

## CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

# Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

**PEMBROLIZUMAB (Keytruda)**  
(Merck Canada Inc.)

**Indication:** For the treatment of adult patients with early-stage triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) in combination with chemotherapy as neoadjuvant treatment, and then continued as monotherapy as adjuvant treatment after surgery.

**August 18, 2022**

**Disclaimer:** The views expressed in each submission are those of the submitting organization or individual; not necessarily the views of CADTH or of other organizations. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting organization or individual and all conflict of interest information are included in the submission; however, the name of the author, including the name of an individual patient or caregiver submitting the feedback, are not posted.

CADTH is committed to treating people with disabilities in a way that respects their dignity and independence, supports them in accessing material in a timely manner, and provides a robust feedback process to support continuous improvement. All materials prepared by CADTH are available in an accessible format. Where materials provided to CADTH by a submitting organization or individual are not available in an accessible format, CADTH will provide a summary document upon request. More details on CADTH's accessibility policies can be found [here](#).

## CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information		
CADTH project number	PC0279-000	
Brand name (generic)	Pembrolizumab (Keytruda)	
Indication(s)	Keytruda is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with early-stage TNBC in combination with chemotherapy as neoadjuvant treatment, and then continued as monotherapy as adjuvant treatment after surgery.	
Organization	Ontario Health (CCO) Breast Cancer Drug Advisory Committee	
Contact information <sup>a</sup>	Name: Dr. Andrea Eisen	
Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation		
1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Please explain why the stakeholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. Whenever possible, please identify the specific text from the recommendation and rationale.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- [Table 2: in response to dose dense recommendation] The DAC raises concerns about the safety about the addition of growth factors with dose dense chemotherapy. The protocol allows for growth factors with dose dense and it also allowed for secondary prophylaxis but there is limited evidence with pembrolizumab. There is concern about possible pneumonitis risk with growth factors and pembrolizumab. The DAC recognizes that the chemotherapy regimens used in the trial are not the standard for high-risk breast cancer patients in the province.</li> <li>- [Table 2: Maintenance after surgery] The DAC favours that the addition of capecitabine should be at the clinicians discretion.</li> </ul>		
Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input		
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
If not, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?		
Clarity of the draft recommendation		
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		

<sup>a</sup> CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

## Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the [Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews](#) for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
  - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
  - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
  - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
  - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
  - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
<b>1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?</b>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ontario Health provided secretariat function to the DAC.		
<b>2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any information used in this submission?</b>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
<b>3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.</b>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Andrea Eisen</li> <li>• Dr. Phillip Blanchette</li> </ul>		

# CADTH Reimbursement Review

## Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PC0279
Name of the drug and Indication(s)	Pembrolizumab for triple-negative breast cancer
Organization Providing Feedback	PAG

### 1. Recommendation revisions

Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation.

Request for Reconsideration	Major revisions: A change in recommendation <b>category</b> or patient <b>population</b> is requested	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement <b>conditions</b> is requested	<input type="checkbox"/>
No Request for Reconsideration	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation <b>text</b> are requested	X
	No requested revisions	<input type="checkbox"/>

### 2. Change in recommendation category or conditions

Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

In Table 1. Reimbursement Conditions and Reasons, PAG is requesting the following revisions:

- Defining the duration of therapy to be consistent with past pERC recommendations
- under the heading "Pricing" in the "Reason" column, deleting the text " *This analysis is associated with additional uncertainty given that the treatment was not evaluated as a weight-based dose, so this may influence efficacy, compliance, and adverse events.*"

### 3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

a) Recommendation rationale
None.
b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons
None.
c) Implementation guidance
None.

# CADTH Reimbursement Review

## Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PC0279
Brand name (generic)	KEYTRUDA® (pembrolizumab)
Indication(s)	KEYTRUDA®, is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with high-risk early-stage triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) in combination with chemotherapy as neoadjuvant treatment, and then continued as monotherapy as adjuvant treatment after surgery.
Organization	Merck Canada Inc.
Contact information <sup>a</sup>	[REDACTED]
Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation	
1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain why the stakeholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. Whenever possible, please identify the specific text from the recommendation and rationale.	
Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input	
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No <input type="checkbox"/>
If not, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?	
Clarity of the draft recommendation	
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No <input type="checkbox"/>
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.	
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No <input type="checkbox"/>
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.	
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No <input type="checkbox"/>
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.	

<sup>a</sup> CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.