

CADTH Horizon Scan

Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for the Treatment of Multiple Sclerosis

Authors: Sinwan Basharat, Hannah Loshak

Acknowledgement: CADTH thanks the external reviewers who kindly provided comments on an early draft of this Horizon Scan.

ISSN: 2563-6596

Disclaimer: The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up to date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein are those of CADTH and do not necessarily represent the views of Canada's federal, provincial, or territorial governments or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to Requests@CADTH.ca

Table of Contents

List of Tables	4
Key Messages	5
Purpose	5
Methods	5
Peer Review.....	6
Background	6
The Technology (Procedure)	7
Availability	8
Who Might Benefit?	9
Summary of the Evidence	10
Clinical Effectiveness	10
Safety	11
Cost Implications.....	12
Patients' Perspectives.....	12
Ongoing Research Developments	13
Operational Considerations	13
Cost of AHSCT.....	13
Health Equity.....	15
Transplant Centre Infrastructure	15
Final Remarks	16
References	17

List of Tables

Table 1: Active Phase III Clinical Research Trials Investigating AHST for People With MS 14

Key Messages

- Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation is an emerging health technology for treating multiple sclerosis, in particular for relapse-remitting forms of the disease. In 2019, transplant societies in the US and Europe both indicated the procedure may be provided as a standard of care for a subset of people with multiple sclerosis based on age and clinical classification criteria. The Canadian Multiple Sclerosis Working Group indicated in their 2020 treatment optimization recommendations that autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation may be considered for younger people (aged 18 to 31) who are early in their treatment course. The procedure is offered in 2 provinces, Alberta and Ontario, as an experimental treatment.
- Results from a phase III randomized controlled trial, a systematic review of single-arm trials, and retrospective analyses from European transplant registries provides evidence that the procedure may provide effective disease control for patients who show high disease activity despite receiving disease-modifying therapies. Evidence also shows that the safety profile of the procedure has been improving over the past 25 years.
- Although cost-effectiveness studies are not yet available, the one-time procedure may have important economic implications and may have the potential to provide cost-savings to health systems, as it may reduce the need for ongoing disease-modifying therapies that may be required for patients throughout their lives.
- At least 3 additional phase III randomized controlled trials are ongoing and aim to provide a stronger evidence base to inform optimal treatment regimens and appropriate eligibility criteria. As results from these studies develop, this horizon scan aims to provide health care stakeholders in Canada with an early overview of the technology and existing evidence, while highlighting considerations related to health equity, the need for multidisciplinary care, and transplant centre infrastructure that would be important if there is to be wider use across Canada should emerging evidence demonstrate value.

Purpose

The purpose of this horizon scan is to present health care stakeholders in Canada with an overview of information related to autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for the treatment of multiple sclerosis (MS), a description of some of the published clinical studies, and a summary of some important considerations related to the potential implementation of the technology should emerging evidence demonstrate value. This report is not a systematic review and does not involve critical appraisal or include a detailed summary of study findings. It is not intended to provide recommendations for or against the use of the technology.

Methods

A limited literature search was conducted by an information specialist on key resources including MEDLINE via OVID, the websites of Canadian and major international health technology agencies, ongoing clinical trials, as well as a focused internet search. The main search concept was autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for MS. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Comments, newspaper articles, editorials,

and letters were excluded. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English-language documents published between January 1, 2015 and March 24, 2021.

Regular alerts updated the search until project completion; only citations retrieved before May 14, 2021 were incorporated into the analysis.

One author screened the literature search results and reviewed the full text of all potentially relevant studies. For research examining the clinical effectiveness, safety, and suitability of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, studies with and without comparator groups were included. Other types of stem cell transplants used for MS were excluded. Grey literature reports and other information sources were included when they provided additional information to that available in the published studies.

Peer Review

A draft version of this horizon scan was reviewed by 2 clinicians with expertise in neurology and stem cell transplantation, respectively.

Background

MS is a chronic, inflammatory, autoimmune disorder that primarily affects the central nervous system.¹ In people with MS, inflammation destroys the myelin sheath surrounding nerve fibres, causing neurodegeneration and disrupting the ability of the nervous system to transmit signals throughout the body.¹ It is a slow, progressing, neurologic disorder, where early symptoms may include fatigue, visual blurring, and some difficulties in walking.^{1,2} In advanced stages, people with MS can face paralysis, loss of speech, and loss of mobility, severely impacting their day-to-day life.^{1,2} In Canada, 90,000 people or about 1 in 400 live with MS.³ It is also the most common neurologic disorder affecting younger adults, with people typically having an onset of symptoms in their early 30s followed by formal diagnosis a few years later.^{4,5} The average age at diagnosis in Canada is 37 years and the disease is 2 to 3 times more common among females than males.^{4,5}

There are 4 clinical courses or phenotypes of MS. These clinical courses are characterized by disease activity (which describes the occurrence of clinical relapses and/or brain lesions) and disability progression (which describes the increase in disability or worsening of symptoms).^{6,7} The most common course, relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS), affects about 85% of people with MS and is marked by periods of distinct symptom flare-up (relapse), followed by periods of remission, when symptoms may completely resolve or some symptoms may persist permanently.^{7,8} People may also develop progressive forms of MS when symptoms continue to worsen over time, either at the onset of the disease (primary-progressive) or after a period of having RRMS (secondary-progressive).^{7,8}

More than a dozen disease-modifying therapies (DMTs) are available to people with MS in Canada.⁹ DMTs aim to reduce the frequency and intensity of relapses, the accumulation of lesions in the brain, and the progression of disability.⁹ Primarily for people with RRMS, these drugs have shown to be highly effective in improving clinical outcomes over the short-term (3 years or less).¹⁰ However, despite effective DMTs, up to 90% people with RRMS are likely

to develop progressive forms of MS within 10 to 20 years of disease onset.^{11,12} A longitudinal study showed that within 7 years of being treated with DMTs, 7.9% of people maintained no evidence of disease activity (NEDA), a composite measure describing the occurrence of clinical relapses and the development of brain lesions.¹³ Moreover, first- and second-line DMTs may fail to improve outcomes for some people or may have limited effects on disease control, while still causing people to experience adverse effects.¹⁴⁻¹⁷

Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AH SCT), also referred to as autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation, is a well-established immune reconstitution therapy for many blood cancers and is an emerging therapy for treating people with MS and other autoimmune disorders.^{18,19} The procedure has the potential to provide a therapeutic option for people with aggressive or highly active RRMS for whom drug-based DMTs have had limited effect in disease control. AH SCT is not currently offered as part of routine care and protocols about the procedure are still being refined in research trials. As the therapy is intended to be a one-time procedure (compared to ongoing DMTs), AH SCT may alleviate the need for DMTs that may cause adverse events and incur recurring health care costs.¹⁸ In particular, given that MS onset tends to be in one's 30s, if the safety and effectiveness of AH SCT can be well-established, it has the potential to improve the quality of life and reduce the treatment-related side effects and financial cost associated with DMTs over a longer time period.²⁰

Although research about the type and dosing of regimens and eligibility criteria for AH SCT is emerging, guidelines from Canada, the US, and Europe recommend that AH SCT may be offered as a treatment option for a subset of patients with MS who qualify based on their age and clinical presentation.^{19,21-23} Across the world, more than 1,500 people with MS have received AH SCT.¹⁹ Within Canada, centres have led foundational clinical research into AH SCT for MS, but there has been limited use outside of research settings. This horizon scan aims to inform Canadian health care stakeholders about the emerging technology, ongoing clinical research to assess its safety and effectiveness as compared to DMTs, and implementation considerations for wider uptake should emerging evidence demonstrate value.

The Technology (Procedure)

AH SCT aims to partially or completely destroy autoreactive immune cells that cause damage to the CNS with a conditioning regimen and then redevelop or reconstitute the immune system with stem cells from the same person.¹⁹ The procedure produces an "immune system reset" that limits disability progression and disease activity, and may help enable disease recovery.²⁴ The AH SCT procedure involves multiple steps including pre-assessment, conditioning, transplantation, and post-transplant rehabilitation. The entire procedure is delivered over 2 to 6 months and ongoing monitoring occurs for 3 years or more.²⁵ Specifically, the procedure involves the following steps.

Step 1. Pre-transplant assessment and priming: A multidisciplinary team initially assesses whether the procedure is suitable for candidates based on their age and clinical presentation. People with MS and their caregivers are informed about the procedure's short- and long-term benefits and risks. If a person is currently taking DMTs, these are discontinued between 6 weeks and 6 months before the immunoablation, depending on the type of DMT.^{19,26} Pre-habilitation may be provided with support for exercise, nutrition, and other aspects of self-managed care to help reduce the risk of complications following transplantation.²⁵

Step 2. Stem cell mobilization and harvesting: Peripheral whole blood is collected from the person undergoing transplantation and white blood cells are separated through a process of leukapheresis.¹⁹ Cells may be collected several weeks or months before the transplant.²⁷

Step 3. Immune system conditioning: Approximately 10 days before the transplant, the patient is admitted to a hospital to receive a conditioning regimen consisting of chemotherapy with or without an antibody therapy, such as anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG), that aims to either partially or completely ablate the immune system.^{19,28} Several conditioning regimens with different doses and intensity or toxicity levels have been used and are also under active investigation. These include:

- high-intensity regimens that incorporate busulfan (BU) and aim to completely ablate the immune system¹⁸
- intermediate-intensity regimens that are myeloablative use BEAM (BiCNU-carmustine, etoposide, cytosine arabinoside [Ara-C], and melphalan)¹⁹
- intermediate-intensity regimens that are non-myeloablative primarily use cyclophosphamide (CP).¹⁹

Different regimens offer a trade-off between effectiveness and the potential for adverse events.²⁹ BU can penetrate the blood-brain barrier and be more effective in disease control by targeting immune cells throughout the central nervous system but is also associated with a higher rate of severe side effects, particularly liver toxicity and sinusoidal obstruction syndrome.²⁸ Intermediate-intensity regimens of BEAM or CP may be less effective in limiting disease activity but are less likely to have severe side effects.¹⁹ European transplant guidelines recommend only using BEAM-ATG or CP-ATG (most common), while in Canada, the BU-CP-ATG regimen is the primary regimen used.^{18,19} Research trials directly comparing the safety and effectiveness of different regimens are currently lacking but trials are underway to determine the optimal dosing for individual regimens.²⁰

Step 4. Transplantation: After 2 days of the last conditioning regimen, patients receive their previously harvested autologous cells.²⁸

Step 5. Post-transplant rehabilitation: Following the transplant, patients receive supportive care, which includes close monitoring for infections and other adverse events, physical therapy, speech and language therapy, and support for performing daily tasks.²⁵ Most patients are discharged from the hospital 4 weeks after the transplant and continue to receive regular scans, rehabilitation, and close monitoring for 3 to 6 months.²⁵ Periodic follow-up with the transplant program, in conjunction with a patient's neurologist, may occur for several years but continues for at least 3 years.²⁵

Availability

Under the *Food and Drugs Act*, autologous cell therapies are defined as drugs and are regulated by federal approval.³⁰ However, lymphohematopoietic cells, such as those used in AHSCT, that are minimally manipulated and are used for the same function in the same patient (homologous) after transplantation are exempt from this regulation.³⁰ Autologous bone marrow transplantation procedures are also exempt from the less stringent *Safety of Human Cells, Tissues and Organs for Transplantation Regulations*.³¹ More than a dozen

transplant centres in Canada are, however, regulated by international standards set by FACT, the Foundation for the Accreditation of Cellular Therapy, which has requirements for onsite inspections, reporting, and audits.³² Provincial colleges of physicians and surgeons also maintain an oversight on transplant procedures.³³ AH SCT for people with MS is offered as an experimental treatment in Canada at limited centres in Alberta and Ontario for people who qualify based on age criteria and clinical evaluation by an MS neurologist and a transplant surgeon.³⁴

Who Might Benefit?

Published recommendations and guidelines from Alberta Health Services, the US National Multiple Sclerosis Society, the ASTC (or American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy, formerly known as the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation), and the EMBT (or European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation) recommend that AH SCT can be offered to eligible people with RRMS who are 45 years of age or younger (US recommendations indicate 50 years of age or younger).^{19,21,22,34} The Canadian MS working group on treatment optimization recommends that people between the ages of 18 and 31 years may be considered for the procedure.²³ Older people with MS typically have a higher level of disability at baseline and have a higher risk of severe complications, which could reduce the likelihood of treatment success.²¹ Approximately 5,000 people living with MS in Canada are younger than 31 years and 22,000 people are younger than 50 years of age and could be potential candidates based on these age criteria.^{4,35} While eligibility criteria includes this wide age range, the average age among clinical trial participants has been 35.7 years.³⁶

Guidelines also indicate that AH SCT may be offered to people who show a high level of disease activity despite receiving DMTs.^{19,21,23} Approximately 25% of people on first-line DMTs experience initial treatment failure, in which case they are most often transitioned to another first-line DMT.¹⁴ There is limited evidence, beyond consensus statements, describing when a person receiving first-line DMTs should be transitioned to second-line treatments such as alemtuzumab, fingolimod, and natalizumab.³⁷ In practice, people are typically transitioned when they experience 2 or more relapses over a period of 12 months, or have fewer relapses but show evidence of new brain lesions.^{19,34,38} It is uncertain the proportion of people in Canada who are either dissatisfied with their current DMT because of its adverse effects or who show signs of high disease activity despite receiving second-line therapies. Estimates from Scotland suggest that between 2% and 10% of people living with MS may be eligible for the procedure each year.³⁹

Other clinical factors like disease duration, level of disability, and overall health will affect the procedure's suitability for candidates. It is possible that with a stronger evidence base showing the safety and effectiveness of the procedure in specific populations, the eligibility criteria may be expanded to consider people earlier in their treatment pathway. For example, rather than reserving AH SCT for people with high disease activity, a low-intensity regimen of AH SCT may be appropriate for people with less aggressive forms of MS for whom DMTs do provide some level of disease control.⁴⁰ However, the current evidence base has not yet explored AH SCT as an alternative to DMTs for all people with MS; rather, it is being investigated specifically for people with aggressive forms of MS for whom DMTs provide limited disease control.

Summary of the Evidence

A CADTH Rapid Review⁴¹ summarized and critically appraised results from comparative studies, which included 2 randomized control trials (RCTs),^{42,43} 4 retrospective cohort studies,⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷ and 2 evidence-based guidelines.^{19,22} These studies compared the safety and effectiveness of AHSC (both myeloablative and non-myeloablative conditioning regimens) to DMTs. The 2 RCTs included:

- The Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation trial in MS (ASTIMS),⁴² which was a multi-centre, phase II RCT coordinated by the EBMT comparing AHSC to a DMT across centres in Italy and Spain. The trial was designed as a proof-of-concept study and therefore had limited participants, with a total of 21 people randomized to receive either AHSC (myeloablative) or mitoxantrone (MTX).⁴² Authors concluded that AHSC was associated with a significantly fewer number of brain lesions compared to MTX.
- The Multiple Sclerosis International Stem Cell Transplant (MIST)⁴³ trial was a multi-centre, phase III RCT conducted in the US, England, Sweden, and Brazil. It is the largest and only phase III RCT with AHSC for the treatment of MS to have been completed to date.¹⁸ The trial enrolled 110 participants (n = 55 in each arm) who were randomized to receive either AHSC (non-myeloablative regimen) or standard DMTs available at the time of the study. The authors of the MIST trial described significantly better outcomes in disability progression, rate of relapses, and quality of life in the AHSC intervention arm compared to the DMT arm.

Findings from those studies and additional studies that did not meet the inclusion criteria for the Rapid Review, as there was no comparator group, were synthesized for this horizon scan. These additional studies included a systematic review and meta-analysis³⁶ of 14 single-arm trials (and the ASTIMS study), together with other primary studies that had no comparators, non-systematic reviews, and grey literature reports that examined clinical effectiveness, safety and long-term effects on health and well-being, patients' perspectives, and cost implications.

Clinical Effectiveness

Several measures are used to assess the effectiveness of treatments for people with MS. The Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) is the most common validated instrument used to describe disability status and progression.⁴⁸ An increase in score is associated with increased disability and worsening of symptoms.

The MIST trial showed that, at 2 years, a clinically meaningful disability progression of EDSS of 1.0 or greater was observed in 5.19% of participants in the AHSC arm compared to 62.5% in the DMT arm.⁴³ At 5 years, disability progression was 9.71% in the AHSC arm compared to 75.3% in the DMT arm.⁴³ A meta-analysis of 14 single-arm trials and the ASTIMS study showed that, at 2 years, the pooled rate of EDSS of 1.0 or greater was 17.1% of participants who received AHSC.³⁶ The meta-analysis included patients with both relapse-remitting and progressive forms of MS. In studies with a greater proportion of patients with RRMS, disability progression at 2 years was 7.8%.³⁶ A single-arm, retrospective study that conducted long-term follow-up of patients in Italy with RRMS who had received AHSC showed that disability worsening-free survival at 5 years was 85.5% and at 10 years was 71.3% of participants;⁴⁹ that is to say, disability progression at 5 years was 14.5% and at 10 years was 28.7% of participants.

The rate of relapse or development of brain lesions detectable by MRI are additional measures used to assess treatment effectiveness. In the MIST trial, 9.61% and 15.4% of participants in the AH SCT arm and 79.6% and 85.2% of participants in the DMT arm had relapses at 2 and 5 years, respectively.⁴³ The ASTIMS study reported that participants in the AH SCT arm had 79% fewer brain lesions (mean number of new brain lesions) compared to participants who received MTX at 4 years.⁴²

NEDA – a composite measure that incorporates the occurrence of clinical relapses, increasing disability, and the development of brain lesions – is increasingly being used to assess the effectiveness of treatments.⁵⁰ The Sormani et al. meta-analysis showed that NEDA at 2 years was 83.4% and at 5 years was 67.0% for RRMS patients who received AH SCT.³⁶ Results from retrospective comparative studies show significantly higher NEDA at 3 years among patients who received AH SCT compared to patients who received DMTs.^{46,47} For example, a retrospective comparative study from Sweden showed that NEDA at 3 years was 88% for RRMS patients who received AH SCT compared to 33% for those who received the DMT alemtuzumab.⁴⁵

Quality of life outcomes have been reported in some studies, including the MIST trial, showing AH SCT was associated with significantly improved scores after 1 year compared to baseline and compared to participants who received DMTs.^{40,43} Overall, results from both RCTs and other comparative studies suggest that AH SCT can have clinically meaningful effectiveness for people with RRMS in extending the length of disease progression-free survival and slowing or halting disease activity as compared to DMTs.^{19,20,40,44} In some people, AH SCT may be associated with disability and symptoms improvement.^{40,51} Clinical effectiveness among patients with progressive forms of MS has been found to be moderate and both American and European transplant societies suggest the need for further research.^{19,22}

Safety

Treatment-related mortality was a major concern in early trials, but the rate of mortality has been reduced over time. Analysis from the EBMT registry showed that treatment-related mortality between 1995 and 2000 was 7.3%; between 2001 and 2007, it was 1.3%; and between 2008 and 2016, it was less than 1.0%.^{20,52} Among 8 studies with 20 or more transplant recipients published between 2016 and 2020, 1 study reported treatment-related mortality.²⁰ This study was a Canadian phase II trial using a high-intensity BU regimen and reported 1 treatment-related death among 24 patients (4%).²⁸ Better survival over time is attributed to refined eligibility criteria, such as restricting the procedure to younger patients with RRMS who have less disability, improved conditioning regimens, and transplant centres having greater experience in delivering the procedure and providing supportive care.^{15,19,20,25}

Most adverse events are reported to occur within 1 month of receiving the procedure and are largely related to the toxicity of conditioning regimens.^{20,40} Similar to most cell transplant procedures, there is risk of respiratory and urinary tract infections, gastrointestinal damage, and organ damage.¹⁸ Intermediate-intensity regimens using BEAM or CP are considered to have less severe adverse effects than high-intensity regimens that use BU, which may increase the risk of severe liver toxicity, requiring intensive care support.^{18,40}

Late adverse events, reported 6 months or more following the procedure, include a 2% risk of malignancies and a 5% to 26% risk of secondary autoimmune dysfunction, in particular thyroid disease, as reported by various single-arm and retrospective studies.^{18,28,44,52,53} However, 1 review noted that higher rates of secondary autoimmune dysfunction may occur

among people treated with certain DMTs.¹⁸ Studies with longer follow-up periods (e.g., 10 years or more) would help characterize the long-term risk profile of the procedure.

The risk of infertility is an important concern among all patients treated with transplant conditioning regimens, especially for eligible candidates of AH SCT with MS, as they are more likely to be in child-rearing ages. A retrospective single-arm study of 43 females treated with AH SCT at 4 centres in Italy between 1999 and 2019, showed that 30 out of 43 females (70%) had complete recovery in menstrual cycles within 6 months of their transplant.⁵⁴ All transplant recipients were treated with intermediate-intensity regimens and females who resumed menstrual cycles were more likely to be younger, with a mean age of 30.2 years compared to 37.6 years, which has been reported previously.^{54,55} Three transplant recipients reported full-term pregnancies without any complications and 1 recipient reported infertility.⁵⁴ In a single-arm trial that used a high-intensity regimen for AH SCT, 2 transplant recipients reported having children after their transplant by using previously harvested gametes.²⁸ Male infertility is a concern among patients receiving AH SCT for other conditions, but limited information pertaining to AH SCT for MS was identified.⁵⁶ Overall, there is a risk of the procedure affecting fertility, but it seems to vary depending on the regimen and patient characteristics.^{20,40}

Cost Implications

AH SCT may provide economic benefits and cost-savings if it is provided as a one-time procedure compared to ongoing DMTs that may be administered over the lifetime of people with MS.²⁰ There is also the potential for benefits associated with limiting disability progression, which may affect a person's employment, social well-being, and quality of life.²⁰

No cost-effectiveness analysis studies comparing AH SCT to DMTs were identified and such analysis may be challenging given the diversity of conditioning regimens, varied treatment and supportive care practices, and a wide range of costs for DMTs. One study has reported the cost of AH SCT for the treatment of MS compared to DMTs specific to their hospital in the US. The mean total cost of the AH SCT procedure, including the direct costs of treatment and care and indirect overhead costs, was US\$85,184.⁵⁷ In comparison, the average annual cost of DMTs reported was between US\$80,000 and US\$100,000 per patient.⁵⁷

A budget impact analysis from the UK found the net cost of AH SCT may range from approximately £10,000 to £19,000 per patient.³⁹ The analysis did not consider net savings over the lifetime of a patient from potentially avoiding DMTs or costs associated with long-term adverse effects related to DMTs and ongoing disease management.

In Canada, DMTs cost between \$13,000 to \$50,000 annually per patient.⁹ AH SCT that is not specifically for the treatment of MS has been reported to cost \$60,990 (2015 rate) in 1 Canadian jurisdiction but can increase depending on the length of hospital stay following the procedure.⁵⁸ More up-to-date cost estimates for providing AH SCT to patients with MS in Canadian centres, including the costs related to pre-assessment and long-term follow-up, were not identified and would be important for assessing AH SCT in Canadian settings.

Patients' Perspectives

Using a questionnaire, 1 cross-sectional study from the Netherlands assessed the views and awareness of AH SCT among people with MS; 113 of the 137 people with MS (83%) reported having heard about the procedure.⁵⁹ However, 25% of respondents reported that they perceived themselves to have sufficient knowledge about the risks and benefits of AH SCT

compared to 60% who reported having sufficient or excellent knowledge about DMTs.⁵⁹ People who had MS for 10 years or less, greater disability (EDSS higher than 3.5), and who were dissatisfied with their current treatments were more likely to consider AHST as a potential therapeutic option for themselves.⁵⁹

Case studies from people with MS and their caregivers in Scotland indicated that, for many, the disease can have a large impact on their lives and their ability to take part in daily activities.³⁹ One of the primary reasons people with MS and their families sought AHST was to avoid the adverse effects associated with DMTs and their worry about increasing disability progression.³⁹ Some people who were considering AHST but did not qualify for the procedure in the UK reported travelling out of country on their own expense to seek treatment. Some individuals from Canada have also been reported to seek AHST abroad.^{60,61}

Ongoing Research Developments

Following the MIST trial, at least 3 phase III RCTs are underway across the world to assess the safety and efficacy of AHST compared to the most recently developed DMTs, which may not have been available at the time of earlier studies (Table 1). These trials have varied eligibility criteria but are generally limited to younger people with RRMS (younger than 55 years of age) who show high disease activity but with limited comorbidities or other health concerns that may lead to an increased risk of treatment-related complications.²⁰ Trials investigating other cell therapies, such as mesenchymal stem cells, are also ongoing for progressive forms of MS and may be of interest but are not shown in Table 1.⁶²

Although a high-intensity regimen with BU has been tested in studies and is currently being used in different centres in Canada, none of the current RCTs identified are incorporating BU. Instead, active trials are investigating intermediate-intensity regimens of BEAM-ATG or CP-ATG. Stem cell selection and purification before transplantation is part of Canadian AHST protocols but is not being included in current trial protocols because of limited evidence of providing benefit and potentially increasing costs.^{18,19} These differences could have important implications for future protocol development in Canada. No ongoing trials that are directly comparing different AHST regimens were identified, but the EBMT has suggested that analyses from European registries may help examine the differences in outcomes between different regimens.²⁰

Overall, these phase III trials, together with ongoing retrospective analyses of registry databases in Europe, aim to provide a stronger evidence base for AHST in the next 5 to 10 years and will help inform clinical guidelines and eligibility criteria across the world.¹⁹

Operational Considerations

Cost of AHST

The upfront health care costs of delivering AHST are likely to be high and would need to be considered in relation to drug-based DMTs and the costs associated with their ongoing administration.²⁰ However, a key aspect of AHST is the potential for reducing disability

progression, which may have a large impact on the health, social, and economic well-being of people with MS.²⁰ The risks associated with the procedure and benefits to both the transplant recipient and potential cost-savings to the health system related to long-term disease management may vary based on many different factors unique to individual patients. It is also not yet known whether transplant recipients who redevelop disease activity following AHST would still require or benefit from DMTs later on.

Table 1: Active Phase III Clinical Research Trials Investigating AHST for People With MS

Name of study and country	Study design	Study duration and sample size	Population	AHST regimen and comparator	Outcomes
NCT04047628 Best Available Therapy Versus Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant for Multiple Sclerosis (BEAT-MS) US and UK	Phase III RCT, multi-centre: • 21 sites	Up to 6 years follow-up during the period Dec. 2019 to Dec. 2026 n = 156	18 to 55 years Highly active RRMS with ≥ 2 episodes of prior treatment failure in previous 24 months	Intervention: BEAM-ATG Comparator: Best available DMT from natalizumab, alemtuzumab, ocrelizumab, or rituximab	Primary: • Relapse-free survival up to 3 years Secondary: • Number of relapses • Disease activity • Changes in disability • Adverse events
NCT03477500 RCT Comparing Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation Versus Alemtuzumab in MS (RAM-MS) Norway, Sweden, Netherlands, and Denmark	Phase III RCT, multi-centre: • 8 sites	Up to 5 years follow-up, during the period March 2018 to March 2024 n = 100	18 to 50 years Highly active RRMS	Intervention: CP-ATG Comparator: Alemtuzumab	Primary: • NEDA (2 years) Secondary: • Time to first sign of new disease activity • Changes in disability • QoL • Other clinical outcomes
NIHR Registration 16/126/26 Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation versus Alemtuzumab or Ocrelizumab in Relapsing Remitting Multiple Sclerosis (STAR-MS) UK	Phase III RCT, multi-centre: • 19 sites	Up to 2 years follow-up, during the period Jan. 2019 to June 2024 n = 198	16 to 55 years Highly active RRMS	Intervention: CP-ATG Comparator: Alemtuzumab or ocrelizumab	Primary: • NEDA (2 years) Secondary: • Time to first sign of new disease activity • Changes in disability • QoL • Other clinical outcomes

AHST = autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; ATG = antithymocyte globulin; CP = cyclophosphamide; DMT = disease-modifying therapy; MS = multiple sclerosis; NEDA = no evidence of disease activity; QoL = Quality of life; RCT = randomized controlled trials; RRMS = relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis.

In Canada, the cost of DMTs is covered by private drug plans (52%), public plans (41%), and out-of-pocket expenses (7%).⁶³ The range of costs covered by public plans also varies between 27% to 90% across different jurisdictions.⁶³ Therefore, the potential cost-savings to public plans from relying less on DMTs may vary between different jurisdictions.

Health Equity

Prior to the AHSCT procedure, counselling candidates and their families about the benefits and risks of the procedure, both short- and long-term, are an important part of informed consent.²⁵ In particular, all candidates who consent to AHSCT and may desire to have children in the future should be provided options for gamete preservation.⁴⁰ Access to fertility treatments vary based on provincial and territorial jurisdictions, which could have important implications for health equity and equitable uptake of the procedure across Canada if emerging evidence demonstrates value.⁶⁴

The transplant procedure itself requires a strong commitment from candidates and their caregivers. Patients would be required to stay at or near the transplant centre for several months and, for many, that would require travelling away from their homes, which may not be feasible.³⁴ Caregivers also play an important role in rehabilitation and recovery, and are an essential part of supporting transplant recipients to resume daily activities after the procedure.²⁵ Not all eligible candidates for the procedure may have that critical caregiver support. Moreover, any additional out-of-pocket expenses for rehabilitation therapy or time away from work may be additional barriers for some candidates.

Transplant Centre Infrastructure

More than 20 blood and marrow transplant centres exist in Canada and could potentially offer the therapy to eligible candidates by modifying their existing protocols for AHSCT.⁶⁵ Many aspects of care are similar to AHSCT used for other conditions, but there are some additional considerations. People with MS may be more likely to develop seizures, certain fever-induced neurologic symptoms, and urinary bladder dysfunction, which could increase the risk of infection.^{19,25} Therefore, supportive care protocols used for other patients undergoing transplants, such as oncology patients, may need to be modified accordingly. During and following the procedure, patients would require support from both caregivers and a wide range of health professionals to facilitate rehabilitation and favourable long-term outcomes.²⁵

Offering AHSCT on a wider scale beyond experimental treatment in Canada would require a shift in how care is delivered for people with MS. Most people with MS receive the majority of their care through specialist neurology clinics.²⁵ AHSCT requires a multidisciplinary approach, with members from a range of expertise, including but not limited to neurology, hematology, transplantation, infectious diseases, specialist therapy, and rehabilitation.²⁵ In particular, close collaboration between neurologists and the transplant team would be required at all stages of the procedure including assessment and follow-up, which could add more complexity than other transplant procedures. The existing funding models in jurisdictions can also pose challenges for transplant centres in accepting patients with conditions other than cancer because of limited resource capacity and budgets.⁶⁶ Increased funding and resources for transplant centres would likely be required to increase access to AHSCT for non-oncology care.⁶⁶

Transplant centre experience is considered 1 of the major reasons for better outcomes and the improved safety of AHSCT over the past 25 years.⁶⁷ Centres that can bring together these

different specialties and adopt best practices for setting eligibility criteria, administering optimal treatment regimens, and providing appropriate supportive care may have the most potential for favourable outcomes.⁶⁷ The [EBMT Autoimmune Disorders Working Party](#)⁶⁸ maintains a registry database in Europe and facilitates knowledge sharing among centres. Leveraging existing networks of provincial transplant societies and CTTC–Cell Therapy Transplant Canada⁶⁹ may similarly help to monitor outcomes in different centres, operationalize the procedure on a wider scale, and ensure equitable access to care is provided across Canada.

Final Remarks

AHSCT for the treatment of MS is an emerging health technology that has existed for more than 25 years and has a growing evidence base assessing its clinical effectiveness for reducing disability progression and disease activity compared to DMTs.^{18,19} Researchers in Canada have played a key role in developing and refining the procedure in the past 10 years and it is offered as an experimental treatment at limited centres in Alberta and Ontario. There remains some uncertainty about the procedure’s safety profile, optimal protocol design, and its role in the treatment course of people with MS.¹⁸ However, refinements in treatment regimens have contributed to increased safety, reducing the risk of severe adverse events and treatment-related mortality.²⁰ Transplant societies from the US and Europe recommend that AHSCT can be offered as standard of care for younger people with RRMS who show high disease activity despite receiving DMTs.^{19,22} The Canadian MS Working Group has similarly recommended that the therapy may be considered for younger candidates between the ages of 18 and 31 years who are early in their treatment course.²³ Ongoing developments with phase III RCTs currently underway across the world aim to further assess 2 key aspects of the procedure: the safety and efficacy of different intermediate-intensity conditioning regimens and the appropriate eligibility criteria for candidates.²⁰ Although the emerging evidence base shows likely improved outcomes compared to DMTs, AHSCT is not intended to replace DMTs altogether. Instead, AHSCT may offer a potential alternative for people who are still early in their disease progression but show a high level of disease activity despite being on first- or second-line DMTs. Operationalizing the procedure across Canada on a wider scale, should emerging evidence demonstrate value, would need to consider aspects of health care costs, health equity, increasing resources for transplant centres, and leveraging established frameworks, including FACT and CTTC–Cell Therapy Transplant Canada, for monitoring and sharing protocols and outcomes data.

References

1. Dobson R, Giovannoni G. Multiple sclerosis - a review. *Eur J Neurol.* 2019;26(1):27-40. [PubMed](#)
2. MS Society of Canada. Symptoms. 2021; <https://mssociety.ca/about-ms/symptoms>. Accessed 2021 May 27.
3. MS Society of Canada. Prevalence and incidence of MS in Canada and around the world. 2020; <https://mssociety.ca/research-news/article/prevalence-and-incidence-of-ms-in-canada-and-around-the-world>. Accessed 2021 Apr 25.
4. Gilmour H, Ramage-Morin PL, Wong SL. Multiple sclerosis: prevalence and impact. Vol 29. Ottawa (ON): Statistics Canada; 2018: <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/82-003-x/2018001/article/54902-eng.htm>. Accessed 2021 May 3.
5. Wallin MT, Culppepper WJ, Nichols E, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of multiple sclerosis 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Neurol.* 2019;18(3):269-285. [PubMed](#)
6. Lublin FD, Coetzee T, Cohen JA, Marrie RA, Thompson AJ, International Advisory Committee on Clinical Trials in MS. The 2013 clinical course descriptors for multiple sclerosis: a clarification. *Neurology.* 2020;94(24):1088-1092. [PubMed](#)
7. The National Multiple Sclerosis Society. Types of MS. <https://www.nationalmssociety.org/What-is-MS/Types-of-MS>. Accessed May 3, 2021, 2021.
8. Lublin FD, Reingold SC, Cohen JA, et al. Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: the 2013 revisions. *Neurology.* 2014;83(3):278-286. [PubMed](#)
9. MS Society of Canada. Disease-modifying therapies. 2021; <https://mssociety.ca/managing-ms/treatments/medications/disease-modifying-therapies-dmts>. Accessed 2021 May 3.
10. Clafin SB, Broadley S, Taylor BV. The effect of disease modifying therapies on disability progression in multiple sclerosis: a systematic overview of meta-analyses. *Front Neurol.* 2018;9:1150. [PubMed](#)
11. Koch M, Kingwell E, Rieckmann P, Tremlett H, Neurologists UMC. The natural history of secondary progressive multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2010;81(9):1039-1043. [PubMed](#)
12. Tremlett H, Yinshan Z, Devonshire V. Natural history of secondary-progressive multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler.* 2008;14(3):314-324. [PubMed](#)
13. Rotstein DL, Healy BC, Malik MT, Chitnis T, Weiner HL. Evaluation of no evidence of disease activity in a 7-year longitudinal multiple sclerosis cohort. *JAMA Neurol.* 2015;72(2):152-158. [PubMed](#)
14. Souza KM, Diniz IM, Lemos LLP, et al. Effectiveness of first-line treatment for relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis in Brazil: A 16-year non-concurrent cohort study. *PLoS One.* 2020;15(9):e0238476. [PubMed](#)
15. Ismail A, Sharrack B, Saccardi R, Moore JJ, Snowden JA. Autologous haematopoietic stem cell therapy for multiple sclerosis: a review for supportive care clinicians on behalf of the Autoimmune Diseases Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care.* 2019;13(4):394-401. [PubMed](#)
16. Vargas DL, Tyor WR. Update on disease-modifying therapies for multiple sclerosis. *J Investig Med.* 2017;65(5):883-891. [PubMed](#)
17. Kobelt G, Thompson A, Berg J, et al. New insights into the burden and costs of multiple sclerosis in Europe. *Mult Scler.* 2017;23(8):1123-1136. [PubMed](#)
18. Bose G, Thebault S, Rush CA, Atkins HL, Freedman MS. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple sclerosis: a current perspective. *Mult Scler.* 2021;27(2):167-173. [PubMed](#)
19. Sharrack B, Saccardi R, Alexander T, et al. Autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation and other cellular therapy in multiple sclerosis and immune-mediated neurological diseases: updated guidelines and recommendations from the EBMT Autoimmune Diseases Working Party (ADWP) and the Joint Accreditation Committee of EBMT and ISCT (JACIE). *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2020;55(2):283-306. [PubMed](#)
20. Bose G, Freedman MS. Recent advances and remaining questions of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci.* 2021;421:117324. [PubMed](#)
21. Miller AE, Chitnis T, Cohen BA, et al. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant in multiple sclerosis: recommendations of the National Multiple Sclerosis Society. *JAMA Neurol.* 2021;78(2):241-246. [PubMed](#)
22. Cohen JA, Baldassari LE, Atkins HL, et al. Autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation for treatment-refractory relapsing multiple sclerosis: position statement from the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2019;25(5):845-854. [PubMed](#)
23. Freedman MS, Devonshire V, Duquette P, et al. Treatment optimization in multiple sclerosis: Canadian MS Working Group recommendations. *Can J Neurol Sci.* 2020;47(4):437-455. [PubMed](#)
24. Bose G, Thebault SDX, Atkins HL, Freedman MS. Does resetting the immune system fix multiple sclerosis? *Can J Neurol Sci.* 2019;1-10. [PubMed](#)
25. Roberts F, Hobbs H, Jessop H, et al. Rehabilitation before and after autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AHSCT) for patients with multiple sclerosis (MS): consensus guidelines and recommendations for best clinical practice on behalf of the Autoimmune Diseases Working Party, Nurses Group, and Patient Advocacy Committee of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (EBMT). *Front Neurol.* 2020;11:556141. [PubMed](#)
26. Cleveland Clinic. Switching disease modifying therapies in multiple sclerosis. 2021; <https://my.clevelandclinic.org/departments/neurological/depts/multiple-sclerosis/ms-approaches/switching-disease-modifying-therapies>. Accessed 2021 Apr 20.

27. Das J, Sharrack B, Snowden JA. Autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation in multiple sclerosis: a review of current literature and future directions for transplant haematologists and oncologists. *Curr Hematol Malig Rep*. 2019;14(2):127-135. [PubMed](#)
28. Atkins HL, Bowman M, Allan D, et al. Immunoablation and autologous haemopoietic stem-cell transplantation for aggressive multiple sclerosis: a multicentre single-group phase 2 trial. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10044):576-585. [PubMed](#)
29. Burman J, Tolf A, Hagglund H, Askmark H. Autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation for neurological diseases. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2018;89(2):147-155. [PubMed](#)
30. Health Canada. Health Canada policy position paper – autologous cell therapy products. 2020. <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/biologics-radiopharmaceuticals-genetic-therapies/applications-submissions/guidance-documents/cell-therapy-policy.html>. Accessed 2021 May 10.
31. Chisholm J, Ruff C, Viswanathan S. Current state of Health Canada regulation for cellular and gene therapy products: potential cures on the horizon. *Cytotherapy*. 2019;21(7):686-698. [PubMed](#)
32. Foundation for the Accreditation of Cellular Therapy. Homepage. 2020; <http://www.factwebsite.org/>. Accessed 2021 Jun 21.
33. Caulfield T, Murdoch B. Regulatory and policy tools to address unproven stem cell interventions in Canada: the need for action. *BMC Med Ethics*. 2019;20(1):51. [PubMed](#)
34. Alberta Bone Marrow and Blood Cell Transplant Program: standard practice manual. Edmonton (AB): Alberta Health Services; 2021: <https://www.albertahealthservices.ca/assets/info/hp/cancer/if-hp-cancer-guide-bmt-manual.pdf>. Accessed 2021 May 17.
35. Public Health Agency of Canada. Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. 2020; <https://health-infobase.canada.ca/ccdss/data-tool/>. Accessed 2021 May 10.
36. Sormani MP, Muraro PA, Schiavetti I, et al. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in multiple sclerosis: a meta-analysis. *Neurology*. 2017;88(22):2115-2122. [PubMed](#)
37. Second-line therapy for patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a review of guidelines. (CADTH Rapid response report: summary with critical appraisal). Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2019: <https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/2019/RC1190%20RRMS%20Second-line%20therapy%20Final.pdf>. Accessed 2021 May 17.
38. Gajofatto A, Benedetti MD. Treatment strategies for multiple sclerosis: when to start, when to change, when to stop? *World J Clin Cases*. 2015;3(7):545-555. [PubMed](#)
39. Autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplant for patients with highly active relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis not responding to high efficacy disease modifying therapies. Edinburgh (GB): Health Improvement Scotland, Scottish Health Technologies Group; 2019: https://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/our_work/technologies_and_medicines/topics_assessed/shtg_07-19.aspx. Accessed 2021 Apr 30.
40. Atkins HL, Freedman MS. Five questions answered: a review of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for the treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Neurotherapeutics*. 2017;14(4):888-893. [PubMed](#)
41. CADTH health technology review: autologous hematopoietic cell transplant for patients with multiple sclerosis. *Can J Health Technol* 2021;1(7). www.cadth.ca. Accessed 2021 Jun 7.
42. Mancardi GL, Sormani MP, Gualandi F, et al. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in multiple sclerosis: a phase II trial. *Neurology*. 2015;84(10):981-988. [PubMed](#)
43. Burt RK, Balabanov R, Burman J, et al. Effect of nonmyeloablative hematopoietic stem cell transplantation vs continued disease-modifying therapy on disease progression in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2019;321(2):165-174. [PubMed](#)
44. Alping P, Burman J, Lycke J, Frisell T, Piehl F. Safety of alemtuzumab and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation compared to noninduction therapies for multiple sclerosis. *Neurology*. 2021;96(11):e1574-e1584. [PubMed](#)
45. Zhukovsky C, Sandgren S, Silfverberg T, et al. Autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation compared with alemtuzumab for relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: an observational study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2021;92(2):189-194. [PubMed](#)
46. Mariottini A, Innocenti C, Forci B, et al. Safety and efficacy of autologous hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation following natalizumab discontinuation in aggressive multiple sclerosis. *Eur J Neurol*. 2019;26(4):624-630. [PubMed](#)
47. Boffa G, Lapucci C, Sbragia E, et al. Aggressive multiple sclerosis: a single-centre, real-world treatment experience with autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation and alemtuzumab. *Eur J Neurol*. 2020;27(10):2047-2055. [PubMed](#)
48. Meyer-Moock S, Feng YS, Maeurer M, Dippel FW, Kohlmann T. Systematic literature review and validity evaluation of the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) and the Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite (MSFC) in patients with multiple sclerosis. *BMC Neurol*. 2014;14:58. [PubMed](#)
49. Boffa G, Massacesi L, Inglese M, et al. Long-term clinical outcomes of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology*. 2021. [PubMed](#)
50. Multiple Sclerosis Trust. NEDA (no evidence of disease activity). 2018; <https://mstrust.org.uk/a-z/neda-no-evidence-disease-activity>. Accessed 2021 May 19.
51. Giedraitiene N, Kizlaitiene R, Peceliunas V, Griskevicius L, Kaubrys G. Selective cognitive dysfunction and physical disability improvement after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in highly active multiple sclerosis. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1). [PubMed](#)
52. Muraro PA, Pasquini M, Atkins HL, et al. Long-term outcomes after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple sclerosis. *JAMA Neurol*. 2017;74(4):459-469. [PubMed](#)

53. Kvistad SAS, Lehmann AK, Trovik LH, et al. Safety and efficacy of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple sclerosis in Norway. *Mult Scler*. 2020;26(14):1889-1897. [PubMed](#)
54. Massarotti C, Sbragia E, Boffa G, et al. Menstrual cycle resumption and female fertility after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*. 2021. [PubMed](#)
55. Maciejewska M, Snarski E, Wiktor-Jedrzejczak W. A preliminary online study on menstruation recovery in women after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant for autoimmune diseases. *Exp Clin Transplant*. 2016;14(6):665-669. [PubMed](#)
56. Tichelli A, Rovó A. Fertility issues following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Medscape* 2013; https://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/810686_3. Accessed 2021 May 27.
57. Burt RK, Tappenden P, Han X, et al. Health economics and patient outcomes of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation versus disease-modifying therapies for relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis in the United States of America. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. 2020;45:102404. [PubMed](#)
58. Interprovincial billings rates for bone marrow and stem cell transplant services. Toronto (ON): Ontario Interprovincial Health Insurance Agreements Coordinating Committee; 2015: https://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/ohip/bulletins/na_63/na_63_2.pdf. Accessed 2021 May 10.
59. De Kleermaeker F, Uitdehaag BMJ, van Oosten BW. Patients' expectations of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation as a treatment for MS. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. 2020;37:101467. [PubMed](#)
60. Langley A. Niagara woman looks to Russia for MS treatment. *The Standard* 2018; <https://www.stcatharinesstandard.ca/news/niagara-region/2018/03/11/niagara-woman-looks-to-russia-for-ms-treatment.html>. Accessed 2021 May 19.
61. Andrews B. Bowmanville mother turning away from Canadian medicine to seek Russian stem cell treatment. *Toronto.com* 2015; <https://www.toronto.com/news-story/5481344-bowmanville-mother-turning-away-from-canadian-medicine-to-see-russian-stem-cell-treatment/>. Accessed 2021 May 19.
62. National Multiple Sclerosis Society. Mesenchymal stem cells and iPSCs. 2020; <https://www.nationalmssociety.org/Research/Research-News-Progress/Stem-Cells-in-MS/Mesenchymal-Stem-Cells>. Accessed 2021 May 19.
63. Feng J, Gagnon-Arpin I, Moroz N, Slovynec D'Angelo M. Accessing disease-modifying therapies for multiple sclerosis: a pan-Canadian analysis. Ottawa (ON): The Conference Board of Canada; 2020: <https://www.conferenceboard.ca/e-library/abstract.aspx?did=10907>. Accessed 2021 May 28.
64. Scala F. Should we publicly fund IVF in Canada? *Policy Options* 2019; <https://policyoptions.irpp.org/magazines/april-2019/publicly-fund-ivf-canada/>. Accessed 2021 Jun 30.
65. Cell Therapy Transplant Canada. Blood and marrow transplant centres. <https://www.cttcanada.org/page/BMTCentres>. Accessed 2021 May 19.
66. Storek J, Daly A, LeClerc SA. Autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation for systemic sclerosis - a challenge for the Canadian health care system. *CMAJ*. 2017;189(17):E623-E624. [PubMed](#)
67. Snowden JA, Badoglio M, Labopin M, et al. Evolution, trends, outcomes, and economics of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in severe autoimmune diseases. *Blood Adv*. 2017;1(27):2742-2755. [PubMed](#)
68. European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Autoimmune Diseases Working Party (ADWP). 2018; <https://www.ebmt.org/working-parties/autoimmune-diseases-working-party-adwp>. Accessed 2021 May 19.
69. Cell Therapy Transplant Canada. Homepage. <https://www.cttcanada.org/>. Accessed 2021 Jun 22.