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Introduction

• Benefits of medical devices

• Associated with up to 62% of adverse events

• Device-related incidents
Post-Marketing Surveillance

- Benefits
- Reality
Issue

• Evidence on safety and effectiveness of medical devices
• Medical device problems in clinical practice
• Collection of evidence for medical device safety policy
Research Objectives

1. Identify common medical/surgical device-related incidents, and for these:

2. Explore factors that influence device-related incident occurrence, recognition, reporting and resolution according to multiple theoretical perspectives

3. Develop a taxonomy of strategies to mitigate or prevent device-related incidents that can support decision-making
Theoretical Framework on Identification and Exploration of Factors

- **Device**
- **Incident occurrence**
- **Incident reporting**

**Characteristics:**
- Devices
- Clinicians
- Teams
- Institutions
- Systems
- Patients

**Human factors:**
- Cognitive psychology
- Sociology
- Others that emerge

**Characteristics:**
- Reporting systems
- Professional culture
- Education
- Feedback
- Incentives
Theoretical Framework on Taxonomy
Methods

Identify and explore factors that influence common device incidents and their recognition/reporting

• Systematic review of published medical and grey literature

• Telephone interviews of 30 physicians
Methods

Develop taxonomy of strategies to mitigate/prevent device-related incidents

• Multiple case study to investigate potential resolutions
  ▪ Interviews
Systematic Review-Preliminary Results

• Selection criteria

• 15 studies included

• Quality assessment
Systematic Review-Preliminary Results (cont’d)

• Nature of incident

• Factors that influence incidents identified by health care professionals

• Impact of interventions/strategies to improve identification and recognition of incidents by health care professionals
Systematic Review-Discussion

• Summary of evidence

• Common barriers to medical device surveillance

• Reporting of device-related incidents
Systematic Review-Knowledge Gaps

• Insufficient evidence available

• Limited generalizability of study results
Next Steps

• Finalize systematic review

• Identify and recruit 30 physicians for interviews

• Define case studies by existence of surveillance system or by common devices prone to errors
Research Outcomes

• Strength post-market surveillance
• Target quality improvement
• Conceptual framework
• Decision criteria
• Enhanced patient safety and health care outcomes
Knowledge Translation

- Involvement of various stakeholders

- Publications in biomedical journals
Questions