reported significant social gains. No studies evaluated effects over the long-term; therefore the sustainability of these changes is unknown.</p>	<p>The authors concluded that the evidence is either limited or inconsistent for sensory motor interventions to support their use in clinical practice.</p>
Sinha et al., 2004 SR <sup>4</sup>	<p>“To determine the effectiveness of AIT or other methods of sound therapy in individuals with ASD.” Patients in included trials ranged from age 3 to 39.</p>	<p>Seventeen different outcome measures were used with only two outcomes common in three or more studies. Three studies did not demonstrate any benefit of AIT over unmodified music. Three trials reported improvements in total ABC score at three months for the AIT group. One study also reported improvements at three months in the AIT group for ABC subgroup scores. No significant adverse effects of AIT were reported.</p>	<p>The authors concluded that further research was required to determine the effectiveness of sound therapies. In the absence of evidence, the treatment must be considered experimental and care must be taken not to risk hearing loss.</p>
Tochel, 2003 SR <sup>5</sup>	<p>To determine the efficacy of SIT and AIT in children with ASD.</p>	<p>One RCT compared SIT to fine motor activities. No differences were found between groups for function, articulation, total language score or rate of vocalization, whereas differences in favour of the control group were found for variety, average length, and autistic speech. Three RCTs compared AIT to unmodified music. The largest and smallest found no difference between groups, whereas the third found a significantly greater improvement in the AIT group for ABC and FAPC scores.</p>	<p>The authors concluded that insufficient evidence about the clinical effects of SIT in children with ASD was found and that, while AIT may be associated with improvement in some scores, it is unlikely to be more effective than unprocessed music.</p>

**Table 1: Details of the Included Studies**

Author, Year, Study type	Objectives, population	Results	Conclusions
Baranek, 2002 SR <sup>6</sup>	To “evaluate the scientific basis of sensory and motor interventions used with children with autism.”	Three studies regarding the efficacy of classical SIT, two regarding sensorimotor interventions, and nine studies regarding AIT were found. Results were inconsistent.	The author concluded that several studies in the area of SIT, sensory stimulation, and AIT yielded some positive but modest outcomes; however, method constraints limit conclusive statements and generalizability. For AIT, a few well-controlled studies have been recently conducted but showed little overwhelming support for the treatment.
Devlin et al., 2010 NRS <sup>7</sup>	“To compare the effects of SIT and a behavioural intervention on rates of challenging behaviour (including self-injurious behaviour) in four children diagnosed with ASD.”	For each participant, results demonstrated that the behavioural intervention was more effective than the SIT in the treatment of challenging behaviour.	The behavioural intervention of this study was more effective than the SIT in the treatment of challenging behaviour.
Reichow et al., 2010, NRS <sup>8</sup>	To research the effect of weighted vests on engagement for children with autism and developmental delays.	There was no differentiation in engagement between the three different conditions: weighted vest, vest with no weight (placebo), and no vest (baseline).	There was no differentiation in engagement between conditions for any of the participants.
Wuang et al., 2010, NRS <sup>9</sup>	“To investigate the effectiveness of a 20-week SDHRP[...]on the motor proficiency and sensory integrative functions of 60 children with autism” (aged 6-8).	Children with autism in this study showed improved motor proficiency and sensory integrative functions after 20-week SDHRP ( $p < .01$ ). The therapeutic effect appeared to be sustained for at least 24 weeks.	Children with autism showed sustained improvement in motor proficiency and sensory integrative functions after 20-week SDHRP.
Klyczek, 2009 NRS <sup>10</sup>	To examine the efficacy of a 10-week SIT intervention in nine children with AS or PDD-NOS.	Six children completed the study. Following intervention, significant improvements were identified in sensory processing, modulation of sensory input, praxis and balance compared to pre-intervention findings.	Authors concluded that the study provided preliminary quantitative evidence that SIT may be useful to improve the sensory and motor skills that are identified in children with AS and PDD-NOS

**Table 1: Details of the Included Studies**

Author, Year, Study type	Objectives, population	Results	Conclusions
Fazioglu et al., 2008 NRS <sup>11</sup>	"To investigate the effect of an SIT program on sensory problems" of 30 children (aged 7-11) with autism.	Children in each group were assessed initially and after the study period on a checklist, Sensory Evaluation Form for Children with Autism. Statistically significant differences between groups indicated that the SIT program positively affected treated children.	The SIT program studied positively affected treated children.
Watling & Dietz, 2007, NRS <sup>12</sup>	To "examine the effects of Ayres' sensory integration intervention on the behaviour and task engagement of four young children with ASD."	No clear patterns of change in undesired behaviour or task management emerged through objective measurement. Subjective data suggested that each child exhibited positive changes during and after intervention.	The authors concluded that when effects were measured immediately after intervention, short-term Ayres's SIT did not differ from a play scenario. However, subjective data suggested that it may produce an effect during treatment sessions and in home environments
Kane et al., 2004, NRS <sup>13</sup>	"To evaluate the effects of wearing a weighted vest on stereotypy and attention to task in four children with ASD/PDD."	Behaviours were measured during 10-minute sessions under baseline (no vest), weighted vest, and vest without weight conditions. For all children, wearing the weighted vest did not reduce stereotypy or increase attention to task, and with three of them it appeared to have a negative influence.	Weighted vests did not reduce stereotypy or increase attention in any of the four children and may have had a negative effect in three of them.
NZGG, 2008 EBG <sup>14</sup>	To create a guideline intended to provide guidance on autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in both children and adults in New Zealand	Based on one SR, results for sensory and motor interventions, including SIT, for children with ASD were inconsistent and changes were modest. It was difficult to tell whether benefits were from an intervention or from learning skills associated with the intervention (such as play-coaching, structured teaching and attention skills	Sensory programs should only be used in consultation with experts such as OTs for children with ASD whose sensory processing difficulties interfere with their education. These strategies should be monitored carefully and discontinued if some progress is not apparent in 6 to 12 weeks.

**Table 1: Details of the Included Studies**

Author, Year, Study type	Objectives, population	Results	Conclusions
SIGN, 2007 EBG <sup>15</sup>	To create a national guideline for the assessment, diagnosis and clinical interventions for children and young people with autism spectrum disorders	Two thirds of the studies identified through two systematic reviews found showed no benefit for AIT. No evidence was cited concerning SIT or OT, though the guideline states that OT may benefit children and young people with ASD for generic indications.	AIT is not recommended for children with ASD. Insufficient evidence was found to support an evidence-based recommendation in favour or against the use of occupational therapies, including SIT, for children with ASD.

ABC, Aberrant Behaviour Checklist; AIT, Auditory Integration Training; AS, Asperger's Syndrome; ASD, Autism Spectrum Disorder; EBG, Evidence-Based Guideline; FAPC, Fisher's Auditory Problems Checklist; HTA, Health Technology Assessment; IBI, Intensive Behavioural Intervention; NRS, Non-Randomized Study; NZGG, New Zealand Guidelines Group; PDD-NOS, Pervasive Developmental Disorder-Not Otherwise Specified; RCT, Randomized Controlled Trial; SDHRP, Simulated Developmental Horse-Riding Program; SIB, Self-Injurious Behaviour; SIGN, Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network; SIT, Sensory Integration Theory/Therapy; SR, Systematic Review

## REFERENCES SUMMARIZED

### Health technology assessments

1. Ludwig S, Harstall C. Intensive intervention programs for children with autism [Internet]. Edmonton: Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research; 2001 Feb. [cited 2011 Jan 31]. Available from: [http://www.ihe.ca/documents/HTA8\\_WEB\\_FINAL.pdf](http://www.ihe.ca/documents/HTA8_WEB_FINAL.pdf)  
*Note: See Physiologically oriented intervention program "Sensory Integration" and "Auditory Integration Training", pg 25.*

### Systematic reviews and meta-analyses

2. Rossignol DA. Novel and emerging treatments for autism spectrum disorders: a systematic review. *Ann Clin Psychiatry*. 2009 Oct;21(4):213-36.  
[PubMed: PM19917212](#)
3. Ospina MB, Seida JK, Clark B, Karkhaneh M, Hartling L, Tjosvold L, Vandermeer B, Smith V. Behavioural and developmental interventions for autism spectrum disorder: a clinical systematic review [Internet]. *PLoS ONE* 2008 [cited 2011 Jan 31]; 3(11): e3755.  
<http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0003755>  
Structured abstract available from:  
<http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/CRDWeb/ShowRecord.asp?ID=12009101694>  
*Note: See Summary of Findings: Sensory Motor Interventions and Discussion: Sensory Motor Interventions.*
4. Sinha Y, Silove N, Wheeler DM, Williams KJ. Auditory integration training and other sound therapies for autism spectrum disorders. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* [Internet]. 2004 [cited 2011 Jan 31];(1): CD003681.  
[http://www.cochranejournalclub.com/SSRIs-for-autism-sepctrum-disorders-clinical/pdf/CD003681\\_standard.pdf](http://www.cochranejournalclub.com/SSRIs-for-autism-sepctrum-disorders-clinical/pdf/CD003681_standard.pdf)
5. Tochel C. Sensory or auditory integration therapy for children with autistic spectrum disorders [Internet]. London: Wessex Institute for Health Research and Development; 2003. (STEER; vol.3 n.17). [cited 2011 Jan 31]. Available from:  
[http://www.wihrd.soton.ac.uk/projx/signpost/steers/STEER\\_2003%2817%29.pdf](http://www.wihrd.soton.ac.uk/projx/signpost/steers/STEER_2003%2817%29.pdf)  
Structured abstract available from :  
<http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/CRDWeb/ShowRecord.asp?View=Full&ID=32004000133>
6. Baranek GT. Efficacy of sensory and motor interventions for children with autism [Internet]. *J Autism Dev Disord* [Internet]. 2002 Oct [cited 2011 Jan 31];32(5):397-422. Available from: <http://www.springerlink.com/content/x484004h67147n37/>  
*Note: See Sensory Integration Therapy, pg 406*

### Randomized controlled trials

No literature identified.

### Non-randomized studies

7. Devlin S, Healy O, Leader G, Hughes BM. Comparison of Behavioral Intervention and Sensory-Integration Therapy in the Treatment of Challenging Behavior. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2010 Dec 14.  
[PubMed: PM21161577](#)
8. Reichow B, Barton EE, Sewell JN, Good L, Wolery M. Effects of weighted vests on the engagement of children with developmental delays and autism. *Focus Autism Dev Disabilities.* 2010;25(1):3-11.
9. Wuang YP, Wang CC, Huang MH, Su CY. The effectiveness of simulated developmental horse-riding program in children with autism. *Adapt Phys Activ Q.* 2010 Apr;27(2):113-26.  
[PubMed: PM20440023](#)
10. Klyczek KR. The efficacy of sensory integration therapy on children with Asperger's syndrome and pervasive developmental disorder-not otherwise specified [dissertation] State University of New York at Buffalo; 2009.
11. Fazlioglu Y, Baran G. A sensory integration therapy program on sensory problems for children with autism. *Percept Mot Skills.* 2008 Apr;106(2):415-22.  
[PubMed: PM18556898](#)
12. Watling RL, Dietz J. Immediate effect of Ayres's sensory integration-based occupational therapy intervention on children with autism spectrum disorders. *Am J Occup Ther.* 2007 Sep;61(5):574-83.  
[PubMed: PM17944295](#)
13. Kane A, Luiselli JK, Dearborn S, Young N. Wearing a weighted vest as intervention for children with autism/pervasive developmental disorder: Behavioral assessment of stereotypy and attention to task. *The Scientific Review of Mental Health Practice.* 2004;3(2):19-24.

### Evidence-based Guidelines and Recommendations

14. New Zealand autism spectrum disorder guideline [Internet]. Wellington (NZ): Ministries of Health and Education; 2008. [cited 2011 Jan 31]. Available from:  
[http://www.nzgg.org.nz/guidelines/0062/ASD\\_Guideline.pdf](http://www.nzgg.org.nz/guidelines/0062/ASD_Guideline.pdf)  
*Note: See Sections 3.2.c Sensory-motor Development, pg 108 and 4.5 Other Interventions*
15. Assessment, diagnosis and clinical interventions for children and young people with autism spectrum disorders: A national clinical guideline [Internet]. Edinburgh: Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network; 2007 Jul. (SIGN 98). [cited 2011 Jan 31]. Available from:  
<http://www.sign.ac.uk/pdf/sign98.pdf>  
*Note: See Sections 5.3.3 Auditory Integration Training, pg18 and 5.3.6 Occupational Therapy, pg 19*

**PREPARED BY:**

Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health

Tel: 1-866-898-8439

[www.cadth.ca](http://www.cadth.ca)

**APPENDIX – FURTHER INFORMATION:**

**Review articles**

16. Quigley SP, Peterson L, Frieder JE, Peterson S. Effects of a weighted vest on problem behaviors during functional analyses in children with Pervasive Developmental Disorders. *Res Autism Spectr Disord.* 2011;5(1):529-38.
17. Stephenson J, Carter M. The use of weighted vests with children with autism spectrum disorders and other disabilities. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2009 Jan;39(1):105-14.  
[PubMed: PM18592366](#)
18. Case-Smith J, Arbesman M. Evidence-based review of interventions for autism used in or of relevance to occupational therapy. *Am J Occup Ther.* 2008 Jul;62(4):416-29.  
[PubMed: PM18712004](#)
19. Schechtman MA. Scientifically unsupported therapies in the treatment of young children with autism spectrum disorders. *Psychiatr Ann.* 2007;37(9):639-45.
20. Roberts J, Prior M. A Review of the research to identify the most effective models of practice in early intervention for children with autism spectrum disorders [Internet]. Canberra: Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing; 2006. [cited 2011 Jan 31]. Available from:  
[http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/846804F6D67F34F3CA257280007853DE/\\$File/autrev.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/846804F6D67F34F3CA257280007853DE/$File/autrev.pdf)  
*Note: See Sensory Integration Therapy, page 62-64*
21. Marwick H, Dunlop A-W, MacKay T. National Centre for Autism Studies literature review of autism for HM Inspectorate of Education [Internet]. Edinburgh: HM Inspectorate of Education; 2005 May. [cited 2011 Jan 31]. Available from:  
<http://www.hmie.gov.uk/documents/publication/HMIE%20Literature%20Review.pdf>  
*Note: See Sensory and Motor Approaches, page 54.*
22. Schaaf RC, Miller LJ. Occupational therapy using a sensory integrative approach for children with developmental disabilities. *Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev.* 2005;11(2):143-8. [PubMed: PM15977314](#)

**Studies on the prevalence of sensory integration therapy**

23. Wong VC. Use of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) in autism spectrum disorder (ASD): comparison of Chinese and western culture (Part A). *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2009 Mar;39(3):454-63.  
[PubMed: PM18784992](#)
24. Green VA, Pituch KA, Itchon J, Choi A, O'Reilly M, Sigafos J. Internet survey of treatments used by parents of children with autism. *Res Dev Disabil.* 2006 Jan;27(1):70-84. [PubMed: PM15919178](#)

**Additional references**

25. English summary: Weighted blankets and vests: safety, efficacy and issues related to their use in different intervention settings [Internet]. Quebec (QC): Conseil du médicament et à l'Agence d'évaluation des technologies et des modes d'intervention en santé (AETMIS); 2010 Oct. [cited 2011 Jan 29]. Available from: <http://www.aetmis.gouv.qc.ca/site/250.1245.0.0.1.0.phtml>
26. Sensory and auditory integration therapy [Internet]. Hartford (CT): Aetna; 2010 May 14. (Clinical Policy Bulletin: no. 0256). [cited 2011 Jan 29]. Available from: [http://www.aetna.com/cpb/medical/data/200\\_299/0256.html](http://www.aetna.com/cpb/medical/data/200_299/0256.html)
27. Rodger S, Ashburner J, Cartmill L, Bourke-Taylor H. Helping children with autism spectrum disorders and their families: Are we losing our occupation-centred focus? *Aust Occup Ther J*. 2010;57(4):276-80.
28. Law, M. Autism spectrum disorders and occupational therapy: briefing to the Senate Standing Committee on Social Affairs, Science and Technology [Internet]. Ottawa: Canadian Association of Occupational Therapists; 2006 Nov 9. [cited 2011 Jan 31]. Available from: <http://www.caot.ca/pdfs/Autism%20Brief%20Nov%2006.pdf>  
*Note: See Sensory Motor Integration, pg 6.*