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RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the clinical evidence regarding the safety of devices for the securement of patients for transport in the pre-hospital setting?

2. What are the evidence-based guidelines regarding securement of patients for transport in the pre-hospital setting?

KEY FINDINGS

One evidence-based guideline was identified regarding securement of children for transport in the pre-hospital setting.

METHODS

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, The Cochrane Library (2015, Issue 1), University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2010 and January 7, 2015. Internet links were provided, where available.

The summary of findings was prepared from the abstracts of the relevant information. Please note that data contained in abstracts may not always be an accurate reflection of the data contained within the full article.

SELECTION CRITERIA

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

Disclaimer: The Rapid Response Service is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. Rapid responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. Rapid responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

Copyright: This report contains CADTH copyright material and may contain material in which a third party owns copyright. This report may be used for the purposes of research or private study only. It may not be copied, posted on a web site, redistributed by email or stored on an electronic system without the prior written permission of CADTH or applicable copyright owner.

Links: This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners’ own terms and conditions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Selection Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comparator</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcomes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study Designs</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS**

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, and evidence-based guidelines.

One evidence-based guideline was identified regarding securement of children for transport in the pre-hospital setting. No relevant health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, or non-randomized studies regarding the safety of devices for the securement of patients for transport in the pre-hospital setting were identified.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

**OVERALL SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration of the U.S. Department of Transportation produced an evidence-based guideline in 2012 regarding the recommended best practices for the transport of children in ground ambulances. The guideline provides recommendations for a variety of situations involving the transport of ill or injured children in ambulances. In all cases, except when a spineboard is required, the ideal position is for the child to be secured in an appropriately-sized child restraint system that complies with federal standards for child restraint; this restraint system would then be secured on a cot. If a child restraint system is not available, the recommendation is that the child should be secured directly to the cot, head first, with three horizontal restraints (across the chest, waist, and knees) and a vertical restraint across each shoulder. When the child requires a spineboard, the spineboard should be secured to the cot, head first, and tethered at the foot. The spineboard should then be secured to the cot with three horizontal restraints across the torso and vertical restraints across each shoulder, as detailed above. The guideline provides pictures of the ideal positions and restraint systems, and also provides alternate positions to consider when the ideal position is not practical.

No evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding the transport of adult patients in ambulances, although a guideline with unknown methodology that provides information regarding adult patients is provided in the Appendix.
REFERENCES SUMMARIZED

Health Technology Assessments
No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses
No literature identified.

Randomized Controlled Trials
No literature identified.

Non-Randomized Studies
No literature identified.

Guidelines and Recommendations

   See: Section 7.0 The Recommendations, pages 15 -19
      Appendices C,D, and E, pages 38 - 43
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APPENDIX – FURTHER INFORMATION:

Guidelines and Recommendations – Methodology Unknown

   See: Section on Safety Requirements for Stretcher Service, pages 24 - 28
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