

CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

TRASTUZUMAB DERUXTECAN (Enhertu)

(AstraZeneca Canada Inc.)

Indication: As monotherapy for the treatment of adult patients with unresectable or metastatic HER2-low (IHC 1+ or IHC 2+/ISH-) breast cancer who have received at least one prior line of chemotherapy in the metastatic setting or developed disease recurrence during or within 6 months of completing adjuvant chemotherapy. Patients with hormone receptor positive (HR+) breast cancer should have received at least one and be no longer considered eligible for endocrine therapy.

June 15, 2023

Disclaimer: The views expressed in each submission are those of the submitting organization or individual; not necessarily the views of CADTH or of other organizations. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting organization or individual and all conflict of interest information are included in the submission; however, the name of the author, including the name of an individual patient or caregiver submitting the feedback, are not posted.

CADTH is committed to treating people with disabilities in a way that respects their dignity and independence, supports them in accessing material in a timely manner, and provides a robust feedback process to support continuous improvement. All materials prepared by CADTH are available in an accessible format. Where materials provided to CADTH by a submitting organization or individual are not available in an accessible format, CADTH will provide a summary document upon request. More details on CADTH's accessibility policies can be found <u>here</u>.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information		
CADTH project number	PC0305-000	
Brand name (generic)	Enhertu (Trastuzumab deruxtecan)	
Indication(s)	As monotherapy for the treatment of adult patients with unress metastatic HER2-low (IHC 1+ or IHC 2+/ISH-) breast cancer or received a prior chemotherapy in the metastatic setting or devide disease recurrence during or within 6 months of completing a chemotherapy. Patients with hormone receptor positive (HR+ cancer should additionally have received or be ineligible for e therapy.	who have veloped djuvant) breast
Organization	Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Breast Cancer Drug Ac Committee	lvisory
Contact information ^a	Name: Dr. Andrea Eisen	
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation	
Please explain why the stak	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes⊠No□/henever
	specific text from the recommendation and rationale.	
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input	
2. Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?	Yes ⊠ No □
2. Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	
2. Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation?	
 Does the recommendatistakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are mis Clarity of the draft recommons Are the reasons for the 	tion demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated?	
 Does the recommendatistakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are mis Clarity of the draft recommons Are the reasons for the 	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? mendation	No □ Yes ⊠
 2. Does the recommendatistakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are mis Clarity of the draft recommendation 3. Are the reasons for the If not, please provide details 	ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? is regarding the information that requires clarification. n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	No □ Yes ⊠

In the DESTINY-Breast04 trial, patients were monitored every 6 weeks with CT scans for early detection of ILD/pneumonitis. There is guidance to suggest a less intense CT monitoring for ILD may be possible in keeping consistent with the typical schedule for monitoring therapeutic response.

Patients with low ER expression (IHC 1-10%) should be eligible for trastuzumab deruxtecan without having received prior endocrine therapy.¹

 D.A.A. Cameron, W. Jacot, T. Yamashita, M.J. Vidal Losada, et al. 92MO DESTINY-Breast04 subgroup analyses of trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-DXd) vs treatment of physician's choice (TPC) in patients (pts) with human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2)-low, estrogen-receptor (ER) expression immunohistochemistry (IHC) 0-10% metastatic breast cancer (mBC), ESMO Open,2023, 8(1). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esmoop.2023.101381

5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationaleYes□for the conditions provided in the recommendation?No⊠

If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

The DAC agrees with the CADTH pathology experts' recommendation that it is necessary to re-read archival samples from prior to 2022, for patients' whose result is IHC 0, by clinician request. The DAC is aware that some pathologists suggest there may be benefit in reviewing IHC 1+ cases, but the DAC is concerned about feasibility and access to treatment.

In the DESTINY-Breast04 trial, patients were monitored every 6 weeks with CT scans for early detection of ILD/pneumonitis. There is guidance to suggest a less intense CT monitoring for ILD may be possible in keeping consistent with the typical schedule for monitoring therapeutic response.

Patients with low ER expression (IHC 1-10%) should be eligible for trastuzumab deruxtecan without having received prior endocrine therapy.¹

 D.A.A. Cameron, W. Jacot, T. Yamashita, M.J. Vidal Losada, et al. 92MO DESTINY-Breast04 subgroup analyses of trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-DXd) vs treatment of physician's choice (TPC) in patients (pts) with human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2)-low, estrogen-receptor (ER) expression immunohistochemistry (IHC) 0-10% metastatic breast cancer (mBC), ESMO Open,2023, 8(1). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esmoop.2023.101381

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	
	Yes	\boxtimes
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
OH-CCO provided secretariat function to the group.		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.	100	
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:	<u>.</u>	
Dr. Andrea Eisen		
Dr Philip Blanchette		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 1
Name	Dr. Orit Freedman
Position	Member, OH-CCO Breast Cancer Drug Advisory Committee
Date	09-06-2023
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	f Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range					
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000		
Add company name						
Add company name						

New or Up	w or Updated Declaration for Clinician 2						
Name	Alaina Charlton						
Position	Member, OH-CCO Breast Cancer Drug Advisory Committee						
Date	09-06-2023						
⊠ Conflict of	I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician g	clinician group	with a company,	organization, or e	entity that may		
	mpanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two		
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je		
Company							
Add compa	any name						
Add compa	any name						



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PC0305
Name of the drug and	Trastuzumab deruxtecan for unresectable or metastatic HER2-low
Indication(s)	breast cancer
Organization Providing	PAG
Feedback	
1. Recommendation revis	sions

Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation.					
Request for	Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested				
Reconsideration	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested				
No Request for	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested	x			
Reconsideration	No requested revisions				

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions

Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

N/A

3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

a) Recommendation rationale

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification. N/A

b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

N/A

c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.



A provisional funding algorithm (panel algorithm) is needed.

CADTH

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information			
CADTH project number	PC0305-000		
Brand name (generic)	Enhertu (trastuzumab deruxtecan)		
Indication(s)	unresectable or metastatic HER2-low breast cancer		
Organization	Rethink Breast Cancer		
Contact information ^a	Name: MJ DeCoteau		
Stakeholder agreement wi	ith the draft recommendation		
1. Does the stakeholder ag	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No	\boxtimes
	ceholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. V specific text from the recommendation and rationale.	Vhenevo	ər
negative patients and that tho	with the recommendation, especially that it includes both HR-posit se patients who had been previously classified as mTNBC and treate Enhertu. We are also very pleased to see that access is not limited rapy.	ed with	
Our concern is with wording re wording "uncontrolled" be cha	egarding reimbursement condition 2.2 in Table 1 on page 4. We rea anged to "symptomatic."	quest th	e
radiosurgery, whole-brain radi delay these interventions. WB due to the associated cognitive	some form of control of brain metastasis with either surgery, stered iation or surgery, there will be some circumstances that individuals RT is especially something many patients in our community try to av e decline and resources for interventions like Gamma Knife are not eemed asymptomatic, we would like to see them have Enhertu as a	will wan void or d even acr	elay oss
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input		
	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?	Yes No	
If not, what aspects are miss	sing from the draft recommendation?		
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation		
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes No	
If not, please provide details	s regarding the information that requires clarification.		_
4 Have the implementation	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately		
addressed in the recom		Yes No	
addressed in the recom			

5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
Our concern is with wording regarding reimbursement condition 2.2 in Table 1 on page 4. We re- wording "uncontrolled" be changed to "symptomatic."	quest th	e
While most patients will have some form of control of brain metastasis with either surgery, stered radiosurgery, whole-brain radiation or surgery, there will be some circumstances that individuals delay these interventions. WBRT is especially something many patients in our community try to a due to the associated cognitive decline and resources for interventions like Gamma Knife are not	will war void or o	delay

the country. If the patient is deemed asymptomatic, we would like to see them have Enhertu as an option.

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.

A. Patient	Group Information					
Name	Mary Jo DeCoteau					
Position	Executive Director					
Date	June 14, 2023					
	I hereby certify that I have the a matter involving this patient gro patient group in a real, potentia	up with a comp	any, organizatio	n, or entity that m		
B. Assista	nce with Providing Feedback					
4 511				6 1 1 0	No	\boxtimes
1. Did yo	u receive help from outside you	ir patient grou	p to complete y	our feedback?	Yes	
	u receive help from outside you	ır patient grou	p to collect or a	analyze any	No	\boxtimes
inform	u receive help from outside you ation used in your feedback? se detail the help and who provide		p to collect or a	analyze any	No Yes	
inform If yes, plea C. Previou	ation used in your feedback? se detail the help and who provide sly Disclosed Conflict of Interes	ed it.			Yes	
inform If yes, plea C. Previou 1. Were	ation used in your feedback? se detail the help and who provide sly Disclosed Conflict of Interest conflict of interest declarations	ed it. St provided in pa	tient group inp	ut that was	Yes	
inform If yes, plea C. Previou 1. Were o submi	ation used in your feedback? se detail the help and who provide sly Disclosed Conflict of Interest conflict of interest declarations tted at the outset of the CADTH	ed it. st provided in pa review and ha	tient group inp	ut that was	Yes	
inform If yes, plea C. Previou 1. Were o submi uncha	ation used in your feedback? se detail the help and who provide sly Disclosed Conflict of Interest conflict of interest declarations	ed it. St provided in pa review and ha ection D below	tient group inp	ut that was	Yes	
inform If yes, plea C. Previou 1. Were of submi uncha D. New or 3. List ar	ation used in your feedback? se detail the help and who provide sly Disclosed Conflict of Interest conflict of interest declarations tted at the outset of the CADTH nged? If no, please complete se	ed it. provided in pa review and ha ection D below claration hat have provi	itient group inp ive those declar ided your grou	ut that was rations remained p with financial p	Yes No Yes Dayment	
inform If yes, plea C. Previou 1. Were o submi uncha D. New or 3. List ar	ation used in your feedback? se detail the help and who provide sly Disclosed Conflict of Interest conflict of interest declarations tted at the outset of the CADTH nged? If no, please complete se Updated Conflict of Interest Dec by companies or organizations t	ed it. provided in pa review and ha ection D below claration hat have provi	itient group inp ive those declar ided your group t interest in the	ut that was rations remained p with financial p	Yes No Yes Yes Dayment ew.	
inform If yes, plea C. Previou 1. Were o submi uncha D. New or 3. List ar	ation used in your feedback? se detail the help and who provide sly Disclosed Conflict of Interest conflict of interest declarations tted at the outset of the CADTH nged? If no, please complete se Updated Conflict of Interest Dec by companies or organizations t	ed it. provided in pa review and ha ection D below claration hat have provi	itient group inp ive those declar ided your group t interest in the	ut that was rations remained p with financial p drug under revie priate Dollar Rar \$10,001 to	Yes No Yes Yes Dayment ew.	over the
inform If yes, plea C. Previou 1. Were of submi uncha D. New or 3. List ar past to Company	ation used in your feedback? se detail the help and who provide sly Disclosed Conflict of Interest conflict of interest declarations in tted at the outset of the CADTH nged? If no, please complete se Updated Conflict of Interest Dec ny companies or organizations t wo years AND who may have dir	ed it. provided in pa review and ha ection D below claration hat have provi	itient group inp tive those declar ided your group t interest in the <u>Check Appro</u> \$5,001 to	ut that was rations remained p with financial p drug under revie priate Dollar Rar \$10,001 to	Yes Yes No Yes Payment ew. nge In Exces \$50,000	over the
inform If yes, plea C. Previou 1. Were o submi uncha D. New or 3. List ar past ty	ation used in your feedback? se detail the help and who provide sly Disclosed Conflict of Interest conflict of interest declarations in tted at the outset of the CADTH nged? If no, please complete se Updated Conflict of Interest Dec ny companies or organizations t wo years AND who may have dir	ed it. provided in pa review and ha ection D below claration hat have provi- rect or indirect \$0 to 5,000	itient group inp tive those declar ided your group t interest in the <u>Check Appro</u> \$5,001 to 10,000	ut that was rations remained p with financial p drug under revie priate Dollar Rar \$10,001 to 50,000	Yes Yes No Yes Dayment ew. In Exces \$50,000	over the



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

CADTH project number	PC0305		
Brand name (generic)	Enhertu (trastuzumab deruxtecan)		
Indication(s)	Enhertu for the treatment of adult patients with unresectable of	or	
	metastatic HER2-low (IHC 1+ or IHC 2+/ISH-) breast cancer	who ha	ve
	received at least one prior line of chemotherapy in the metast	atic set	ting
	or developed disease recurrence during or within 6 months of	f	
	completing adjuvant chemotherapy. Patients with hormone re	-	
	positive (HR+) breast cancer should have received at least or	ne and	be
	no longer considered eligible for endocrine therapy.		
Organization	AstraZeneca Canada Inc.		
Contact information ^a			
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation		
		Yes	\boxtimes
1. Does the stakeholder ag	gree with the committee's recommendation.	No	
Please explain why the stak	ceholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. W		
	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale.		
statistically significant and c and overall survival (OS) as	tatic HER2-low (IHC 1+ or IHC 2+/ISH-) breast cancer based or clinically meaningful improvements in both progression-free sur- demonstrated in the DESTINY-Breast04 (DB-04) trial.		FS)
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input		
2. Does the recommendat	ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?	Yes No	
2. Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y			

- Changes in the economic models are unlikely to reflect the value innovative medicines, like Enhertu, bring to HER2-low metastatic patients
 - AZ recognizes that the DB-04 trial was not powered to detect differences in HRQoL outcomes and that CADTH guidelines recommend against the use of treatment-state specific utilities values. However, the use of health state-specific utilities, as in the CADTH base-case, assumes that all patients that were progression-free/progressed had the same experience regardless of treatment.
 - In the DB-04 trial, Enhertu provided a statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvement in OS and PFS compared with TPC and this has translated to a benefit in HRQoL that extends beyond median PFS and treatment discontinuation. The time to definitive deterioration in patient-reported outcomes such as pain symptoms, physical functioning, emotional functioning, and social functioning were substantially longer than median PFS (12.8-19.2 months relative to 10.1 months mPFS). As such, health statespecific utilities are unlikely to capture these treatment-specific benefits in HRQoL.
 - Additionally, as highlighted by the clinical experts consulted by CADTH, "The benefits seen in the DESTINY-Breast04 are meaningful and valuable, particularly because there has not been a therapy that has demonstrated this magnitude of survival benefit in the HER2-low patient population" (Clinical Review Report, page 18). Seeing that there is no other treatment with comparable outcomes to what Enhertu showed in DB-04, it makes it difficult to validate the long-term extrapolation of the Enhertu OS results, which can be more than the conservative survival benefits estimated in the AZ base-case. As such, these economic models are unlikely to adequately capture the long-term benefits Enhertu provides and subsequently undervalues the true value of Enhertu to HER2-low patients.
- In CADTH's reanalysis of the budget impact model, "CADTH changed the proportion of patients who were HR-positive who received second-line chemotherapy and were refractory to prior ET to 60%, and proportion of patients who received a third-line chemotherapy (i.e., eligible in 3rd line) and were refractory to prior ET to 90% (i.e., eligible in 4th line)" based on clinical expert opinion (page 28 of the Pharmacoeconomic Review Report)
 - AZ's estimates of patients eligible in 3rd line and 4th line were informed by 45 Canadian medical oncologists and 171 patient charts across Canada.
 - While AZ appreciates CADTH acknowledging that the proportion of patients eligible for 3rd and 4th line Enhertu may vary due to jurisdictional differences in 2nd line ET funding across Canada, the proportions used by CADTH in their reanalysis are well above the jurisdictional averages observed across Canada. Based on market research, no region in Canada has proportions aligned to CADTH's assumptions and demonstrate significantly lower proportions for patients eligible in 3rd line and 4th line. The AZ submitted model is reflective of both the provisional funding algorithm for hormone receptor-positive breast cancer and the current treatment patterns in Canada.

Clarity of the draft recommendation					
Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?					
5. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?	No				
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.					
AZ agrees with pERC's conclusion that Enhertu provides a new treatment for the HER2-low population, regardless of hormone receptor status, that offers a statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvement in OS and PFS with a manageable toxicity profile.					

	Yes	\boxtimes
addressed in the recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
AZ agrees with pERC that there are two outstanding issues that we await advice on fro Drug Implementation Advice Panel:	om the CAI	ЭΤΗ
 "The clinical experts suggested that for patients with HER2-low BC the preferable second line may be trastuzumab deruxtecan over sacituzumab govitecan, as it is stargeted to the HER2 protein, however there is no clear consensus of sequencing (page 17 of the Clinical Review Report) "pERC discussed the eligibility of patients that are HR-positive but are functionally (HR-low). In this population, patients are generally treated as HR-negative and mather required endocrine therapy prior to initiating treatment with trastuzumab deruxter Therefore, pERC agreed that treatment sequencing and national consensus is needed to the management of these patients" (page 6 of the Draft Recommendation) 	pecifically of these dr HR-negati y not recei ecan. eded for the	rugs" ve ve
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rational for the conditions provided in the recommendation?		
 5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rational for the conditions provided in the recommendation? If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification. 	Yes No	

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.