

## **CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW**

# Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

brexucabtagene autoleucel (Tecartus)

(Gilead Sciences Inc.)

**Indication:** For treatment of adult patients with relapsed or refractory B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL).

March 16, 2023

**Disclaimer:** The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.



## **CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation**

Stakeholder information			
	D00004 000		
CADTH project number	PG0304-000		
Brand name (generic)	TECARTUS (brexucabtagene autoleucel)		
Indication(s)	Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)		
Organization	Cell Therapy Transplant Canada (CTTC)		
Contact information <sup>a</sup>	Kirk R. Schultz – CTTC President		
Stakeholder agreement wi	ith the draft recommendation		
1. Does the stakeholder aç	gree with the committee's recommendation?	Yes No	
	is now considered a valuable salvage therapy for relapsed or r trial, a significantly higher portion of patients are being cured v therapy.		ory
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input		
2. Does the recommendati	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	$\boxtimes$
2. Does the recommendati	<u> </u>	Yes No	
2. Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?		
Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y  Clarity of the draft recommendati stakeholder input that y	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?  mendation		
Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y  Clarity of the draft recommendati stakeholder input that y	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?	No	
Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y  Clarity of the draft recommendati stakeholder input that y	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?  mendation	No Yes	
Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y  Clarity of the draft recommendati stakeholder input that y  Clarity of the draft recommendati stakeholder input that y	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?  mendation	No Yes	
Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y  Clarity of the draft recommendati stakeholder input that y  Clarity of the draft recommendati stakeholder input that y	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?  mendation  recommendation clearly stated?  n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes No	
2. Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y  Clarity of the draft recommendation  3. Are the reasons for the  4. Have the implementation	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?  mendation  recommendation clearly stated?  n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes No	
2. Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y  Clarity of the draft recommendation of the draft recommendatio	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?  mendation  recommendation clearly stated?  n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes No	
<ol> <li>Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y</li> <li>Clarity of the draft recommendation</li> <li>Are the reasons for the</li> <li>Have the implementation addressed in the recommendation</li> <li>If applicable, are the reinforced</li> </ol>	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?  mendation  recommendation clearly stated?  n issues been clearly articulated and adequately mendation?	Yes No Yes No	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

## **Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups**

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the *Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews* for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
  - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
  - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
  - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
  - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
  - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	$\boxtimes$
The year toolive help from outcide your climician group to complete and outcident.	Yes	
	163	
	1	
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	$\boxtimes$
information used in this submission?	Yes	
All HSCT or BMT centre directors have had an opportunity to provide input on this response and it has	as beer	1
reviewed by the CTTC Board of Directors.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest     Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest	No Yes	
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest  3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest  3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest  3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.  If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		

#### C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 1
Name	Kevin Hay
Position	Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia
Date	07-03-2023
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Kite/Gilead	$\boxtimes$			
Novartis	$\boxtimes$			
BMS	$\boxtimes$			
Jazz Pharmaceuticals	$\boxtimes$			
Janssen		$\boxtimes$		

New or Up	odated Declaration for Clinician 2
Name	Victor Lewis
Position	Associate Professor of Oncology and Pediatrics, University of Calgary
Date	13-03-2023
X	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	f Interest Declaration

## List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two

years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
None				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 3
Name	Nicole Prokopishyn
Position	Director at Large, Regulatory and Quality, CTTC; Cellular Therapy Lab Director, Alberta Precision
	Labs, Alberta
Date	13-03-2023
×	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

### **Conflict of Interest Declaration**

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

Check Appropriate Dollar Rang				ge
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
None				

## **CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation**

Stakeholder information			
CADTH project number	PG0304-000		
Brand name (generic)	brexucabtagene autoleucel (Tecartus)		
Indication(s)	for treatment of adult patients with relapsed or refractory B-ce	ell	
	precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)		
Organization	Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Hematology Cancer Dr	rug	
	Advisory Committee		
Contact information <sup>a</sup>	Name: Dr. Tom Kouroukis		
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation		
4. Dana Alaa atalaala lalama		Yes	$\boxtimes$
1. Does the stakeholder ac	gree with the committee's recommendation.	No	
		•	
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input		
2. Does the recommendati	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	$\boxtimes$
stakeholder input that y	stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?		
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation		
3 Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	$\boxtimes$
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated:	No	
4. Have the implementatio	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	$\boxtimes$
addressed in the recom		No	
	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	$\boxtimes$
<u>-</u>	ded in the recommendation?	No	
N/A			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

## **Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups**

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
  - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
  - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
  - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
  - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
  - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback				
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No			
	Yes	$\boxtimes$		
Ontario Health provided secretariat assistance to the DAC.				
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	$\boxtimes$		
information used in this submission?	Yes			
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest				
3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No			
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained				
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.				
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:				
Dr. Tom Kouroukis				

#### C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 1
Name	Dr. Pierre Villeneuve
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Hematology Cancer Drug Advisory Committee
Date	16-03-2023
$\boxtimes$	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

#### **Conflict of Interest Declaration**

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two vears AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess of 10,000 \$50,000 \$50,000			In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name				

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 2				
Name	Dr Selay Lam				
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cance	er Care Ontario	) Hematology Ca	ncer Drug Adviso	ry Committee
Date	16-03-2023				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of Interest Declaration					
List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.					
		Check Appropriate Dollar Range			ge
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Kite		$\boxtimes$			

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	3			
Name	Dr Lee Mozessohn				
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cance	er Care Ontario)	Hematology Ca	ncer Drug Adviso	ry Committee
Date	16-03-2023				
I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.  Conflict of Interest Declaration					
	mpanies or organizations that have who may have direct or indirect in		ug under review		
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	riate Dollar Rang \$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name		П	П		

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 4
Name	Dr. Guilliame Richard-Carpentier
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Hematology Cancer Drug Advisory Committee
Date	16-03-2023
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.



## **CADTH Reimbursement Review**

## **Feedback on Draft Recommendation**

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PG0304
Name of the drug and Indication(s)	Brexucabtagene autoleucel for acute lymphoblastic leukemia
Organization Providing Feedback	PAG

1.	Recommend	lation revi	sions

Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation

reconfinentiation.		
Request for	<b>Major revisions:</b> A change in recommendation <b>category</b> or patient <b>population</b> is requested	
Reconsideration	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested	
No Request for	<b>Editorial revisions:</b> Clarifications in recommendation <b>text</b> are requested	х
Reconsideration	No requested revisions	

## **2.** Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

## 3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

#### a) Recommendation rationale

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

### b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

### c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

PAG is requesting the following editorial revisions:

- In Table 2 under consideration for initiation of therapy (which exclusion criteria for ZUMA-3) should be applied in determining eligibility, PAG would like to indicate that while for patients with prior CD19-targeted therapy could be eligible for the treatment with brexucabtagene autoleucel: that it is specified that it is indicated for patients with prior non-cellular CD19-targeted therapy that could be eligible for the treatment with brexucabtagene autoleucel."
- In Table 2 under consideration for initiation of therapy, the statement referring to uncontrolled CNS disease, PAG would like to consider adding "active" to uncontrolled CNS disease. Some jurisdictions refer "uncontrolled" to infection status (viral, fungal, bacetiral) vs. active CNS involvement.
- PAG would like to know if there was a discussion on CD19 tumour expression. In ZUMA-3 trial where patients previously treated with blinatumomab, the CD19 tumour expression must be documented after the completion of the most recent prior line of therapy. If CD19 expression is quantified, then blasts must be ≥90%CD19 positive. For comparison, the Kymriah criteria (25 years or younger ALL) does not require a specific level of CD19 positivity. PAG would like to include a clarification if this has been discussed.

## **Outstanding Implementation Issues**

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

### Algorithm and implementation questions

- 1. Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
- 1. Update the ALL Rapid Algorithm (to distinguish by age)
- 2
- 2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH
- 1.
- 2.

#### Support strategy

3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?

May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology), etc.

## **CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation**

Stakeholder information				
CADTH project number	PG0304-000			
Brand name (generic)	TECARTUS (brexucabtagene autoleucel)			
Indication(s)	for treatment of adult patients with relapsed or refractory B-cell			
	precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)			
Organization	Gilead Sciences Canada, Inc			
Contact information <sup>a</sup>				
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation			
1. Does the stakeholder ag	ree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No		
Gilead Sciences Canada, Inc (Gilead) agrees with pERC's Initial Recommendation to reimburse brexucabtagene autoleucel for the treatment of adult patients with relapsed or refractory B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). Gilead is pleased that CADTH and pERC have recognized the need for brexucabtagene autoleucel to be funded for these patients, which have limited treatment options available to them.				
Expert committee conside	ration of the stakeholder input			
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the				
· ·	our organization provided to CADTH?	No		
N/A				
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation			
2 Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	$\boxtimes$	
	econinendation clearly stated?	No		
N/A				
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately			$\boxtimes$	
addressed in the recommendation?				
N/A				
5. If applicable, are the rein	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	$\boxtimes$	
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?				
N/A				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.