

# CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

# Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

# ALPELISIB (Piqray) (Novartis Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc.)

Indication: Advanced or Metastatic Breast Cancer

October 18, 2021

**Disclaimer:** The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.

# CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information		
CADTH project number	PC0247-000	
Brand name (generic)	Alpelisib (Piqray)	
Indication(s)	In combination with fulvestrant for the treatment of postmenop women, and men, with hormone receptor positive, Her2 negative mutated advanced or metastatic breast cancer after disease pro- following a CDK4/6 inhibitor in combination with an endocrine- regimen	ve, PIK3CA ogression based
Organization	Lead: The Ottawa Hospital Cancer Centre: Breast Medical Onco group with additional affirmations by medical oncologists acros	
Contact information <sup>a</sup>	Name: Dr. Sandeep Sehdev Title: MD FRCPC, Assistant Professor Email: ssehdev@toh.ca Phone: 613-737-7700	
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation	
1 Does the stakeholder of	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes 🗆
1. Does the stakeholder ag	gree with the committee's recommendation.	No 🛛
application reflects this reality "not work" as well in this setti	<sup>st</sup> line CDK4/6 inhibition has become the standard of care in this disease settir . There is no solid preclinical or biological rationale to suggest that PI3K inhil ng and patients should not be denied the opportunity to benefit from treatmen ment. We do not deny them opportunities for specific chemotherapy drugs ba	bition would t arbitrarily
lack of evidence beyond CDk		
Only 1 of our group (Dr Clem	ons) felt that the committee's considerations were appropriate.	
<ul> <li>without prior CDK4/6 inhibitor treatment."</li> <li>There is no solid preclinitis setting and patients should previous treatment. We evidence beyond CDk4/6</li> <li>The data from the BYLie</li> <li>Ongoing studies are und</li> <li>Given the current state of Consideration might be get an an</li></ul>	results from the entire PIK3CA mutant cohort, which consisted mostly treatment, could not be generalized to patients with prior CDK4/6 inhibit cal or biological rationale to suggest that PI3K inhibition would "not work" as will do not be denied the opportunity to benefit from treatment arbitrarily based on do not deny them opportunities for specific chemotherapy drugs based on the 5 inhibition. ve study was intended to support this and was not painted as a definitive answer erway to confirm efficacy post CDK4/6 inhibition, as CADTH is aware f evidence, eligible patients should not be denied access to alpelisib in the int given to conditional approval (a newer CADTH mechanism applied previously would accept revocation if confirmatory trials should prove negative	vell in this their a their a lack of wer erim
Only 1 of our group (Dr C	Clemons) felt that the committee's considerations were appropriate.	
	were the same or worse than other treatments they had received." had the opportunity to use alpelisib in clinical trials and in practice. As with a	

•	Patients strongly prefer to avoid chemotherapy if possible, and prefer oral (home) medications, particularly Covid-19 era. Severe immunosuppression has not been a significant toxicity of alpelisib.	' in our	
trea	<ul> <li>hew phase III trial will be conducted for alpelisib plus fulvestrant in patients with prior CDK4/6 inhibition.</li> <li>Ongoing studies are underway to confirm efficacy post CDK4/6 inhibition, as CADTH is aware</li> <li>Given the current state of evidence, eligible patients should not be denied access to alpelisib in the int</li> <li>Consideration might be given to conditional approval (a newer CADTH mechanism applied previously venetoclax) as clinicians would accept revocation if confirmatory trials should prove negative</li> <li>Real world evidence (ByLieve, Flatiron) is supportive, suggesting similar benefits as seen in SOLAR-1 gold-standard comparative data, it is widely accepted as supportive of drug benefit and should not be</li> <li><b>K3CA testing is not currently publicly funded in any jurisdictions in Canada.</b>"</li> <li>Access to required molecular testing for cancer patients is woefully limited across Canada. That is gra addressed through a combination of pharma supported funding for testing and new panel testing at ac centres.</li> <li>Health care funding in Canada remains terribly "siloed" but a refusal to approve in one silo (drug fundii not be rationalized based on deficiencies in others</li> <li>PIK3CA testing will be widely available through public funding (for all patients in Ontario October) 202 coordinated through Princess Margaret Cancer Centre</li> <li>Clinicians would only use alpelisib where a relevant PIK3CA mutation is identified</li> </ul>	erim for . While downpla adually l ademic ng) shor	ayed. Deing
	pert committee consideration of the stakeholder input		_
	Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?	Yes	
	ot, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?	No	$\boxtimes$
The pote Fur	<ul> <li>recommendation includes concerns we had about the toxicities and limitations acknowledged but does not ential benefits to select patients:</li> <li>Having access to another line of treatment. Historically, long term outcomes have been improving as had access to increasing numbers of therapeutic options with differing mechanisms of actions</li> <li>Delay to requiring chemotherapy</li> <li>ther the recommendation is not in line with international expert consensus (eg NCCN guideline listing alpelis erred 2<sup>nd</sup> line therapy, category 1 evidence).</li> </ul>	patients	
Onl	y 1 of our group (Dr Clemons) felt that the committee's considerations were appropriate.		
Cla	nrity of the draft recommendation		
		Yes	$\boxtimes$
3. A	Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?	No	
	commendations are clearly stated though, as noted, we feel they are not reasonable conclusions based on t ilable evidence, experience and consensus	he total	ity of
	lave the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	
	addressed in the recommendation?	No	
NOT	applicable		
	f applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	
	or the conditions provided in the recommendation?	No	
Not	applicable		

<sup>a</sup> CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

# Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.

# **Declaration for Clinician 1**

Clinician	Information				
Name	Dr. Sandeep Sehdev				
Position	Medical oncologist, The Ottawa Hospital Cancer Centre				
Date	13-Oct-2021				
$\boxtimes$	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
	potential, or perceived conflict			inician group	in a real,
Conflict of	<b>.</b>	t of interest si	tuation.		·
	potential, or perceived conflict	t of interest si	tuation. heck Approp	riate Dollar Ra	nge
Conflict of Company	potential, or perceived conflict	t of interest si	tuation.		·
	potential, or perceived conflict	t of interest si	tuation. heck Approp \$5,001 to	riate Dollar Ra \$10,001 to	nge In Excess of
Company	potential, or perceived conflict	t of interest si	tuation. heck Approp \$5,001 to 10,000	riate Dollar Ra \$10,001 to 50,000	nge In Excess of \$50,000

Clinician	Clinician Information				
Name	Dr Mark Clemons				
Position	Medical Oncologist, The Ottav	va Hospital C	Cancer Centi	re	
Date	13-Oct-2021				
$\boxtimes$	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Conflict of Interest Declaration				
	Check Appropriate Dollar Range		nge		
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
None					

# **Declaration for Clinician 3**

Clinician Information					
Name	Dr Terry Ng				
Position	Medical Oncologist, The Ottawa Hospital Cancer Centre				
Date	13-Oct-2021				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Conflict of Interest Declaration				
	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			•	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
None					

Clinician	Clinician Information				
Name	Dr Amirrtha Srikanthan				
Position	Medical Oncologist, The Ottav	wa Hospital C	ancer Centr	re	
Date	13-Oct-2021				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Conflict of Interest Declaration				
	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			ige	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
None					

# **Declaration for Clinician 5**

Clinician	Information				
Name	Dr John Hilton				
Position	Medical Oncologist, Associate Prof, Lead (clinical trials program), Research				
	Lead (breast program), The C	ottawa Hospita	al Cancer Co	entre	
Date	13-Oct-2021				
$\boxtimes$	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Conflict of Interest Declaration				
	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			ige	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Novartis		$\boxtimes$			

# Declaration for Clinician 6

Clinician	Clinician Information				
Name	Dr Iqbal Nayyer, Professor (U of Saskatchewan) Medical Oncologist, Saskatoon				
	Cancer Centre	Cancer Centre			
Position	Medical Oncologist, The Ottawa Hospital Cancer Centre				
Date	13-Oct-2021				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
				priate Dollar Ran	ige
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Pfizer		$\boxtimes$			
Novartis		$\boxtimes$			
Bristol Myer	rs Squibb	$\boxtimes$			

Clinician	Clinician Information	
Name	Dr Jan-Willem Henning MBChB FRCPC	
Position	Medical Oncologist, Tom Baker Cancer Centre, Calgary AB	
Date	13-Oct-2021	

**I hereby certify** that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

# **Conflict of Interest Declaration**

 $\mathbf{X}$ 

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Novartis		$\boxtimes$			
Pfizer	$\boxtimes$				
Lilly	$\boxtimes$				
AstraZeneca					
None		$\boxtimes$			

# **Declaration for Clinician 8**

Clinician	Information				
Name	Dr Karen Gelmon				
Position	Medical Oncologist, Professo	r, Univ of Briti	ish Columbia	a, BC Cancer	Agency,
	Vancouver BC				
Date	13-Oct-2021				
$\square$	<b>I hereby certify</b> that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			nge	
		<b>*</b> • • • • • •	A - A A A .	<b>.</b>	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Company AstraZenec	а	\$0 to 5,000			
	а	. ,	10,000	50,000	\$50,000
AstraZenec	а		10,000 ⊠	50,Ó00	\$50,000
AstraZenec Lilly	a		10,000	50,000	\$50,000
AstraZeneca Lilly Novartis	a		10,000	50,000	\$50,000
AstraZeneca Lilly Novartis Pfizer	a		10,000	50,000	\$50,000
AstraZeneco Lilly Novartis Pfizer Roche	a		10,000  10,000	50,000	\$50,000
AstraZeneca Lilly Novartis Pfizer Roche Merck	a		10,000	50,000	\$50,000

Clinician	Information
Name	Dr Pawel Zalewski

Position	Medical Oncologist, Lakeridge Cancer Centre, Oshawa ON				
Date	13-Oct-2021				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
		C	heck Approp	riate Dollar Rar	nge
Company \$		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Lilly		$\boxtimes$			
Novartis	lovartis 🛛 🖾 🗆				
Pfizer		$\boxtimes$			

# **Declaration for Clinician 10**

Clinician	Clinician Information						
Name	Dr Christine Brezden-Masley						
Position	Medical Oncologist, Assoc Professor, Mt Sinai Hospital, Medical Director (Cancer						
	Program, Sinai Health System	Program, Sinai Health System), Director (Marvelle Koffler Breast Centre),					
	Toronto ON						
Date	13-Oct-2021						
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.						
Conflict of	Interest Declaration						
				riate Dollar Rar	-		
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000		
AstraZenec	a		$\boxtimes$				
Lilly			$\boxtimes$				
Novartis			$\boxtimes$				
Pfizer		$\boxtimes$					
Roche		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$				
Seagen		$\boxtimes$					
Gilead		$\boxtimes$					

# C. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest

1. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in patient group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section D below.				d No Yes		
D. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declaration						
<ol> <li>List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.</li> </ol>						
Check Appropriate Dollar Range						
			bilate Bollar Ra	nge		
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Exces \$50,000	s of	
Company Add company name	\$0 to 5,000 □	\$5,001 to	\$10,001 to	In Exces	s of	
	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to	\$10,001 to	In Exces	s of	

# Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
  - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
  - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
  - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
  - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
  - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	$\boxtimes$
	Yes	
3. Did you receive help from outside your clincian group to collect or analyze any	No	$\boxtimes$
information used in this submission?	Yes	
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.	Yes	$\boxtimes$

# C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

N/A

# CADTH

# **CADTH Reimbursement Review**

# **Feedback on Draft Recommendation**

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PC0247
Name of the drug and Indication(s)	Alpelisib in combination with fulvestrant for the treatment of postmenopausal women, and men, with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, PIK3CA-mutated advanced or metastatic breast cancer after disease progression following an endocrine-based regimen with a CDK 4/6 inhibitor
Organization Providing Feedback	PAG

<b>1. Recommendation revisions</b> Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation.				
Request for	Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested			
Reconsideration	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested			
No Request for	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested			
Reconsideration	No requested revisions	Х		

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested None.

**3. Clarity of the recommendation** Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

a) Recommendation rationale

None.

# b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

None.

## c) Implementation guidance

None.



## CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PC0247-000
Brand name (generic) Piqray (alpelisib)	
Indication(s)Alpelisib in combination with fulvestrant for the treatment of postmenop women and men, with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, PIK3CA mutated advanced or metastatic breast cancer after disease progression following an endocrine-based regimen with a CDK 4/6 inhibitor.	
Organization	Canadian Breast Cancer Network
Contact information <sup>a</sup>	Name: Niya Chari
Stakeholder agreement wit	h the draft recommendation
	Yes 🗆

1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.

The Canadian Breast Cancer Network (CBCN) respectfully disagrees with CADTH's draft recommendation of Alpelisib in combination with fulvestrant for the treatment of postmenopausal women and men, with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, PIK3CA mutated advanced or metastatic breast cancer after disease progression following an endocrine-based regimen with a CDK 4/6 inhibitor for the following reasons:

- 1. As a result of this recommendation, there remains an unmet need for patients with metastatic, HRpositive breast cancer harbouring PIK3CA mutations following disease progression on endocrine therapy. We believe that this decision is not the overall good of society, given that these patients have limited treatment options available to them.
- 2. From the patient perspective, uncertainty around evidence does not preclude the likelihood of benefit, particularly in the case of metastatic cancer. While we appreciate that data uncertainties exist around the extrapolation of benefit for patients following treatment with a CDK 4/6 inhibitor, there is robust data supporting the benefit of alpelisib for patients with known PIK3CA mutations which has served the basis of its approval and funding in several other global jurisdictions. CBCN believes that data uncertainties in this case could be resolved through the supplemental generation of real-world data rather than the blanket rejection of funding for this therapy.
- 3. There is a missed opportunity for the development of real-world evidence based on conditional approval. Specifically, this recommendation denies patients with metastatic disease whose cancers harbour the PIK3CA mutation, and have limited therapy options available to them, the opportunity to access effective and safe therapy. We note that metastatic patients in particular have urgent treatment needs and cannot await a recommendation from a resubmission in the future.
- 4. There remains a need for Canadian treatment protocols to remain consistent with accepted international guidelines and standards, which are established by acknowledged experts in breast cancer. We note that the European Commission and the FDA in the US have all accepted the same clinical data demonstrating the efficacy of alpelisib in this patient population. In these other jurisdictions, treatment was approved and adopted as standard clinical practice to reduce time to

No

 $\mathbf{X}$ 

disease progression. We believe Canadian patients should be offered the same opportunities as our global counterparts to benefit from innovative and effective treatment options and we strongly urge CADTH to reconsider this recommendation

#### Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input

2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder Yes input that your organization provided to CADTH? No

The draft recommendation states that "Patients expressed a desire for treatments that delay progression of their disease, prolong life without sacrificing quality of life, and have fewer adverse effects than current therapies."

CBCN notes that several other aspects of the patient experience mentioned in our submission were not referenced in the draft recommendation. We feel that these factors significantly impact the interpretation of the patient perspective of the disease and its management.

We discuss that patients with hormone-receptor positive breast, HER2 negative breast cancer make up approximately 70 percent of breast cancer cases. Endocrine therapy-including the use of cyclin-dependent kinase 4 and 6 (CDK 4/6) inhibitors- are the standard treatment for patients with HR-positive, HER2 negative, advanced breast cancer. However, resistance to endocrine-based therapies remains a challenge. Approximately 40 percent of patients living with HR-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer have the PIK3CA mutated gene. These mutations are often associated with more aggressive tumour growth, resistance to endocrine treatment and a poor overall prognosis. As a result, there remains a current unmet need to provide alternative therapy options for metastatic HR-positive patients.

Further, we assert that for patients with advanced hormone-receptor positive, HER2-negative breast cancer initial treatment typically involves sequential use of multiple lines of endocrine-based therapy. Current front-line therapy is usually an aromatase inhibitor in combination with a CDK 4/6 inhibitor. If there is disease progression after this there is no specific standard of care therapy. As such, these patients are in particular need for therapeutic options following disease progression on standard endocrine therapy.

There are also a number of patient values expressed within our submission that are not reflected in the draft recommendation. While quality of life and slowing progression of the disease were important considerations for patients surveyed by our organization, so too were other factors. Patients engaged by CBCN emphasized strong preferences for the following:

- Treatments that stabilize disease are extremely valued.
- Patients wish to avoid chemotherapy but are willing to tolerate adverse events for treatments that could offer benefits.

"70% of patients indicated that when it comes to pain, some or a moderate impact on one's quality of life would be considered acceptable, and 27% of patients indicated that a strong or debilitating impact would be considered acceptable"

"I'm one of the administrators of a support group on Facebook of everyone who is on Piqray, and we have people in the group who were on the original trial. So it is a drug that people seem to be able to stay on for a good amount of time, despite the side effects and some of the difficulty in managing them. "-Patient Respondent

 $\times$ 

_	Treatments with the possibility of reducing progression of the disease are valued by
	metastatic patients.

"34% of respondents were willing to accept serious risk with treatment if it would control the disease • 45% of respondents were willing to accept some risk with treatment • 21% of respondents were very concerned and felt less comfortable with serious risks with treatment"

- Having choice in treatment options is valued by metastatic patients.

"I think patients (ESPECIALLY young patients) should be given more decision making power in terms of access to radical treatments to control disease. [...] With two small I am determined to access any treatment that can extend my life and I hate struggling with doctors for this access." –

"Accessibility to new drugs- not limiting choices." – Patient Respondent "Complete access to drug treatment choices and trials." – Patient Respondent

"It means that I have another option. it means that if my body doesn't respond to something else, Piqray is an option. Having something that targets a mutation, having something that targets something that is specific to my cancer makes it more likely that my cancer will respond. And that's the goal all the way around." -Patient Respondent

 Many patients experience significant barriers to accessing private insurance or high out-ofpocket costs even with some private insurance coverage.

*"I worry that in the future, a drug that may work for me won't be accessible to me based on provincial formulary." -Patient respondent* 

"It is expensive. Private insurance is working but not the answer." -Patient respondent "

"The lack of support is a Health Crisis - people are dying because the cost of treatment is not covered." -Patient respondent

- Access to precision oncology treatments are valued by metastatic breast cancer patients

"I think the biggest thing is having options that are specific to mutations equals longer lives for people with terminal cancer, and I think that that's really important." -Patient Respondent

Clarity of the draft recommendation

3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?

Yes 🛛 🖾

	No	
We do not object to the language in the recommendation, but rather the recommendation itself.		
1. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the	Yes	X
recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the	Yes	
conditions provided in the recommendation?	No	
While the language in the recommendation is clear, we remain uncertain as to how the committee this recommendation.	e came	to
The draft recommendation states that "There is insufficient evidence that alpelisib meets an unme therapeutic need in the patient population requested for reimbursement by the sponsor. Patients desire for treatments that delay progression of their disease, prolong life without sacrificing qualit have fewer adverse effects than current therapies."	expres	
As mentioned above, we feel that the interpretation of the patient experience and values contain our submission are not uniformly reflected in the draft recommendation.	ed withi	in
We note that there remains an unmet need for treatment options for HR-positive breast cancer pa disease progression following treatment with endocrine therapy. We acknowledge that data unce exist around the extrapolation of benefit for patients following treatment with a CDK 4/6 inhibitor there is robust data supporting the benefit of alpelisib for patients with known PIK3CA mutations served the basis of its approval and funding in several other global jurisdictions.	ertaintie , howev	es ver
CBCN believes that data uncertainties in this case could be resolved through the supplemental ger real-world data based on conditional approval. This would allow metastatic breast cancer patients from effective therapy, while addressing the gaps in knowledge and data.		
We thank you for your time and consideration and for the opportunity to continue sharing our in working with CADTH to ensure that Canadian breast cancer patients are able to receive the best q care.		

<sup>a</sup> CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

#### **Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups**

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.

A. Patient G	roup Information						
Name	Niya Chari						
Position	Director of Health Policy and Public Affairs						
Date	October 14,2021						
$\boxtimes$	I hereby certify that I have the						
	matter involving this patient gr	oup with a com	npany, organizat	ion, or entity tha	t may pla	ce this	
	patient group in a real, potentia	al, or perceived	l conflict of inter	est situation.			
B. Assistanc	e with Providing Feedback						
			_		No	$\boxtimes$	
1. Did you	receive help from outside your	patient group	to complete you	Ir feedback?	Yes		
If yes, please	e detail the help and who provide	ed it.					
,,							
2. Did you	receive help from outside your	patient group	to collect or ana	lyze any	No	$\boxtimes$	
informa	tion used in your feedback?				Yes		
If yes, please	If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.						
C. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest							
	onflict of interest declarations p	•	• • •		No	$\boxtimes$	
	ed at the outset of the CADTH re		e those declarat	ions remained	Yes		
unchan	ged? If no, please complete sect	ion D below.					
D. New or U	pdated Conflict of Interest Decla	aration					
3. List any	companies or organizations tha	t have provide	d your group wi	th financial payr	nent ovei	r the past	
two yea	rs AND who may have direct or	indirect intere	st in the drug ur	nder review.			
			Check Appro	priate Dollar Ran	-		
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to	\$10,001 to	In Excess	s of	
			10,000	50,000	\$50,000		
Add compar	ny name				[		
Add compar	ny name				[		
Add or remo	ve rows as required						

#### **Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups**

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
  - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
  - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
  - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
  - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
  - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
3. Did you receive help from outside your clincian group to collect or analyze any information	No	
used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted	No	
at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no,	Yes	
please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Clinician 1		
Clinician 2		
Add additional (as required)		

#### C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 1	
Name	Please state full name	

Position	Please state currently held position
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any
	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

# **Conflict of Interest Declaration**

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 2					
Name	Please state full name				
Position	Please state currently held position				
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any				
	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may				
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				

## **Conflict of Interest Declaration**

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 3					
Name	Please state full name				
Position	Please state currently held position				
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)				
$\boxtimes$	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any				
	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may				
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				

#### **Conflict of Interest Declaration**

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 4					
Name	Please state full name				
Position	Please state currently held posi	tion			
Date	Please add the date form was c	ompleted (DD-	MM-YYYY)		
	I hereby certify that I have the	authority to dis	sclose all relevant	information with	respect to any
	matter involving this clinician o	r clinician grou	p with a company	, organization, or	entity that may
	place this clinician or clinician g	roup in a real,	potential, or perc	eived conflict of i	nterest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.				
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	e
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	Add company name         □         □         □				
Add company name					
Add or rem	ove rows as required				

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 5				
Name	Please state full name			
Position	Please state currently held position			
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any			
	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may			
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			
Conflict of Interest Declaration				
List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.				

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					