

CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Ruxolitinib (Jakavi)

(Novartis Pharmaceutical Canada Inc.)

Indication: For the treatment of treatment of steroid refractory or dependent acute graft-versus-host disease in patients aged 12 years and older.

September 2, 2022

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information			
CADTH project number	SR0688-000		
Brand name (generic)	Jakavi (ruxolitinib)		
Indication(s)	Graft versus host disease		
Organization	Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Complex Malignant He	matolo	gy
Contact information ^a	Name: Dr. Tom Kouroukis		
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation		
4. Donath and July 11.	141 41 144 - 1	Yes	\boxtimes
1. Does the stakeholder ag	ree with the committee's recommendation.	No	
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input		
2. Does the recommendati	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	\boxtimes
stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?			
		•	•
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation		
2 Are the rescent for the	rocommondation algority stated?	Yes	\boxtimes
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?		No	
			ı
4. Have the implementation	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	\boxtimes
addressed in the recom	mendation?	No	
		1.,	
	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	\boxtimes
for the conditions provide	ded in the recommendation?	No	

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	
	Yes	\boxtimes
Ontario Health provided secretariat function to the DAC.		
O Did ver pasive halo from autaida varo aliminian mano ta callest an analyse and	l NI=	
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	\boxtimes
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Dr. Tom Kouroukis		
Dr. Christopher Bredeson		
'		

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

CADTH project number	SR 0688			
Brand name (generic)	JAKAVI (ruxolitinib)			
Indication(s)	For the treatment of steroid refractory or dependent acute graft-versus- host disease (GVHD) in adult and pediatric patients 12 years and older			
Organization	Cell Therapy Transplant Canada (CTTC)			
Contact information ^a	Kirk R. Schultz – CTTC President			
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation			
6. Does the stakeholder a	gree with the committee's recommendation?	Yes No	\boxtimes	
evaluation every 6 months. stopped during the first 3 or therapies. It is common pra	ation. However, for the frequency of renewal, we would sugges Once the patient responds to therapy it is unlikely that ruxolitin even 6 months. Also, we do not agree with not adding JAKAV ctice in treatment of aGVHD (or cGVHD) to add a therapy when	ib is ′I to oth		
JAKAVI if it is not working,	nd then taper off the other therapies. Similarly, the discontinuat is also likely going to be AFTER a different therapy is started. Notituation is providing partial help and an abrupt stop without givilead to a flare.	ion of Ne don	't	
JAKAVI if it is not working, in know if the JAKAVI in this so next therapy to work could	is also likely going to be AFTER a different therapy is started. \situation is providing partial help and an abrupt stop without givi	ion of Ne don	't	
JAKAVI if it is not working, know if the JAKAVI in this snext therapy to work could Expert committee consider. 7. Does the recommendate	is also likely going to be AFTER a different therapy is started. \situation is providing partial help and an abrupt stop without givilead to a flare.	ion of Ne don	't	
JAKAVI if it is not working, know if the JAKAVI in this s next therapy to work could Expert committee consider. 7. Does the recommendat stakeholder input that y	is also likely going to be AFTER a different therapy is started. Vituation is providing partial help and an abrupt stop without giving lead to a flare. Peration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the your organization provided to CADTH?	ion of We don ng time	't for	
JAKAVI if it is not working, know if the JAKAVI in this so next therapy to work could Expert committee consider. Does the recommendate	is also likely going to be AFTER a different therapy is started. Vituation is providing partial help and an abrupt stop without giving lead to a flare. Peration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the your organization provided to CADTH?	ion of We don ng time Yes No	't for	
JAKAVI if it is not working, know if the JAKAVI in this s next therapy to work could Expert committee consideration. Does the recommendate stakeholder input that y	is also likely going to be AFTER a different therapy is started. Vituation is providing partial help and an abrupt stop without giving lead to a flare. Peration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the your organization provided to CADTH?	ion of We don ng time	't for	
JAKAVI if it is not working, know if the JAKAVI in this s next therapy to work could Expert committee consideration. Does the recommendate stakeholder input that y	is also likely going to be AFTER a different therapy is started. Vituation is providing partial help and an abrupt stop without gividead to a flare. Peration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the your organization provided to CADTH? mendation	Yes Yes	't for	

If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the

rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation?

10.

Yes

No

 \boxtimes

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	\boxtimes
	Yes	
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
All HSCT or BMT centre directors have had an opportunity to provide input on this response and it has reviewed by the CTTC Board of Directors.	s beer	1
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	\boxtimes
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Kirk Schultz Imran Ahmad		
Mohamed Elemary		
Wilson Lam		
Jonas Mattsson		
Gizelle Popradi		
Mona Shafey		
		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 1		
Name	Chris Bredeson	
Position	Head, Malignant Hematology & Stem Cell Transplantation, The Ottawa Hospital	
Date	30-08-2022	

\boxtimes	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any
	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Novartis	\boxtimes			

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 2			
Name	Greg Guilcher		
Position	Paediatric Oncologist, Alberta Children's Hospital; Associate Professor, University of Calgary		
Date	31-08-2022		
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.		

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
None				

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 3			
Name	Terrance Comeau			
Position	Director, New Brunswick Stem Cell Transplant Program, Horizon Health Network			
Date	31-08-2022			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
None				

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 4

Name	Mahmoud Elsawy
Position	Assistant Professor, Dalhousie University
Date	31-08-2022
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Kite/Gilead				
Novartis	\boxtimes			
Bristol Myers Squibb	\boxtimes			

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 5
Name	Geoffrey Cuvelier
Position	Section Head, Department of Pediatric Oncology-Hematology-BMT, CancerCare Manitoba
Date	31-08-2022
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

Check Appropriate Dollar Ra			riate Dollar Rang	je
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
None				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 6
Name	David Mitchell
Position	Director, Pediatric Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation, Montreal Children's Hospital
Date	31-08-2022
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

,	3
Company	Check Appropriate Dollar Range

	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
None				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 7
Name	Victor Lewis
Position	Associate Professor of Oncology and Pediatrics, University of Calgary, Alberta Children's Hospital
Date	31-08-2022
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Novartis	\boxtimes			

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 8				
Name	Kevin Song				
Position	Interim Medical Director, Leukemia/BMT Program of BC, Vancouver General Hospital				
Date	31-08-2022				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				

Conflict of Interest Declaration

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
None				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 9
Name	Anargyros Xenocostas
Position	Director, Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Program, London Health Sciences-Victoria Hospital
Date	31-08-2022
\boxtimes	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Novartis (Advisory boards)	\boxtimes			

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 10
Name	Jacob Rozmus
Position	Pediatric Hematologist-Oncologist, BMT Director, BC Children's Hospital; Clinical Assistant
	Professor, University of British Columbia
Date	31-08-2022
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
None					

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 11
Name	Joanne Hickey
Position	Associate Professor of Medicine (Hematology), Memorial University
Date	31-08-2022
×	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

		Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000		
None						

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 12
Name	Geneviève Gallagher
Position	Directrice médicale du Programme de Transplantation de cellules Hématopoiétiques et de
	Thérapie Cellulaire, CHU de Québec- Université Laval
Date	31-08-2022

\boxtimes	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any
	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

		Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	је
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
None				

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0688
Name of the drug and Indication(s)	Ruxolitinib (Jakavi) for the treatment of treatment of steroid refractory or dependent acute graft-versus-host disease in patients aged 12 years and older
Organization Providing Feedback	FWG

1. Recommendation revisions

Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation.

100011111011dation.		
Request for	Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested	
Reconsideration	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested	
No Request for	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested	Х
Reconsideration	No requested revisions	

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

a) Recommendation rationale

It would helpful to drug plans to differentiate 'systemic therapies' which may be used for alternative conditions, unrelated to immune suppression or treatment of aGvHD.

b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

In the prescribing conditions, (table item # 7) it would be helpful if 'systemic therapies' could be clarified to reflect systemic therapies for treatment of aGvHD.

c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

Outstanding Implementation Issues

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

Algorithm and implementation questions

- 1. Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
- 1.
- 2.
- 2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH
- 1.
- 2.

Support strategy

3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?

May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology), etc.

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information			
CADTH project number	SR 0688		
Brand name (generic)	JAKAVI (ruxolitinib)		
Indication(s)	For the treatment of steroid refractory or dependent acute grant host disease (GVHD) in adult and pediatric patients 12 year		
Organization	The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society, CLL Canada, Lympho	ma Ca	nada
Contact information ^a	Name: Sabrina Hanna		
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation		
1. Dogg the stakeholder a		Yes	Х
1. Does the stakeholder a	gree with the committee's recommendation.	No	
significant unmet need for a	ciated with substantial morbidity and mortality and currently the additional effective treatments for patients. There are current		а
standard of care treatments importance in fulfilling that p daily QoL. According to pa their QoL as reported in the	additional effective treatments for patients. There are current is available and consequently availability of ruxolitinib is of utnotient need. Further, aGvHD symptoms significantly impacts tients surveyed, Ruxolitinib was an effective treatment that impatient input provided to CADTH. Eration of the stakeholder input	ly no nost s patier	nts'
standard of care treatments importance in fulfilling that pladily QoL. According to patheir QoL as reported in the Expert committee consider. 2. Does the recommendate.	additional effective treatments for patients. There are current is available and consequently availability of ruxolitinib is of utnotatient need. Further, aGvHD symptoms significantly impacts tients surveyed, Ruxolitinib was an effective treatment that impatient input provided to CADTH. Peration of the stakeholder input Sion demonstrate that the committee has considered the	ly no nost s patier	nts'
standard of care treatments importance in fulfilling that placed daily QoL. According to patheir QoL as reported in the Expert committee considerable. 2. Does the recommendates.	additional effective treatments for patients. There are current is available and consequently availability of ruxolitinib is of utnotient need. Further, aGvHD symptoms significantly impacts tients surveyed, Ruxolitinib was an effective treatment that impatient input provided to CADTH. Eration of the stakeholder input	ly no nost s patier nproved	nts'
standard of care treatments importance in fulfilling that plaily QoL. According to patheir QoL as reported in the Expert committee considerable. 2. Does the recommendate stakeholder input that the while the report provides a highlight that many patient properly diagnosed and multiple visits to the hospital	additional effective treatments for patients. There are current is available and consequently availability of ruxolitinib is of utnotatient need. Further, aGvHD symptoms significantly impacts tients surveyed, Ruxolitinib was an effective treatment that impatient input provided to CADTH. Peration of the stakeholder input Sion demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes No not to being require	nts'
standard of care treatments importance in fulfilling that plaily QoL. According to patheir QoL as reported in the Expert committee considerable. 2. Does the recommendate stakeholder input that with the report provides a highlight that many patient properly diagnosed and multiple visits to the hospital outpatient treatment which	additional effective treatments for patients. There are current is available and consequently availability of ruxolitinib is of utnotation need. Further, aGvHD symptoms significantly impacts tients surveyed, Ruxolitinib was an effective treatment that impatient input provided to CADTH. Beration of the stakeholder input Stion demonstrate that the committee has considered the your organization provided to CADTH? Begood summary of the input provided, we feel it is important as must be seen by multiple healthcare professionals before the stalso take many treatments that complicate symptoms and all In addition to the above, ruxolitinib can be administered as is preferred by patients surveyed.	Yes No not to being require	x
standard of care treatments importance in fulfilling that plaily QoL. According to patheir QoL as reported in the Expert committee considerable. 2. Does the recommendate stakeholder input that the While the report provides a highlight that many patient properly diagnosed and multiple visits to the hospits outpatient treatment which Clarity of the draft recommendate in the committee of the committee of the clarity of the draft recommits in the committee of the clarity of the draft recommits in the committee of the clarity of the draft recommits in the clarity of the cla	additional effective treatments for patients. There are current is available and consequently availability of ruxolitinib is of utnotatient need. Further, aGvHD symptoms significantly impacts tients surveyed, Ruxolitinib was an effective treatment that impatient input provided to CADTH. Beration of the stakeholder input Significantly impacts the patient input provided to CADTH. Beration of the stakeholder input Significantly impacts that the committee has considered the your organization provided to CADTH? Begood summary of the input provided, we feel it is importates must be seen by multiple healthcare professionals before the stalso take many treatments that complicate symptoms and all In addition to the above, ruxolitinib can be administered as is preferred by patients surveyed. Therefore are current to the surveyed to the patients and all in addition to the above, ruxolitinib can be administered as is preferred by patients surveyed.	Yes No not to being require	nts'
standard of care treatments importance in fulfilling that plaily QoL. According to patheir QoL as reported in the Expert committee considerable. 2. Does the recommendate stakeholder input that the While the report provides a highlight that many patient properly diagnosed and multiple visits to the hospits outpatient treatment which Clarity of the draft recommendate in the committee of the committee of the clarity of the draft recommits in the committee of the clarity of the draft recommits in the committee of the clarity of the draft recommits in the clarity of the cla	additional effective treatments for patients. There are current is available and consequently availability of ruxolitinib is of utnotation need. Further, aGvHD symptoms significantly impacts tients surveyed, Ruxolitinib was an effective treatment that impatient input provided to CADTH. Beration of the stakeholder input Stion demonstrate that the committee has considered the your organization provided to CADTH? Begood summary of the input provided, we feel it is important as must be seen by multiple healthcare professionals before the stalso take many treatments that complicate symptoms and all In addition to the above, ruxolitinib can be administered as is preferred by patients surveyed.	Yes No not to being require	x X
standard of care treatments importance in fulfilling that plaily QoL. According to patheir QoL as reported in the Expert committee considerable. Does the recommendate stakeholder input that the While the report provides a highlight that many patient properly diagnosed and multiple visits to the hospits outpatient treatment which Clarity of the draft recommendation. 3. Are the reasons for the	additional effective treatments for patients. There are current is available and consequently availability of ruxolitinib is of utnotatient need. Further, aGvHD symptoms significantly impacts tients surveyed, Ruxolitinib was an effective treatment that impatient input provided to CADTH. Beration of the stakeholder input Significantly impacts the patient input provided to CADTH. Beration of the stakeholder input Significantly impacts that the committee has considered the your organization provided to CADTH? Begood summary of the input provided, we feel it is importates must be seen by multiple healthcare professionals before the stalso take many treatments that complicate symptoms and all In addition to the above, ruxolitinib can be administered as is preferred by patients surveyed. Therefore are current to the surveyed to the patients and all in addition to the above, ruxolitinib can be administered as is preferred by patients surveyed.	Yes No Yes No Yes No	x

addressed in the recommendation?	No		
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.			
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale			
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?			
The reimbursement conditions have been clearly stated and the rationale has been proving however, we are concerned with the rationale that a 65% reduction in price is necessary CADTH QALY and WTP. While we fully agree with a negotiated and appropriate price rethis therapy, we feel that the unmet need for these patients must be considered as a top purporting the reimbursement conditions for the reasons listed above.	to med	or	

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.

Please see t	he <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug</u>	<u>Reimbursemer</u>	<u>nt Reviews</u> for fu	ırther details.				
A. Patient G	Group Information							
Name	Sabrina Hanna							
Position	Advocacy Lead							
Date	31.08.2022							
Х	X I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this patient group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this patient group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.							
B. Assistan	ce with Providing Feedback							
4 Dialyan			to complete		No			
1. Did you	ı receive help from outside yo	ur patient gro	up to complete	your reedback	Yes	Х		
CLL Canada	a and Lymphoma Canada							
	receive help from outside you	ur patient gro	up to collect or	analyze any	No			
informa	tion used in your feedback?				Yes	X□		
Canada, Apl	Canada (LC), Lymphoma and Lo lastic Anemia & Myelodysplasia (CMPNRF), CML Network, MPN	Association of	[:] Canada (AAM <i>A</i>	AC), Canadian M	PN Rese	arch		
C. Previous	ly Disclosed Conflict of Intere	st						
	onflict of interest declarations ted at the outset of the CADTH				No			
	ged? If no, please complete s			arations remain	Yes	Х		
D. New or U	Ipdated Conflict of Interest De	claration						
3. List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over th past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.								
	Check Appropriate Dollar Range							
Company	\$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to \$50,000 In Excess of \$50,000							
Novartis	lovartis							

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information		
CADTH project number	SR0688	
Brand name (generic)	Ruxolitinib	
Indication(s)	Acute GvHD	
Organization	Novartis	
Contact information ^a		
Stakeholder agreement wi	ith the draft recommendation	
11. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.		
that "that ruxolitinib be reimble versus-host disease (aGvHI (Recommendation, page 3) Novartis also agrees aGvHD and the significant usevere nature of this disease page 3) Novartis agrees also efficacy results from the RE response outcomes in the Response outcomes in the Response outcomes with observed with ruxolitinib and points, page 8) Novartis agrees with	the CADTH Canadian Drug Expert Committee (CDEC) to reco bursed for the treatment of steroid refractory or dependent acut D) in adult and pediatric patients aged 12 years and older." with CDEC on the "rarity of steroid refractory and steroid dependent need for additional treatment options in this setting given e with substantial morbidity and mortality." (Rational for recommendational experts consulted by CADTH who "believed the ACH 2 trial were clinically meaningful and supportive of the repart of the patients." (Discussion points, page 8) CADTH on the safety profile: "no unexpected safety concerns of patients could be adequately managed in clinical practice." (Dependent of the similar treatment effect and safety profile among the treatment of the safety profile among the safety profile	endent the mendation hat the corted were Discussion
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input	
12. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?		
While Novartis agrees with t Novartis how price reduction ICER, based on CADTH rea threshold of \$50,000/QALY.		deed, this
Clarity of the draft recomn	nendation	
13. Are the reasons for	the recommendation clearly stated?	Yes ⊠ No □
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.	
	tation issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes 🗵
addressed in the recom-	mendation?	No □

If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
15. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation?		\boxtimes
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.