

■ Full Report

■ Summary



[Scan or Click](#)

**Project Line:**

Horizon Scan

**Published:**

July 2022

**Project Number:**

EH0093 / OP0551

# Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for the Treatment of Multiple Sclerosis – Guidance Statement

## Key Messages

The CADTH Health Technology Expert Review Panel (HTERP) offers the following guidance to help health systems prepare for potential uptake of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AH SCT) for the treatment of multiple sclerosis (MS) should emerging evidence continue to signal clinical benefit and an appropriate safety profile:

**Develop and implement systematic strategies** for offering monitoring and follow-up care closer to home for individuals currently offered AH SCT for the treatment of MS and those who may be offered AH SCT for the treatment of MS in the future.

**Build interprovincial and territorial agreements** and other mechanisms that include consideration of financial and logistical support when travel and short-term relocation are required to access AH SCT for MS.

**Develop clear and transparent guidelines and protocols**, including related training, that are acceptable for potential AH SCT candidates, their caregivers, and their clinicians.

**Ensure transplant centre capacity**, budget, and other required resources.

**Support novel relationships** and collaboration among MS and AH SCT health care professionals who would be involved in delivering care.

**Ensure equity in access** and equitable approaches to care delivery are guiding principles in providing AH SCT for treating MS.

## Context

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory autoimmune disorder that affects the central nervous system. Early symptoms may include fatigue, visual blurring, and difficulties in walking. In advanced stages, people with MS may develop paralysis, pain, tremor, and loss of mobility, which can severely affect their day-to-day life.

There are different clinical courses of MS, which are characterized by disease activity and disability progression. The most common course, relapse-remitting MS (RRMS), affects approximately 85% of people with MS and is marked by periods of distinct symptom flare-up followed by periods of remission when symptoms may completely

recover, although some symptoms may persist permanently. The standard treatment for MS is disease-modifying therapies (DMTs). DMTs, primarily in people with RRMS, have been shown to be clinically effective. However, the effectiveness typically lasts for 3 years or less, and the treatment is provided on an ongoing basis.

## Technology

Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AH SCT), a well-established therapy for many blood cancers, is an emerging treatment for MS and other autoimmune disorders. Existing evidence suggests that AH SCT might be a therapeutic option for select people with aggressive or highly active RRMS for whom DMTs provide limited disease control. During AH SCT, patients are treated with an immune-system conditioning regimen to partially or completely destroy the cells that may be damaging their central nervous system. Then, their immune system is reconstituted using stem cells that had previously been harvested from them and could provide an immune-system reset. In contrast with DMTs, AH SCT may provide effective disease control as a one-time procedure. Therefore, AH SCT could potentially alleviate the need for ongoing administration of DMTs that may have adverse events and be associated with recurring costs. AH SCT is offered as an option to treat MS for eligible recipients at 2 transplant centres in Canada, with some interprovincial agreements in place.

## Issue

Published research suggests that AH SCT might be a clinically effective and safe alternative to DMTs for select people with aggressive or highly active RRMS. Although this research has methodological limitations that contribute to clinical equipoise (i.e., uncertainty on how one treatment compares with the other), emerging data from ongoing phase III randomized controlled trials (RCTs) could resolve some aspects of clinical equipoise. Given the need for additional treatment options for MS, if research on AH SCT for MS continues to signal that it has a clinical benefit and an appropriate safety profile, health systems will need to be prepared to offer the treatment to eligible people. Therefore, CADTH convened the Health Technology Expert Review Panel (HTERP) to develop guidance on what Canadian health systems would need to do to ensure it is ready to offer AH SCT to eligible people, if ongoing research continues to signal benefit.

## Methods

The HTERP guidance is based on a CADTH Horizon Scan; an accompanying Rapid Response review; insights provided by clinical experts and a patient expert; and published international guidelines, recommendations, and position statements. Based on the evidence, information, and perspectives presented through these sources, HTERP members developed the guidance through discussion, deliberation, and consensus. A draft version of the guidance was peer reviewed by 2 clinical experts, and the final guidance reflects input received through a stakeholder feedback process.

## Findings

Based on the evidence, information, and perspectives presented, HTERP members discussed a number of factors that jurisdictions and centres planning on or thinking of offering AHST for MS in the coming years could consider.

### Anticipated Number of People in Canada Who Might Be Eligible for AHST

A conservative estimate is that, at any given time, 200 people living in Canada have RRMS and may be potentially eligible for AHST. However, the number who would be eligible following clinical assessment would be lower. Demand for the procedure would not be expected to overwhelm jurisdictions or centres with unmanageable volume in the current context and while clinical equipoise remains.

Data on AHST are available in registries, including 1 maintained by Cell Therapy Transplant Canada, which prospectively collects the number of patients who receive AHST for a variety of indications, and another maintained by the European Bone Marrow Transplant Autoimmune Diseases Working Party, which is an international registry. These registries could be monitored to help anticipate interest and demand.

### Current and Future State of the Evidence

Evidence from 2 RCTs and 4 retrospective cohort studies suggests that treatment with AHST is associated with significant improvement in clinical outcomes, including disease progression, clinical relapse, MRI outcomes, the composite outcome “no evidence of disease activity,” and quality of life compared with historical DMTs. AHST was also shown to be associated with expected short-term adverse events, including febrile neutropenia, organ infections, sepsis, and viral reactivations; long-term adverse events, including the development of new autoimmune diseases, mainly thyroid disease; and rare treatment-related mortality or life-threatening complications.

At least 3 phase III RCTs are under way to investigate the safety and efficacy of AHST compared with more recently developed DMTs. Data from these trials are expected to become available between 2024 and 2026, which may help clarify some aspects of clinical equipoise and provide an opportunity to align practice and guidelines. A health technology assessment that uses this data to perform economic analyses may help assess the overall cost-effectiveness of offering AHST for treating MS, given that there is potential for longer-term cost savings if DMTs are no longer required.

### Models of Care and Funding

Decisions about models of care may need to be revisited once the results of ongoing phase III RCTs are published. For example, transplants could be centralized at designated specialist centres where specific experience and expertise could be further developed. Then, strategies could be implemented to facilitate access to those centres, which could include the development of interprovincial and territorial agreements. Alternately, the transplant capacity that already exists could be relied on more fully. These decisions must consider the importance of maintaining equitable access and approaches to care delivery.

---

**Contact**[cadth.ca](https://cadth.ca)[@cadth\\_acmts](https://twitter.com/cadth_acmts)[requests@cadth.ca](mailto:requests@cadth.ca)

People undergoing the AHSCT procedure and their caregivers must travel away from their homes and stay at, or close to, the transplant centre for at least several months if they do not already live near a transplant centre. This leaves them responsible for many of the related costs, which introduces potential inequities in access due to geography and social and/or financial position. Therefore, opportunities to prepare them for, or to reduce, out-of-pocket expenses (including systems to support time away from work) should be considered. Public funding or the support of not-for-profit organizations could potentially be used to help provide financial and logistical support for travel and short-term relocation.

### Existing Interest and Networks Across Canada

Offering AHSCT for the treatment of MS will require interest, motivation, and knowledge among MS health care professionals, including transplant physicians, neurologists, and MS specialists. In Canada, there is inconsistent interest in and knowledge of the procedure. To support health system planning, it would be helpful to understand the reasons for the inconsistent interest and work with MS health care professionals to identify barriers and opportunities for addressing them.

The Foundation for the Accreditation of Cellular Therapy (FACT) accredits centres for both autologous and allogeneic transplants. Most transplant centres where AHSCT for MS could be provided are accredited by FACT and, therefore, those centres could serve as a network for sharing resources and protocols. Similarly, Cell Therapy Transplant Canada has members from all Canadian provinces and could provide a platform for AHSCT research, guidelines, and education to support decision-makers.



**CADTH offers a range of evidence products and services. Contact us for more information on how we help meet decision-makers' needs.**

---

**Disclaimer**

CADTH is a not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs and medical devices in our health care system.

CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

This material is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose; this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or for the application of professional judgment in any decision-making process. Users may use this document at their own risk. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, or currency of the contents of this document. CADTH is not responsible for any errors or omissions, or injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use of this document and is not responsible for any third-party materials contained or referred to herein. Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information. This document is subject to copyright and other intellectual property rights and may only be used for non-commercial, personal use or private research and study.

