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Research Questions
1. What is the clinical effectiveness regarding the use of community water fluoridation?
2. What is the cost-effectiveness regarding the use of community water fluoridation?

Key Findings
Three systematic reviews, one systematic review of economic evaluations, one meta-analysis, nineteen non-randomized studies, and eight economic evaluations were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness, safety, or cost-effectiveness of community water fluoridation.

Methods
A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, The Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. Methodological filters were applied to limit retrieval to health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies and economic studies. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2012 and March 19, 2017. Internet links were provided, where available.

Selection Criteria
One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Selection Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comparator</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Outcomes** | Q1: Clinical effectiveness and safety  
Q2: Cost-effectiveness |
| **Study Designs** | Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, economic evaluations |
Results

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, and economic evaluations.

Three systematic reviews, one systematic review of economic evaluations, one meta-analysis, nineteen non-randomized studies, and eight economic evaluations were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness, safety, or cost-effectiveness of community water fluoridation. No relevant health technology assessments or randomized controlled trials were identified.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses


Randomized Controlled Trials

No literature identified.

Non-Randomized Studies


Economic Evaluations
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