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RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of telecare programs for the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, or hypertension?

2. What are the evidence-based guidelines regarding the use of telecare programs for the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, or hypertension?

KEY MESSAGE

Telecare programs appear to be effective for the management of hypertension. The effectiveness of these programs for the management of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is varied.

METHODS

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, The Cochrane Library (2011, Issue 4), University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. Methodological filters were applied to limit retrieval to health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials and guidelines. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between Jan 1, 2008 and May 13, 2011. Internet links were provided, where available.

The summary of findings was prepared from the abstracts of the relevant information. Please note that data contained in abstracts may not always be an accurate reflection of the data contained within the full article.
RESULTS

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials and evidence-based guidelines.

Eight systematic reviews, 16 randomized controlled trials, and one evidence-based guideline were identified regarding the effectiveness of telecare programs for the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, or hypertension. No relevant health technology assessments were identified. Additional articles of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

OVERALL SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The results regarding telecare for COPD were mixed. Three reports\(^2,9,10\) concluded that there were no negative effects on patients resulting from the use of telecare. The authors of one systematic review\(^1\) determined that individual studies appeared to show improvement with telecare, but there was a potential for bias within the studies. A review that focused on respiratory conditions concluded that telemonitoring resulted in early identification of changes in symptoms and patient condition.\(^8\) Another review that covered multiple conditions\(^5\) reported significant improvements across patient outcomes and quality of life for patients receiving telecare. Finally, one systematic review suggested that the use of telecare may help reduce demands on healthcare resources in patients with diabetes, pulmonary and cardiovascular diseases.\(^7\)

For patients with asthma, the use of telecare did not result in significant improvements or changes to health-related quality of life.\(^3,11,12,14\) One study observed a decrease in hospital readmissions for patients receiving telephone self-management.\(^13\)

The results regarding telecare for the management of hypertension were also varied. The majority of included studies\(^4,7,16-18\) reported greater reductions in blood pressure in patients in the telemonitoring groups. One study\(^15\) found no change in effectiveness between treatment regimens, and one study\(^24\) found the blood pressure values to be similar between groups. Patients receiving telecare showed improved adherence to lifestyle changes\(^19\) and were better able to control their blood pressure.\(^21,22\) Some individuals require more support than others when using these types of systems.\(^20\)

The results of the included studies are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Author’s conclusions or recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bolton et al.(^1)</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Various telemonitoring interventions</td>
<td>Telemonitoring interventions studied were quite varied among studies. Individual study results were positive but the authors concluded there was insufficient evidence to prove a benefit of telemonitoring for COPD. More study is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polisena et al.(^2)</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Home telehealth (telemonitoring)</td>
<td>Home telehealth reduced rates of hospitalization and ED visits. Mortality rate was greater in the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 1: Summary of Included Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Author’s conclusions or recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lewis et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Standard care vs standard care + home telemonitoring</td>
<td>Significant improvements in QoL scores were observed immediately after rehabilitation but these improvements maintained in either group over time. The authors concluded telemonitoring was safe but did not result in any lasting changes in QoL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitacca et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Tele-assistance vs usual care</td>
<td>The tele-assistance group experienced slightly fewer hospitalizations, urgent GP calls, and acute exacerbations. The average cost of treatment in this group was 33% less.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLean et al.</td>
<td>SR and MA</td>
<td>Telephone, video conference, internet, networked communications, text messaging</td>
<td>MA showed no clinically important improvements to asthma QoL. Interventions did result in a significant reduction in hospitalizations. The authors conclude these interventions may be most useful for patients with more severe asthma who are at high risk of hospitalization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Interactive voice response system vs nurse education vs usual care (children)</td>
<td>There was no significant difference observed between intervention groups for rescue therapy, resource use, or QoL when compared to the control group. Both interventions may be cost-saving as compared to usual care. More study is needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Jongste et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Telemonitoring + symptom monitoring vs symptom monitoring (children)</td>
<td>Steroid use was adapted based on telemonitoring and symptoms or symptoms alone. Both groups showed improvements in clinical outcomes and QoL. The authors found no value added by the use of telemonitoring with symptom monitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Monthly telephone education vs usual care (adults)</td>
<td>Hospital readmission rates were much lower for patients receiving telephone assisted self-management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willems et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Nurse-led telemonitoring vs usual care (children and adults)</td>
<td>There was no statistically significant difference observed between groups in regards to follow-up. The authors concluded the asthma program did not result in significant improvements to patient symptoms or QoL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agarwal et al.</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Home BP monitoring with or without telemonitoring</td>
<td>Home BP monitoring resulted in more frequent medication reductions than clinic BP monitoring. Reductions in home BP monitoring were greater when using telemonitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verberk et al.</td>
<td>SR and MA</td>
<td>Telecare vs usual care</td>
<td>Systolic and diastolic BP showed significantly larger reductions in the telecare group. The authors concluded telecare may be a useful tool for hypertension management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bove et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Nurse management vs</td>
<td>BP and blood lipids were reduced in high and intermediate-risk patients. The addition of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Summary of Included Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Author’s conclusions or recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nurse management + telemedicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>telemedicine did not change the effectiveness of the nursing management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neumann et al.16</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Telemetric BP monitoring vs usual care</td>
<td>Systolic BP decreased more in the telemetric BP monitoring group than in the control. After 3 months, the treatment group was receiving a higher mean dose of antihypertensive drug. The authors concluded titration of the antihypertensive was faster in the telemetric BP group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakefield et al.17</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Nurse-managed home telehealth vs usual care (comorbid diabetes and hypertension)</td>
<td>Systolic BP was reduced significantly over 12 months in patients receiving high-intensity monitoring compared to low-intensity and usual care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earle et al.18</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Mobile telemonitoring vs usual care (comorbid diabetes and hypertension)</td>
<td>Systolic BP was significantly reduced in patients in the mobile telemonitoring group and did not change in the control group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han et al.19</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Bi-weekly vs monthly bilingual nurse telephone counseling over 12 months</td>
<td>Both groups showed improvements in medication adherence, reduced alcohol consumption, and increased exercise. No clinical outcomes were reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCant et al.20</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Home BP telemonitoring vs usual care</td>
<td>BP measurements were automatically transmitted. Alerts were generated if patients did not transmit the readings as required. The authors determined certain patients require more support than others in order to make the most of the monitoring services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parati et al.21</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Teletransmitted home blood pressure vs usual office blood pressure monitoring</td>
<td>Patients using home blood pressure teletransmission were better able to control ambulatory blood pressure than patients receiving usual care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrasco et al.22</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Telemedicine and GP text messages, vs usual care</td>
<td>Hypertension control was better in the intervention group but the difference was not significant. Measured systolic and diastolic BP were similar in both groups. The patient-GP text message interaction alone had very little effect on hypertension control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madsen et al.23</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Telemonitoring of home BP vs usual care</td>
<td>Antihypertensive treatment was based on measured BP. Adjusting treatment based on self-measured BP was as effective as usual monitoring and adjustment in the physician’s office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santamore et al.24</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Telemedicine vs usual care</td>
<td>Systolic and diastolic BP values were similar between the two groups. The authors concluded the use of telemedicine was accurate and inexpensive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Summary of Included Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Author’s conclusions or recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pare et al.</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Home telemonitoring for management of chronic diseases (diabetes, asthma, heart failure, hypertension)</td>
<td>Studies investigating telemonitoring for asthma showed significant improvements across patient outcomes and QoL. Most studies involving telemonitoring for hypertension showed a reduction in systolic or diastolic BP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETMIS</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Home telemonitoring for management of diabetes, pulmonary diseases, and cardiovascular diseases</td>
<td>The studies included in the review found telemonitoring to be effective for hypertension and asthma. Telemonitoring resulted in a lower demand on healthcare resources for patients with COPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaana et al.</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Home telemonitoring for respiratory conditions</td>
<td>Telemonitoring resulted in early identification of changes in patient symptoms and disease control.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP = blood pressure; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ED = emergency department; MA = meta-analysis; QoL = quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SR = systematic review.

No guidelines were identified regarding the use of telecare for the management of COPD or hypertension. One guideline25 for the management of asthma recommends adults and children be monitored by routine clinical review, at least once a year. Routine review via telephone may be considered. Improved communication between patient and care provider may improve compliance. The use of computers, web-based self-management programs, and nurse-led telephone-based self-management education may help to increase the regular use of medication.
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