

CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

SELUMETINIB (Koselugo)

(Alexion Pharma GmbH)

Indication: Neurofibromatosis type 1

April 27, 2023

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information				
CADTH project number	SR0764-000			
Brand name (generic)	Koselugo (Selumetinib)			
Indication(s)	Neurofibromatosis Type I related Plexiform Neurofibroma			
Organization	Canadian Pediatric Brain Tumour Consortium			
Contact information ^a	Name: Vijay Ramaswamy			
Stakeholder agreement wi	ith the draft recommendation			
1. Does the stakeholder ag	ree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No		
	eholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. We specific text from the recommendation and rationale.	/henev	er	
Expert committee conside	ration of the stakeholder input			
2. Does the recommendation	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes		
	our organization provided to CADTH?	No		
If not, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?				
Clarity of the draft recomn	nendation	Ţ.		
3 Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes		
		No		
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.			
4. Have the implementation	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	\boxtimes	
addressed in the recommendation?		No		
	sts only pediatric neuro-oncologists, or pediatricians with know			
neuro-oncology – would suggest re-wording as pediatric oncologists and pediatric neuro-oncologists as the second group is vague.				
5. If applicable, are the rein	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	\boxtimes	
for the conditions provide	ded in the recommendation?	No		
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.			

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
 that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
 clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	\boxtimes
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	\boxtimes
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Clinician 1		
Clinician 2		
Add additional (as required)		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 1
Name	Please state full name
Position	Please state currently held position
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration

Check Appropriate Dollar Range					ge
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	any name				
Add compa	any name				
Add or rem	nove rows as required				
New or Up	ew or Updated Declaration for Clinician 2				
Name	Please state full name				
Position	Please state currently held pos	ition			
Date	Please add the date form was o		,		
	I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician g	clinician group	with a company,	organization, or e	entity that may
Conflict of	f Interest Declaration				
	mpanies or organizations that hat who may have direct or indirect				er the past two
				riate Dollar Ranç	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	any name				
Add compa	any name				
Add or rem	Add or remove rows as required				
New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	3			
Name	Please state full name				
Position	Please state currently held pos				
Date	Please add the date form was of	<u> </u>			
	I hereby certify that I have the	•			•
	matter involving this clinician or	0 1		,	,
	place this clinician or clinician g	roup in a real,	potential, or perce	eived conflict of in	terest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	mpanies or organizations that ha who may have direct or indirect				er the past two
				riate Dollar Ranç	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	any name				
Add compa	any name				
Add or remove rows as required					

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

Position	Please state currently held position						
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)						
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may						
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.						
Conflict of	Interest Declaration						
	mpanies or organizations that ha who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two		
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	е		
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000		
Add compa	nny name						
Add compa	nny name						
Add or remove rows as required							
New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 5						
Name	Please state full name						
Position	Please state currently held posi						
Date	Please add the date form was o						
	I hereby certify that I have the	•			•		
	<u> </u>	• .	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may				
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.						
	place this chilician of chilician g	roup in a real, p	ootential, or perce	ived conflict of int	•		
Conflict of	Interest Declaration	roup in a reai, p	ootential, or perce	ived conflict of int	•		
List any co		ve provided you	r group with finar	ncial payment ove	erest situation.		
List any cor years AND	Interest Declaration mpanies or organizations that have	ve provided you nterest in the d	r group with finar rug under review. Check Approp	ncial payment ove	r the past two		
List any co	Interest Declaration mpanies or organizations that have	ve provided you	r group with finar	ncial payment ove	r the past two		
List any cor years AND	Interest Declaration mpanies or organizations that have who may have direct or indirect in	ve provided you nterest in the d	r group with finar rug under review. Check Approp \$5,001 to	ncial payment ove riate Dollar Rang \$10,001 to	r the past two		
List any coryears AND	Interest Declaration Impanies or organizations that have who may have direct or indirect in the same any name	ve provided you nterest in the d \$0 to 5,000	r group with finar rug under review. Check Approp \$5,001 to 10,000	riate Dollar Rang \$10,001 to 50,000	r the past two In Excess of \$50,000		

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 4

Please state full name

Name



CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0764
Name of the drug and	Selumetinib (Koselugo) for pediatric neurofibromatosis type 1
Indication(s)	(NF1)
Organization Providing	FWG
Feedback	

 Recommendate Please indicate if the recommendation. 	ion revisions ne stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clari	fy its
Request for Reconsideration	Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested	
	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested	
No Request for Reconsideration	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested	X
	No requested revisions	

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

implementation questions can be raised here.

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

3. Clarity of the recommendation Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements a) Recommendation rationale Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification. b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification. c) Implementation guidance Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0764-000
Brand name (generic)	Selumetinib
Indication(s)	Koselugo
Organization	Tumour Foundation of BC
Contact information ^a	Name: Desiree Sher

Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation

1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.

Yes	?
No X	?

Please explain why the stakeholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. Whenever possible, please identify the specific text from the recommendation and rationale.

The Tumour Foundation of BC does agree with the draft recommendation that selumetinib be reimbursed for the treatment of paediatric patients aged 2 years and above with NF1 and symptomatic, inoperable plexiform neurofibromas. However we don't agree with all the conditions set forth in the document prepared by the CDEC that must be met for the drug to be funded.

The one condition that is concerning is condition 6: "The patient must be under the care of either a neurooncologist or a paediatrician with expertise in neurooncology."

In British Columbia, many of our community do not have access to specialists familiar with NF, and their child/ren's NF care is managed exclusively by a general practitioner, not a paediatrician. In rural areas some children with NF1 and plexiform tumours are cared for solely by a nurse practitioner.

This condition along with the discussion point on page 6 that, "clinical experts also emphasized the importance of consulting with other specialists including surgeons, cardiologists, ophthalmologists..." sets up a barrier to accessing this drug therapy for families in BC who do not have access to a specialized NF clinic where these consults can occur. In our November focus 2022 focus group parents shared their frustration in accessing specialized care to monitor their child's plexiform tumours.

"No one is checking on his NF, nobody's checking if an intervention should be happening now... It's all on us the parents or him to say there is a problem. No one is ordering regular scans or mapping the plexiform tumour or whatever that's on us to manage. And it seems off to me because if somebody had cancer you know there would oncologists that would be sort of tracking all the time"

"My son has a plexiform tumour the size of a dinner plate on his back and nobody ever wants to look at it unless we go, 'hey, check this out'. It's bizarre to me."

We would ask the CDEC to review this condition and take into consideration that in British Columbia accessing a neurooncologist or a paediatrician with expertise in neurooncology to prescribe this drug therapy may not be a condition possible for all families to meet.

2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	?
stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?	No	?
If not, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?		
Clarity of the draft recommendation		
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?	Yes X	?
	No	?
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
I. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?	Yes	?
addressed in the recommendation?	No	?
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
	Yes	
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	X	?
•	No	?

 $^{^{\}rm a}$ CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.

A. Patient G	roup Information					
Name	Desiree Sher					
Position	Executive Director					
Date	26-04-2023					
	X YES, I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this patient group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this patient group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.					
B. Assistan	ce with Providing Feedback					
1. Did you	receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to complete y	our feedback?	No X	?
•					Yes	?
If yes, please	e detail the help and who provide	d it.				
	receive help from outside you tion used in your feedback?	r patient grou	p to collect or a	nalyze any	No X Yes	[2]
If yes, please	e detail the help and who provide	d it.			103	ы
	ly Disclosed Conflict of Interes					
	1. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in patient group input that was No					?
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section D below.						
D. New or U	pdated Conflict of Interest Dec	laration				
List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.						
			Check Approp	oriate Dollar Ra	nge	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Exces \$50,000	s of
Alexion		2	?	?		? X



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0764
Brand name (generic)	KOSELUGO™ (selumetinib)
Indication(s)	For the treatment of pediatric patients aged 2 years and above, with
	neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) who have symptomatic, inoperable
	plexiform neurofibromas (PN).
Organization	Alexion Pharma GmbH
Contact information ^a	

Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation

1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.	res	
	No	

Alexion Pharma Canada Corp. (Alexion) agrees with the Committee's recommendation to reimburse KOSELUGO™ for the treatment of pediatric patients aged 2 years and above, with NF1 who have symptomatic, inoperable PN as per the initiation, renewal, discontinuation, and prescribing criteria described in Table 1 of the draft recommendation.

Alexion thanks the CADTH Canadian Drug Expert Committee (CDEC) for recognizing the significant unmet need in children with NF1 and symptomatic, inoperable PN and taking into account the patient and clinician feedback regarding the challenges of living with this serious and life-long disease. Alexion also thanks CADTH for the opportunity to have had KOSELUGO™ reviewed under CADTH's Complex Review Process, and acknowledges the critical importance of clinician input in this reimbursement review.

The reimbursement recommendations provided by CADTH are aligned with the submitted evidence and the pivotal Phase II SPRINT trial, and most importantly align with patient and clinician input regarding current clinical practice and patient care.

Alexion is fully committed to collaborating with the pan-Canadian Pharmaceutical Alliance (pCPA) and CADTH-participating drug plans to ensure appropriate and rapid access to KOSELUGO™ for Canadian children with this serious and rare disease, and remains confident that KOSELUGO™ represents a cost-effective and appropriate use of resources.

Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input				
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?	Yes	\boxtimes		
	No			
Yes, the committee has considered the evidence submitted and input provided by Alexion. Based on the rationale presented in the draft recommendation, it is clear that CDEC considered the totality of evidence provided by Alexion, and has recognized the clinical safety and efficacy of KOSELUGO™ as demonstrated in the pivotal SPRINT Phase II trial. Similarly, Alexion recognizes and appreciates the Committee's thorough consideration of the feedback provided by clinical experts, and clinician and patient groups in the preparation of the reimbursement recommendation and criteria.				
Clarity of the draft recommendation				
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	\boxtimes		
	No			
Yes, the reasons for the recommendation are clearly stated.				
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?	Yes	\boxtimes		
	No			
Yes, the implementation issues have been adequately addressed.		2		
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	\boxtimes		
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	No			
Yes, the reimbursement conditions and rationale are clearly stated.				

 $^{^{\}rm a}$ CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.