



Canadian Agency for
Drugs and Technologies
in Health

RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: SUMMARY OF ABSTRACTS



TITLE: Dutasteride and Finasteride for Men with Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: Comparative Clinical Effectiveness and Safety

DATE: 07 March 2014

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the comparative clinical effectiveness and safety of dutasteride and finasteride for men with benign prostatic hyperplasia?
2. What is the clinical effectiveness and safety of dutasteride versus placebo for men with benign prostatic hyperplasia?
3. What is the clinical effectiveness and safety of finasteride versus placebo for men with benign prostatic hyperplasia?

KEY MESSAGE

Four systematic reviews and six randomized controlled trials were found regarding the clinical effectiveness and safety of dutasteride and finasteride compared to each other or placebo for the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia.

METHODS

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, The Cochrane Library (2014, Issue 2), University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. Methodological filters were applied to limit retrieval to health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and randomized controlled trials. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between February 29, 2004 and February 25, 2014. Internet links were provided, where available.

The summary of findings was prepared from the abstracts of the relevant information. Please note that data contained in abstracts may not always be an accurate reflection of the data contained within the full article.

Disclaimer: The Rapid Response Service is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. Rapid responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. Rapid responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

Copyright: This report contains CADTH copyright material and may contain material in which a third party owns copyright. **This report may be used for the purposes of research or private study only.** It may not be copied, posted on a web site, redistributed by email or stored on an electronic system without the prior written permission of CADTH or applicable copyright owner.

Links: This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners' own terms and conditions.

RESULTS

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials.

Four systematic reviews and six randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were found regarding the clinical effectiveness and safety of dutasteride and finasteride compared to each other or placebo for the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH). No health technology assessments were identified.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

OVERALL SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

One systematic review¹ and three RCTs^{5,7,10} were found reporting on the clinical effectiveness and safety of dutasteride versus finasteride for BPH (Table 1). The systematic review¹ and one large RCT⁷ found no significant differences in clinical improvement or adverse events between groups. The other two RCTs^{5,10} reported dutasteride as being superior to finasteride in terms of improved symptom scores, maximum urine flow rate, total prostate volume, bladder residual volume, or quality of life; though it should be noted that both abstracts were unclearly reported.

Three systematic reviews¹⁻³ and two RCTs^{6,8} were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness and safety of dutasteride versus placebo for BPH. The three systematic reviews and one of the RCTs⁸ found significant improvements in symptoms and peak urine flow rates in the dutasteride group compared to the placebo group, although the other RCT did not⁶ (Table 1). Two of the systematic reviews^{1,2} and both RCTs^{6,8} reported dutasteride superior to placebo in reducing total prostate volume. Two systematic reviews^{1,2} reported more adverse events in the dutasteride group than the placebo group, while two of the RCTs^{6,8} reported that adverse event rates were low but did not compare groups within their abstracts.

Two systematic reviews^{3,4} and one RCT⁹ were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness and safety of finasteride versus placebo for BPH. Both systematic reviews found improved symptoms, maximum urine flow rates, and a lowered risk of BPH progression with the use of finasteride versus placebo, although one³ included an RCT that found no difference between symptom scores or flow rates between groups (Table 1). Both reviews also reported a higher incidence of sexual adverse events with the use of finasteride. The RCT⁹ reported only an improved total prostate volume with the use of finasteride versus placebo.

Table 1: Summary of Study Types, Characteristics, and Conclusions

Author, Year	Study Type	Study Characteristics	Conclusions Result (95% confidence interval) unless otherwise indicated
<i>Dutasteride versus finasteride</i>			
Park et al., 2014 ¹	SR with MA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Men aged 40+ with moderate to severe BPH symptoms on the IPSS. RCTs of more than 6 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No significant differences in symptom improvement or adverse events.

Table 1: Summary of Study Types, Characteristics, and Conclusions

Author, Year	Study Type	Study Characteristics	Conclusions Result (95% confidence interval) unless otherwise indicated
		<p>months duration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample size unclear. • 9 RCTs included. 	
Li et al., 2013 ⁵	RCT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 72 men with BPH. • DUT was 0.5 mg daily, FIN dose improperly reported. • 6 months duration. • Blinding not reported. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>IPSS score</u> DUT: -6.7 +/- 0.9 FIN: -6.0 +/- 1.3, p < 0.01 • Significant differences (p < 0.01) also found in maximum urine flow rate, TPV, and bladder residual volume <i>Note: direction not specified, though likely in favour of DUT.</i> • Serum level PSA, rates of effectiveness, and incidence of AEs were not significantly different between groups.
Nickel et al., 2011 ⁷	RCT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multicentre, double blind 12-month trial of men aged 50 and over with clinical BPH. • DUT 0.5 mg (n=813) or FIN 5 mg (n=817). • Optional 24 months open label extension. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both DUT and FIN effective at reducing TPV with no significant difference between groups. • Mean AUA-SI scores, peak urine flow rates, and percentage of AEs were also similar between groups.
Ravish et al., 2007 ¹⁰	RCT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patients with lower urinary tract symptoms and enlarged prostate randomized to 5 mg FIN or 0.5 mg DUT for 12 weeks. • Sample size and blinding not reported. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DUT significantly improved peak urine flow rate, IPSS score, and quality of life compared to FIN at 12 weeks.
<i>Dutasteride versus placebo</i>			
Park et al., 2014 ¹	SR with MA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Men aged 40+ with moderate to severe BPH symptoms on the IPSS. • RCTs of more than 6 months duration. • Sample size unclear. • 9 RCTs included. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Improving urinary symptoms (IPSS)</u> DUT superior: -1.78 (-3.01, -0.55) • <u>Peak Urinary Flow (Q max)</u> DUT superior: 1.27 mL/s (0.97,1.57) • <u>Change in TPV</u> DUT superior -17.4 cm³ (-25.77,-9.02) • <u>Drug-related AEs</u> DUT inferior: RR 1.35 (1.19,1.54)
Wu et al., 2013 ²	SR with MA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inclusion criteria unclear. • 4 RCTs included. • N = 6460 DUT, 6475 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Average symptoms (IPSS)</u> DUT superior: -1.98 (-1.77,-2.19) • <u>Average maximum flow</u> DUT superior: 1.16 mL/s (0.63,1.70)

Table 1: Summary of Study Types, Characteristics, and Conclusions

Author, Year	Study Type	Study Characteristics	Conclusions Result (95% confidence interval) unless otherwise indicated
		PL)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Change in TPV</u> DUT superior: 1.86 mL (12.76,14.96) • <u>Acute Urinary Retention</u> DUT superior: OR 0.35 (0.27,0.47) • <u>Sexual Dysfunction (AE)</u> DUT inferior: OR 0.41 (0.31,0.54) <p><i>Note, OR for sexual dysfunction appears to be placebo relative to DUT rather than DUT relative to placebo as reported for AUR.</i></p>
McNicholas et al., 2011 ³	SR without MA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Included SRs and RCTs. • Maximum follow-up was 48 months. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One RCT found DUT improved AUA-SI scores and peak urinary flow rate after 24 months compared to PL.
Na et al., 2012 ⁶	RCT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chinese adults with symptomatic BPH. • 0.5 mg DUT daily. • Randomized and blinded duration of 6 month with further 12 month open label extension. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean TPV reduction of 17.14% in DUT group versus 3.71% in PL group (p<0.05). • Differences in peak urinary flow and AUA-SI did not reach statistical significance. • Low incidence of treatment emergent AEs over 18 months reported.
Tsukamoto et al., 2009 ⁸	RCT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Double-blind. • 378 Japanese men with BPH having IPSS scores ≥ 8, TVP ≥ 30 mL, and maximum urinary flow rate ≤ 15mL/s. • 52 weeks in duration. • Subjects were stratified by concomitant use of tamsulosin (242 using versus 136 not). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DUT improved IPSS, peak urine flow rate, and TPV compared to placebo at 52 weeks. • Drug-related sexual AEs were infrequent in the DUT group and generally not treatment limiting.
<i>Finasteride versus placebo</i>			
McNicholas et al., 2011 ³	SR without MA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Included SRs and RCTs. • Maximum follow-up was 48 months. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Included SR found FIN 5 mg improved total symptom score, maximum urinary flow rate, and TPV compared with placebo. FIN was associated with higher incidence of sexual adverse events. • One RCT found no significant differences in IPSS or peak urinary flow rate over one year.

Table 1: Summary of Study Types, Characteristics, and Conclusions

Author, Year	Study Type	Study Characteristics	Conclusions Result (95% confidence interval) unless otherwise indicated
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Another RCT found FIN reduced the risk of clinical progression, AUR, and need for invasive therapy versus placebo. Sexual AEs occurred significantly more frequently in the FIN group.
Tacklind et al., 2010 ⁴	SR without MA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Included RCTs of at least 6 months duration of FIN versus placebo or active control. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FIN consistently improved urinary symptom scores in trials longer than one year and significantly lowered the risk of BPH progression (AUR, risk of surgical intervention, ≥ 4 point increase in AUA-SI/IPSS) versus PL. • Drug-related AEs were reported as rare, though FIN increased the risk of sexual AEs versus PL.
Kaplan et al., 2008 ⁹	RCT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3,047 patients with BPH and lower urinary tract symptoms received FIN 5mg, doxazosin, FIN + doxazosin, or PL for an average of 4.5 years. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long-term treatment with FIN lead to an approximately 25% reduction in TPV compared to PL regardless of baseline TPV.

AE = adverse events; AUA-SI = American Urological Association Symptom Index; AUR = acute urinary retention; BPH = benign prostatic hyperplasia; DUT = dutasteride; FIN = finasteride; IPSS = International Prostate Symptom Score; MA = meta-analysis; OR = odds ratio; PL = placebo; RCT = randomized controlled trial; RR = relative risk; SR = systematic review; TPV = total prostate volume.

REFERENCES SUMMARIZED

Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

1. Park T, Choi JY. Efficacy and safety of dutasteride for the treatment of symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Urol.* 2014 Feb 6.
[PubMed: PM24500194](#)
2. Wu XJ, Zhi Y, Zheng J, He P, Zhou XZ, Li WB, et al. Dutasteride on benign prostatic hyperplasia: a meta-analysis on randomized clinical trials in 6460 patients. *Urology.* 2013 Nov 16.
[PubMed: PM24246318](#)
3. McNicholas T, Kirby R. Benign prostatic hyperplasia and male lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS). *Clinical Evidence [Internet]* 2011 [cited 2014 Mar];08:1801. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3217770/>
See Pages 8-10: 5 Alpha-Reductase Inhibitors.
4. Tacklind J, Fink HA, Macdonald R, Rutks I, Wilt TJ. Finasteride for benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2010;(10):CD006015.
[PubMed: PM20927745](#)

Randomized Controlled Trials

5. Li Y, Wang J. Clinical efficacy and safety analysis of Dutasteride in treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Chinese Journal of Andrology.* 2013;27(7):49-51.
Summary available from:
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clcentral/articles/559/CN-00911559/frame.html>
6. Na Y, Ye Z, Zhang S, Chinese Dutasteride Phase III Trial (ARIA108898) Study Group. Efficacy and safety of dutasteride in Chinese adults with symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia: a randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled study with an open-label extension. *Clin Drug Investig.* 2012 Jan 1;32(1):29-39.
[PubMed: PM22017520](#)
7. Nickel JC, Gilling P, Tammela TL, Morrill B, Wilson TH, Rittmaster RS. Comparison of dutasteride and finasteride for treating benign prostatic hyperplasia: the Enlarged Prostate International Comparator Study (EPICS). *BJU Int.* 2011 Aug;108(3):388-94.
[PubMed: PM21631695](#)
8. Tsukamoto T, Endo Y, Narita M. Efficacy and safety of dutasteride in Japanese men with benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Int J Urol.* 2009 Sep;16(9):745-50.
[PubMed: PM19674165](#)

9. Kaplan SA, Roehrborn CG, McConnell JD, Meehan AG, Suryanawanshi S, Lee JY, et al. Long-term treatment with finasteride results in a clinically significant reduction in total prostate volume compared to placebo over the full range of baseline prostate sizes in men enrolled in the MTOPS trial. J Urol. 2008 Sep;180(3):1030-2.
[PubMed: PM18639298](#)
10. Ravish IR, Nerli RB, Amarkhed SS. Finasteride to evaluate the efficacy of dutasteride in the management of patients with lower urinary tract symptoms and enlarged prostate. Arch Androl. 2007 Jan;53(1):17-20.
[PubMed: PM17364459](#)

PREPARED BY:

Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health

Tel: 1-866-898-8439

www.cadth.ca

APPENDIX – FURTHER INFORMATION:**Other Outcomes of Potential Interest***Systematic Review*

11. Jiang Y, Long YF. [Effects of finasteride on hematuria associated with benign prostatic hyperplasia: a meta-analysis]. *Zhonghua Nan Ke Xue*. 2010 Aug;16(8):726-9.
[PubMed: PM21090349](#)

Randomized Controlled Trials

12. Fwu CW, Eggers PW, Kirkali Z, McVary KT, Burrows PK, Kusek JW. Change in sexual function in men with lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS)/ benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) associated with long-term treatment with doxazosin, finasteride, and combined therapy. *J Urol*. 2013 Dec 13.
[PubMed: PM24342143](#)
13. Johnson TM, Burrows PK, Kusek JW, Nyberg LM, Tenover JL, Lepor H, et al. The effect of doxazosin, finasteride and combination therapy on nocturia in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia. *J Urol*. 2007 Nov;178(5):2045-50.
[PubMed: PM17869295](#)
14. Botto H, Lan O, Poulain JE, Comenducci A. [Effect of dutasteride on reduction of plasma DHT following finasteride therapy in patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia]. *Prog Urol*. 2005 Dec;15(6):1090-5.
[PubMed: PM16429658](#)

Combination Therapy*Finasteride plus Tamsulosin versus Dutasteride versus Tamsulosin*

15. Mohanty NK, Singh UP, Sharma NK, Arora RP, Amitabh V. A comparative study of fixed dose of tamsulosin with finasteride vs tamsulosin with dutasteride in the management of benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Indian J Urol*. 2006;22(2):130-4.

Dutasteride plus Tamsulosin versus Tamsulosin Alone

16. Chung BH, Lee SH, Roehrborn CG, Siami PF, Major-Walker K, Wilson TH, et al. Comparison of the response to treatment between Asian and Caucasian men with benign prostatic hyperplasia: long-term results from the combination of dutasteride and tamsulosin study. *Int J Urol*. 2012 Nov;19(11):1031-5.
[PubMed: PM22774774](#)
17. Hong SK, Min GE, Ha SB, Doo SH, Kang MY, Park HJ, et al. Effect of the dual 5alpha-reductase inhibitor, dutasteride, on serum testosterone and body mass index in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia. *BJU Int*. 2010 Apr;105(7):970-4.
[PubMed: PM19793378](#)

18. Montorsi F, Henkel T, Geboers A, Mirone V, Arrosagaray P, Morrill B, et al. Effect of dutasteride, tamsulosin and the combination on patient-reported quality of life and treatment satisfaction in men with moderate-to-severe benign prostatic hyperplasia: 4-year data from the CombAT study. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2010 Jul;64(8):1042-51.
[PubMed: PM20487046](#)
19. Roehrborn CG, Siami P, Barkin J, Damiao R, Major-Walker K, Nandy I, et al. The effects of combination therapy with dutasteride and tamsulosin on clinical outcomes in men with symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia: 4-year results from the CombAT study. *Eur Urol.* 2010 Jan;57(1):123-31.
[PubMed: PM19825505](#)
20. Barkin J, Roehrborn CG, Siami P, Haillet O, Morrill B, Black L, et al. Effect of dutasteride, tamsulosin and the combination on patient-reported quality of life and treatment satisfaction in men with moderate-to-severe benign prostatic hyperplasia: 2-year data from the CombAT trial. *BJU Int.* 2009 Apr;103(7):919-26.
[PubMed: PM19239460](#)
21. Roehrborn CG, Siami P, Barkin J, Damiao R, Major-Walker K, Morrill B, et al. The effects of dutasteride, tamsulosin and combination therapy on lower urinary tract symptoms in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostatic enlargement: 2-year results from the CombAT study. *J Urol.* 2008 Feb;179(2):616-21.
[PubMed: PM18082216](#)
22. Siami P, Roehrborn CG, Barkin J, Damiao R, Wyczolkowski M, Duggan A, et al. Combination therapy with dutasteride and tamsulosin in men with moderate-to-severe benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostate enlargement: the CombAT (Combination of Avodart and Tamsulosin) trial rationale and study design. *Contemp Clin Trials.* 2007 Nov;28(6):770-9.
[PubMed: PM17761460](#)

Systematic Reviews - Unclear Comparators

23. Loke YK, Ho R, Smith M, Wong O, Sandhu M, Sage W, et al. Systematic review evaluating cardiovascular events of the 5-alpha reductase inhibitor - Dutasteride. *J Clin Pharm Ther.* 2013 Oct;38(5):405-15.
[PubMed: PM23815285](#)