

CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

amifampridine phosphate (Firdapse)

(KYE Pharmaceuticals Inc.)

Indication: Lambert-Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome, adults

June 3, 2022

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

| Stakeholder information | |
|-------------------------|--|
| CADTH project number | SR0664 |
| Name of the drug and | Amifampridine phosphate (Firdapse) for the treatment of Lambert- |
| Indication(s) | Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS) in adults |
| Organization Providing | FWG |
| Feedback | |

1. Recommendation revisions

Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation.

| | recommendation. | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | Request for Reconsideration | Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested | |
| | | Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested | |
| | No Request for | Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested | х |
| Re | Reconsideration | No requested revisions | |

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions

Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

a) Recommendation rationale

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

- 1. In the renewal criteria, it would be helpful to clarify that 'alternative measurements' refer to non-ambulatory patients.
- 2. In the discussion points, clarification should be added that the less specific scoring system refers to the QMG.
- 3. In Table 2: Summary of Drug Plan Input and Clinical Expert Response:
- the reference to Ruzurgi's Health Canada approved indication should be removed.
 Ruzurgi no longer has Health Canada approval.

Suggest removal of the following sentence to help with recommendation clarity: Considering the variability of resources across Canada, a combination of assessments as determined by the treating physician would be reasonable.

c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

| CADTH project number | | | | |
|--|---|---------|--------|--|
| CADTH project number | SR0664 | | | |
| Brand name (generic) | FIRDAPSE (amifampridine phosphate) | | | |
| Indication(s) | For the treatment of Lambert-Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome (I | EMS) in | | |
| | adults. | | | |
| Organization | KYE Pharmaceuticals Inc. | | | |
| Contact information ^a | Name: Eryn Corriveau | | | |
| Stakeholder agreement wi | th the draft recommendation | | | |
| 1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation. | | | X | |
| 1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation. | No [| | | |
| • | ttee's recommendation for the following reasons: ctive alternative treatment options are currently available," it is | | | |
| to fill the unmet need and provide Canadian patients with an effective treatment option for the symptomatic treatment of LEMS. Results of LMS-002 and LMS-003 demonstrated that "continuous treatment of patients with amifampridine phosphate was associated with a reduction in disability progression compared with patients for whom amifampridine phosphate was replaced with placebo." KYE agrees with the committee that FIRDAPSE demonstrates a clinical benefit for the symptomatic treatment of LEMS patients. The committee noted that "amifampridine phosphate was less costly compared with amifampridine base and considered similarly effective." Based on the results of DAPSEL, the efficacy of amifampridine phosphate and amifampridine base were not expected to differ. Thus, KYE agrees with the committee that FIRDAPSE represents the least costly formulation of amifampridine, an effective treatment option for LEMS. | | | | |
| Expert committee conside | eration of the stakeholder input | | | |
| | on demonstrate that the committee has considered the | Yes [| | |
| | our organization provided to CADTH? | No [| | |
| Not Applicable. | | | | |
| Clarity of the draft recomm | nendation | | | |
| 3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated? | | | | |
| 3. Are the reasons for the | recommendation clearly stated? | _ | | |
| | recommendation clearly stated? | | | |
| n/a | · | No [| = ⊠ | |
| n/a 4. Have the implementation | n issues been clearly articulated and adequately | No [| | |
| n/a 4. Have the implementation addressed in the recommendation | n issues been clearly articulated and adequately | No [| = ⊠ | |
| n/a 4. Have the implementation addressed in the recommon/a | n issues been clearly articulated and adequately | No [| | |

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

n/a