

TITLE: Filtered Needles for Withdrawing Medication from Glass Ampoules: A Review of the Cost-Effectiveness and Incidence of Complications

DATE: 24 February 2010

CONTEXT AND POLICY ISSUES:

Glass particle contamination can occur when opening single use glass ampoules of medication, and injection of these particles has been associated with phlebitis, pulmonary thrombi or microemboli, and end organ granulomas or inflammation.^{1,2} Use of a 5 micron filter needle or straw to withdraw medication from the ampoule can reduce the number of particles aspirated,^{1,2} however the incidence of complications from glass particle administration and cost-effectiveness of using filtered needles are not known.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS:

- 1. What is the cost-effectiveness of using filtered needles for withdrawing medication from glass ampoules?
- 2. What is the incidence of complications if non-filtered needles are used for withdrawing medication from glass ampoules?

METHODS:

A limited literature search was conducted on key health technology assessment resources, including PubMed, Cinahl, Embase, The Cochrane Library (Issue 1, 2010), University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, ECRI, EuroScan, international health technology agencies, and a focused Internet search. The search was limited to English language articles published between 2000 and February 2010. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. The search was supplemented by hand searching reference lists of relevant articles.

<u>Disclaimer</u>: The Health Technology Inquiry Service (HTIS) is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. HTIS responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources and a summary of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. HTIS responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

<u>Copyright</u>. This report contains CADTH copyright material. It may be copied and used for non-commercial purposes, provided that attribution is given to CADTH.

<u>Links</u>. This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners' own terms and conditions.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS:

The literature search identified no systematic reviews, health technology assessments, randomized controlled trials, or observational studies published in the last 10 years that addressed the incidence of complications from injection of glass particles from ampoules. No cost-effectiveness studies were identified.

A number of potentially relevant studies published prior to the search date limits were identified when hand searching the reference lists of review articles. These have been listed in the appendix. All of these were published more than 10 years ago and therefore have not been appraised as part of this HTIS report.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR DECISION OR POLICY MAKING:

Due to the lack of recent published literature, no conclusions can be drawn on the incidence of complications from glass particle administration, or the cost-effectiveness of using a filtered needle when withdrawing medication from a glass ampoule.

PREPARED BY:

Health Technology Inquiry Service Email: <u>htis@cadth.ca</u> Tel: 1-866-898-8439



REFERENCES:

1. Preston ST, Hegadoren K. Glass contamination in parenterally administered medication. *J Adv Nurs.* 2004;48(3):266-70.

di

2. Zabir AF, Choy CY, Rushdan R. Glass particle contamination of parenteral preparations of intravenous drugs in anaesthetic practice. *Southern African Journal of Anaesthesia and Analgesia.* 2008;14(3):17-9.

APPENDIX: REFERENCES PUBLISHED MORE THAN 10 YEARS AGO

Note: these articles were identified from hand searching reference lists of review and other articles found in our limited literature search and therefore this list cannot be considered exhaustive.

Cost-effectiveness of filtered needles

1. Zbrozek AS, Agbara E, Head M. Mannitol injections: with or without filter needles? A cost-effectiveness analysis. *Nurs Econ*. 1994 Jul;12(4):196-200. <u>PubMed: PM8945274</u>

Complications from particulate administration

- 2. Falchuk KH, Peterson L, McNeil BJ. Microparticulate-induced phlebitis. Its prevention by in-line filtration. *N Engl J Med.* 1985 Jan 10;312(2):78-82. <u>PubMed: PM3880597</u>
- 3. Furgang FA. Letter: Glass particles in ampules. *Anesthesiology*. 1974 Nov;41(5):525. <u>PubMed: PM4429225</u>
- 4. Garvan JM, Gunner BW. The harmful effects of particles in intravenous fluids. *Med J Aust.* 1964 Jul 4;2:1-6. <u>PubMed: PM14175312</u>
- Lockhart JD. The medical significance of particulate matter in large volume parenteral solutions. Safety of large volume parenteral solutions. In: National Symposium Proceedings, FDA. Washington (DC): U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA); 1966. p.28-30.
- Michaels L, Poole RW. Injection granuloma of the buttock. CMAJ [Internet]. 1970 Mar 28 [cited 2010 Feb 22];102(6):626-8. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1946600</u> PubMed: PM5437395
- Shaw NJ, Lyall EG. Hazards of glass ampoules. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) [Internet]. 1985 Nov 16 [cited 2010 Feb 22];291(6506):1390. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1418981 PubMed: PM3933681</u>
- 8. Turco S. Infusion phlebitis. *Hosp Pharm*.1974;9:422-6.
- 9. Waller DG, George CF. Ampoules, infusions, and filters. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* [Internet]. 1986 Mar 15 [cited 2010 Feb 22];292(6522):714-5. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1339773 PubMed: PM3082406</u>
- 10. Zacher AN, Zornow MH, Evans G. Drug contamination from opening glass ampules. *Anesthesiology*. 1991 Nov;75(5):893-5. <u>PubMed: PM1952213</u>