

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: SUMMARY OF ABSTRACTS

# Off-Label Use of Intravenous Immunoglobulin for Autoimmune or Inflammatory Conditions: Clinical Effectiveness

Service Line: Rapid Response Service  
Version: 1.0  
Publication Date: October 30, 2017  
Report Length: 17 Pages

**Authors:** Calvin Young, Lorna Adcock

**Cite As:** Off-label use of intravenous immunoglobulin for autoimmune or inflammatory conditions: clinical effectiveness. Ottawa: CADTH; 2017 Oct. (CADTH rapid response report: summary of abstracts).

**Acknowledgments:**

**Disclaimer:** The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein are those of CADTH and do not necessarily represent the views of Canada's federal, provincial, or territorial governments or any third party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

**About CADTH:** CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

## Research Question

What is the clinical effectiveness of the off-label use of intravenous or subcutaneous immunoglobulin for the treatment of autoimmune or inflammatory conditions?

## Key Findings

Fourteen systematic reviews (three with meta-analyses), four randomized controlled trials, and seven non-randomized studies were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of the off-label use of intravenous or subcutaneous immunoglobulin for the treatment of autoimmune or inflammatory conditions.

## Methods

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources PubMed, The Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases and a focused Internet search. Methodological filters were applied to limit retrieval to health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses and randomized controlled trials. A focused search for non-randomized studies was conducted. For this search, main concepts appeared in title or subject heading and a non-randomized studies filter was applied. Both searches were limited to English language documents published between November 2009 and October 18, 2017.

## Selection Criteria

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

**Table 1: Selection Criteria**

<b>Population</b>	<p>Patients any age with autoimmune or inflammatory conditions that are not approved indications for IVIG, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-positive vasculitis</li> <li>• Catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome</li> <li>• Kawasaki disease</li> <li>• Polymyositis</li> <li>• Rheumatoid arthritis</li> <li>• Still's disease</li> <li>• Systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis</li> <li>• Systemic lupus erythematosus</li> <li>• Systemic vasculitis</li> <li>• Wegener's granulomatosis</li> </ul>
<b>Intervention</b>	Human IVIG or SCIG products, alone or in combination with corticosteroids or other immunomodulation therapy
<b>Comparator</b>	Treatment as usual; Placebo; No treatment
<b>Outcomes</b>	Clinical benefits and harms
<b>Study Designs</b>	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies

## Results

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials and non-randomized studies.

Fourteen systematic reviews (three with meta-analyses), four randomized controlled trials, and seven non-randomized studies were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of the off-label use of intravenous or subcutaneous immunoglobulin for the treatment of autoimmune or inflammatory conditions. No relevant health technology assessments were identified.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

## Overall Summary of Findings

Fourteen systematic reviews<sup>1-14</sup> (three with meta-analyses<sup>2,8,14</sup>), four randomized controlled trials,<sup>15-18</sup> and seven non-randomized studies<sup>19-25</sup> were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of the off-label use of intravenous or subcutaneous immunoglobulin for the treatment of autoimmune or inflammatory conditions. Detailed study characteristics are provided in Table 2.

The literature investigated the effectiveness of IVIG in fourteen autoimmune or inflammatory conditions that are not approved indications for IVIG.<sup>1-25</sup> These were pediatric

autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal infections,<sup>1,16</sup> myasthenia gravis,<sup>2,11</sup> acute rheumatic fever,<sup>3,10</sup> Sydenham's chorea,<sup>4,18</sup> autoimmune encephalitis,<sup>5</sup> dermatomyositis,<sup>6,12-13,17,24-25</sup> polymyositis,<sup>6,12-13,17,21</sup> systemic lupus erythematosus,<sup>7,8</sup> Wegener's granulomatosis,<sup>9</sup> Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome,<sup>14</sup> bullous pemphigoid,<sup>15</sup> Kawasaki disease,<sup>19,22</sup> autoimmune congenital heart block,<sup>20</sup> and antiphospholipid syndrome.<sup>20,23</sup> Fifteen studies suggested IVIG provided benefit alone or as an adjuvant treatment for patients with autoimmune or inflammatory conditions that are not approved indications for IVIG.<sup>1,5-8,12-15,18,20,22-25</sup> Nine studies reported that IVIG therapy was either ineffective or that there was insufficient data to draw a conclusion from.<sup>2-3,9-11,16-17,19,21</sup> The findings of one study were unavailable as the authors did not report any results in the abstract.<sup>4</sup>

**Table 2: Summary of Included Studies on the Clinical Effectiveness of the Off-label Use of Intravenous or Subcutaneous Immunoglobulin for the Treatment of Autoimmune or Inflammatory Conditions**

First Author, Year	Study Characteristics	Intervention	Comparator	Outcomes	Conclusions
Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses					
Farhood, 2016 <sup>1</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>11 studies included (1 RCT and 1 retrospective study on IVIG)</li> <li>Children with PANDAS</li> <li>N=NR</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tonsillectomy</li> <li>Antibiotic treatment</li> <li>IVIG</li> <li>Psychiatric medications or therapy</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not specified in abstract</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not specified in abstract</li> </ul>	<p><i>“Studies support the use of IVIG, however more investigation is needed prior to widespread adoption of this treatment given its potential risks.”<sup>1</sup></i></p>
Ortiz-Salas, 2016 <sup>2</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MA performed</li> <li>24 RCTs and analytical observational studies included</li> <li>Any patient with Guillain-Barre syndrome or MG</li> <li>N=NR</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PE</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Safety</li> <li>Length of hospital stay</li> <li>Duration of ventilator support</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The authors concluded that no evidence was found to suggest IVIG or PE differed in their efficacy or safety</li> <li>In addition, no statistically significant differences were observed for length of hospital stay or duration of ventilator support</li> </ul>
Cilliers, 2015 <sup>3</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8 RCTs included</li> <li>Adults and children with acute rheumatic fever</li> <li>N=996</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anti-inflammatory agents (including IVIG)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Placebo or controls</li> <li>No treatment</li> <li>Anti-inflammatory agents versus one another</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cardiac disease one year after treatment</li> <li>Adverse events</li> </ul>	<p><i>“Little evidence of benefit was found when corticosteroids or intravenous immunoglobulins were used to reduce the risk of heart valve lesions in patients with acute rheumatic fever.”<sup>3</sup></i></p>
Mohammad, 2015 <sup>4</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number and type of studies included not</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not specified in abstract</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical recovery</li> <li>Morbidity</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not specified in abstract</li> </ul>

First Author, Year	Study Characteristics	Intervention	Comparator	Outcomes	Conclusions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>specified in abstract</li> <li>Patients with acute Sydenham's chorea</li> <li>N=NR</li> </ul>				
<b>Nosadini, 2015<sup>5</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number and type of studies included not specified in abstract</li> <li>Patients with autoimmune encephalitis</li> <li>N=NR</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Immune therapy (including IVIG)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not specified in abstract</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not specified in abstract</li> </ul>	<p><i>"There are common therapeutic themes emerging. Firstly, patients given immune therapy do better and relapse less than patients given no treatment. Secondly, patients given early treatment do better. And thirdly, when patients fail first-line therapy, second-line therapy improves outcomes and reduces relapses. Given the retrospective uncontrolled data, the literature has inherent bias, including severity and reporting bias."</i><sup>15</sup></p>
<b>Vermaak, 2015<sup>6</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>12 studies included</li> <li>Adults with DM or PM</li> <li>N=NR</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Immunotherapy (including IVIG)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not specified in abstract</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Muscle strength after 6 months</li> <li>IMACS definition of improvement</li> <li>Patient and physician global scores</li> <li>Physical function</li> <li>Muscle enzymes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patients with DM or PM treated with IVIG demonstrated improved outcomes</li> <li>Additional high-quality RCTs are required to establish which treatments are most likely to benefit patients</li> </ul>
<b>Man, 2014<sup>4</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number and type of studies included not specified in abstract</li> <li>Patients with systemic lupus erythematosus</li> <li>N=NR</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Treatment options for the neuro-ophthalmologic manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus (including IVIG)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not specified in abstract</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not specified in abstract</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG can be considered for the treatment of glucocorticoid-dependent or refractory cases of neuro-ophthalmologic manifestations in systemic lupus erythematosus</li> </ul>
<b>Sakthiswary, 2014<sup>8</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MA performed</li> <li>13 studies included (3 RCTs and 10</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not specified in abstract</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Disease activity scores</li> <li>Steroid dose</li> <li>Complement</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG was effective in reducing disease activity scores and improving complement levels</li> </ul>

First Author, Year	Study Characteristics	Intervention	Comparator	Outcomes	Conclusions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>observational studies)</li> <li>Adults with systemic lupus erythematosus</li> <li>N=NR</li> </ul>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>levels</li> <li>Autoantibodies</li> <li>Renal function</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>According to the authors, the review was limited by well-designed controlled trials with adequate sample size</li> </ul>
<b>Fortin, 2013<sup>9</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 RCT included</li> <li>Adults with Wegener's granulomatosis</li> <li>N=34</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG with azathioprine and prednisolone</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Placebo with azathioprine and prednisolone</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mortality</li> <li>Adverse events</li> <li>Time to relapse</li> <li>Open-label rescue therapy</li> <li>Infection rate</li> <li>Disease activity score</li> </ul>	<p><i>"There is insufficient evidence from one RCT that Ivig adjuvant therapy provides a therapeutic advantage compared with the combination of steroids and immunosuppressants for patients with WG."</i><sup>9</sup></p>
<b>Cilliers, 2012<sup>10</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8 RCTs included</li> <li>Adults and children with acute rheumatic fever</li> <li>N=996</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anti-inflammatory agents (including IVIG)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Placebo or controls</li> <li>No treatment</li> <li>Anti-inflammatory agents versus one another</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cardiac disease one year after treatment</li> <li>Adverse events</li> </ul>	<p><i>"There is little evidence of benefit from using corticosteroids or intravenous immunoglobulins to reduce the risk of heart valve lesions in patients with acute rheumatic fever. The antiquity of most of the trials restricted adequate statistical analysis of the data and acceptable assessment of clinical outcomes by current standards. Additionally there was substantial risk of bias, so results should be viewed with caution."</i><sup>10</sup></p>
<b>Gajdos, 2012<sup>11</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7 RCTs included</li> <li>Patients with chronic MG</li> <li>N=450</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No treatment</li> <li>Placebo</li> <li>Methylprednisolone</li> <li>PE</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quantitative MG score</li> <li>Myasthenic muscle score</li> <li>Adverse events</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Individual studies varied in their conclusions. Some studies reported a benefit to IVIG therapy while other did not</li> <li>The authors concluded there was insufficient evidence from RCTs to determine the efficacy of IVIG in chronic MG</li> </ul>
<b>Gordon, 2012<sup>12</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>10 RCTs or qRCTs included</li> <li>Patients with DM or PM</li> <li>N=258</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Immunotherapy (including IVIG)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Placebo</li> <li>Immuno-suppressant regimes versus one another</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Function or disability scale</li> <li>IMACS definition of improvement</li> <li>Number of relapses and time to relapse</li> <li>Remission and</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The only included RCT on IVIG reported statistically significant improvement in scores of muscle strength over placebo</li> <li>There is a lack of high quality RCTs that assess the clinical effectiveness</li> </ul>

First Author, Year	Study Characteristics	Intervention	Comparator	Outcomes	Conclusions
				time-to-remission <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cumulative corticosteroid dose</li> <li>Serious adverse effects</li> </ul>	of immunosuppressants in inflammatory myositis
<b>Wang, 2012<sup>13</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>14 studies included (2 RCTs, 9 prospective open studies, and 3 retrospective studies)</li> <li>Adults with DM or PM</li> <li>N=308</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIg (with or without corticosteroid)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Placebo</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Muscle strength</li> <li>Serum creatine kinase level</li> <li>Adverse events</li> <li>Corticosteroid dose required for maintenance</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIg was effective in the treatment of adult patients with PM or DM</li> <li>Adverse events resulting from IVIg treatment were generally well tolerable</li> </ul>
<b>Keogh, 2011<sup>14</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MA performed</li> <li>4 controlled trials included (3 cross-over trials and 1 parallel group)</li> <li>Adults and children with Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome</li> <li>N=308</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3,4-diaminopyridine</li> <li>IVIg</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Placebo</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Muscle strength score</li> <li>Myometric limb strength</li> <li>Resting compound muscle action potential</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIg showed a beneficial effect on myometric limb strength versus placebo</li> <li>No significant improvement in the mean resting compound muscle action potential amplitude was observed</li> </ul>
<b>Randomized Controlled Trials</b>					
<b>Amagai, 2017<sup>10</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patients with bullous pemphigoid</li> <li>N=56</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIg</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Placebo</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Disease activity score</li> <li>Anti-BP180 antibody titer</li> <li>Safety</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIg had a beneficial effect on the disease activity score for patients with bullous pemphigoid who are resistant to steroid therapy</li> </ul>
<b>Williams, 2016<sup>16</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Children with PANDAS and moderate to severe OCD</li> <li>N=35</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIg</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Placebo</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Children's Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale</li> <li>Clinical Global Impressions-Improvement rating</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIg failed to show superiority over placebo</li> <li>IVIg was safe and well tolerated</li> <li>Further future investigations are warranted</li> </ul>
<b>Miyasaka, 2012<sup>17</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patients with PM or DM resistant to corticosteroids</li> <li>N=26</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIg (drug code GB-0998)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Placebo</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Manual muscle test score</li> <li>Serum creatine kinase level</li> <li>Activities of daily living score</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No significant differences between treatment groups were observed</li> </ul>

First Author, Year	Study Characteristics	Intervention	Comparator	Outcomes	Conclusions
<b>Walker, 2012<sup>18</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Children with Sydenham's choreas</li> <li>N=20</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG and standard management</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Standard management alone</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not specified in abstract</li> </ul>	<p><i>"All three outcome measurement tools found improved outcomes in the group that received intravenous immunoglobulin."</i><sup>18</sup></p>
<b>Non-Randomized Studies</b>					
<b>Lin, 2015<sup>19</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retrospective study</li> <li>Patients with Kawasaki disease</li> <li>N=1,073</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Therapy for Kawasaki disease (including IVIG)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Therapy for Kawasaki disease</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acute coronary severities</li> <li>Survival free of coronary aneurysm persistence and ischaemia</li> </ul>	<p><i>"Although IVIG use improves the initial severity of coronary lesions, it does not further modify the long-term fate of coronary aneurysms."</i><sup>19</sup></p>
<b>Ruffatti, 2015<sup>20</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prospective cohort study</li> <li>Pregnant women with high-risk APS</li> <li>Patients with autoimmune CHB</li> <li>N=56</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In APS patients: PE plus IVIG</li> <li>In CHB patients: PE combined with IVIG and steroids</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In APS patients: PE or IA plus IVIG</li> <li>In CHB patients: steroids alone</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Efficacy</li> <li>Safety</li> <li>Maternal features</li> <li>Pregnancy outcome</li> <li>Side effects</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The authors concluded that PE or IA along with IVIG and conventional therapy could be valuable for treating pregnant APS women</li> <li>Patients with autoimmune CHB treated with the combined therapy (PE with IVIG and steroids) showed improved outcomes versus treatment with steroids alone</li> </ul>
<b>Liew, 2014<sup>21</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retrospective analysis</li> <li>Children and adolescents with juvenile MG</li> <li>N=54</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PLEX</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improvement in objective physical examination findings</li> <li>Patients' reported improvement in symptoms and functional abilities</li> </ul>	<p><i>"This study provides class III evidence that PLEX and IVIG both have high response rates as maintenance therapies and are reasonable therapeutic options for juvenile MG. Plasmapheresis may have a more consistent response rate than IVIG in this setting."</i><sup>21</sup></p>
<b>Kobayashi, 2013<sup>22</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retrospective review</li> <li>Patients with Kawasaki disease who failed to respond to initial IVIG</li> <li>N=359</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prednisolone</li> <li>IVIG and prednisolone</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Failing to respond to first-line rescue therapy</li> <li>Coronary artery abnormalities</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG and prednisolone in combination was more promising than either drug alone in the treatment of IVIG nonresponders</li> </ul>
<b>Tenti, 2013<sup>23</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prospective study</li> <li>Adults with APS</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG plus conventional</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Conventional therapy alone</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Occurrence of thromboembolic</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The addition of IVIG to conventional therapy was</li> </ul>

First Author, Year	Study Characteristics	Intervention	Comparator	Outcomes	Conclusions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N=14</li> </ul>	therapy		events <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of anti-phospholipid antibodies</li> </ul>	effective in preventing the occurrence of thromboembolic events <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional research using larger sample sizes is required to fully understand the role of IVIG for the treatment of patients with APS</li> </ul>
<b>Kampylafka, 2012<sup>24</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retrospective study</li> <li>Adults with DM</li> <li>N=42</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IVIG plus conventional therapy</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Conventional therapy</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Muscular remission rate</li> <li>Muscular and cutaneous involvement</li> <li>Number of muscular relapses</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The authors concluded that IVIG may improve the short-term prognosis of DM patients</li> <li>IVIG treated patients had significantly better muscular and cutaneous involvement scores (compared to their pre-treatment scores)</li> </ul>
<b>Lam, 2011<sup>25</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retrospective cohort study</li> <li>Patients with juvenile DM</li> <li>N=78</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Treatment with IVIG</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Treatment without IVIG</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Muscle strength</li> <li>Photosensitivity</li> <li>Time to quiescence</li> <li>Disease activity</li> </ul>	<i>"This study, involving the largest [juvenile] DM cohort receiving Ivig to date, applied bias-reduction methods and demonstrated Ivig efficacy in controlling [juvenile] DM disease activity, particularly for [corticosteroid resistant] patients."</i> <sup>25</sup>

APS = antiphospholipid syndrome; CHB = congenital heart block; DM = dermatomyositis; IA = immunoadsorption; IMACS = International Myositis Assessment and Clinical Studies Group; IVIG = intravenous immunoglobulin; MA = meta-analysis; MG = myasthenia gravis; NR = not reported; OCD = obsessive-compulsive disorder; PANDAS = pediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal infections; PE = plasma exchange; PLEX = plasmapheresis; PM = polymyositis; qRCT = quasi-randomized controlled trial; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SR = systematic review.

## References Summarized

### Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

### Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

- Farhood Z, Ong AA, Discolo CM. PANDAS: A systematic review of treatment options. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* 2016 Oct;89:149-53.  
[PubMed: PM27619047](#)
- Ortiz-Salas P, Velez-van-Meerbeke A, Galvis-Gomez CA, Rodriguez QJ. Human immunoglobulin versus plasmapheresis in Guillain-Barre syndrome and myasthenia gravis: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Neuromuscul Dis.* 2016 Sep;18(1):1-11.  
[PubMed: PM27552383](#)

3. Cilliers A, Adler AJ, Saloojee H. Anti-inflammatory treatment for carditis in acute rheumatic fever. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015 May 28;(5):CD003176.  
[PubMed: PM26017576](#)
4. Mohammad SS, Nosadini M, Grattan-Smith P, Dale RC. Intravenous immunoglobulin in acute Sydenham's chorea: a systematic review. *J Paediatr Child Health*. 2015 Dec;51(12):1235-8.  
[PubMed: PM26633611](#)
5. Nosadini M, Mohammad SS, Ramanathan S, Brilot F, Dale RC. Immune therapy in autoimmune encephalitis: a systematic review. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2015;15(12):1391-419.  
[PubMed: PM26559389](#)
6. Vermaak E, Tansley SL, McHugh NJ. The evidence for immunotherapy in dermatomyositis and polymyositis: a systematic review. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2015 Dec;34(12):2089-95.  
[PubMed: PM26299472](#)
7. Man BL, Mok CC, Fu YP. Neuro-ophthalmologic manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review. *Int J Rheum Dis*. 2014 Jun;17(5):494-501.  
[PubMed: PM24673755](#)
8. Sakthiswary R, D'Cruz D. Intravenous immunoglobulin in the therapeutic armamentarium of systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* [Internet]. 2014 Oct [cited 2017 Oct 27];93(16):e86. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4616295>  
[PubMed: PM25310743](#)
9. Fortin PM, Tejani AM, Bassett K, Musini VM. Intravenous immunoglobulin as adjuvant therapy for Wegener's granulomatosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013 Jan 31;(1):CD007057.  
[PubMed: PM23440811](#)
10. Cilliers A, Manyemba J, Adler AJ, Saloojee H. Anti-inflammatory treatment for carditis in acute rheumatic fever. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012 Jun 13;(6):CD003176.  
[PubMed: PM22696333](#)
11. Gajdos P, Chevret S, Toyka KV. Intravenous immunoglobulin for myasthenia gravis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012 Dec 12;12:CD002277.  
[PubMed: PM23235588](#)
12. Gordon PA, Winer JB, Hoogendijk JE, Choy EH. Immunosuppressant and immunomodulatory treatment for dermatomyositis and polymyositis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012 Aug 15;(8):CD003643.  
[PubMed: PM22895935](#)
13. Wang DX, Shu XM, Tian XL, Chen F, Zu N, Ma L, et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in adult patients with polymyositis/dermatomyositis: a systematic literature review. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2012 May;31(5):801-6.  
[PubMed: PM22274797](#)

14. Keogh M, Sedehizadeh S, Maddison P. Treatment for Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011 Feb 16;(2):CD003279.

[PubMed: PM21328260](#)

## Randomized Controlled Trials

15. Amagai M, Ikeda S, Hashimoto T, Mizuashi M, Fujisawa A, Ihn H, et al. A randomized double-blind trial of intravenous immunoglobulin for bullous pemphigoid. *J Dermatol Sci*. 2017 Feb;85(2):77-84.

[PubMed: PM27876358](#)

16. Williams KA, Swedo SE, Farmer CA, Grantz H, Grant PJ, D'Souza P, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of intravenous immunoglobulin for pediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal infections. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2016 Oct;55(10):860-7.

[PubMed: PM27663941](#)

17. Miyasaka N, Hara M, Koike T, Saito E, Yamada M, Tanaka Y, et al. Effects of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in Japanese patients with polymyositis and dermatomyositis resistant to corticosteroids: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Mod Rheumatol [Internet]*. 2012 Jun [cited 2017 Oct 27];22(3):382-93. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3375426>

[PubMed: PM21971943](#)

18. Walker K, Brink A, Lawrenson J, Mathiassen W, Wilmshurst JM. Treatment of Sydenham chorea with intravenous immunoglobulin. *J Child Neurol*. 2012 Feb;27(2):147-55.

[PubMed: PM21868369](#)

## Non-Randomized Studies

19. Lin MT, Sun LC, Wu ET, Wang JK, Lue HC, Wu MH. Acute and late coronary outcomes in 1073 patients with Kawasaki disease with and without intravenous gamma-immunoglobulin therapy. *Arch Dis Child*. 2015 Jun;100(6):542-7.

[PubMed: PM25564534](#)

20. Ruffatti A, Favaro M, Brucato A, Ramoni V, Facchinetti M, Tonello M, et al. Apheresis in high risk antiphospholipid syndrome pregnancy and autoimmune congenital heart block. *Transfus Apher Sci*. 2015 Dec;53(3):269-78.

[PubMed: PM26626966](#)

21. Liew WK, Powell CA, Sloan SR, Shamberger RC, Weldon CB, Darras BT, et al. Comparison of plasmapheresis and intravenous immunoglobulin as maintenance therapies for juvenile myasthenia gravis. *JAMA Neurol*. 2014 May;71(5):575-80.

[PubMed: PM24590389](#)

22. Kobayashi T, Kobayashi T, Morikawa A, Ikeda K, Seki M, Shimoyama S, et al. Efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin combined with prednisolone following resistance to initial intravenous immunoglobulin treatment of acute Kawasaki disease. *J Pediatr*. 2013 Aug;163(2):521-6.

[PubMed: PM23485027](#)

23. Tenti S, Guidelli GM, Bellisai F, Galeazzi M, Fioravanti A. Long-term treatment of antiphospholipid syndrome with intravenous immunoglobulin in addition to conventional therapy. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2013 Nov;31(6):877-82.  
[PubMed: PM23985161](#)
24. Kamyfa EI, Kosmidis ML, Panagiotakos DB, Dalakas M, Moutsopoulos HM, Tzioufas AG. The effect of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) treatment on patients with dermatomyositis: a 4-year follow-up study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2012 May;30(3):397-401.  
[PubMed: PM22510247](#)
25. Lam CG, Manlhiot C, Pullenayegum EM, Feldman BM. Efficacy of intravenous Ig therapy in juvenile dermatomyositis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2011 Dec;70(12):2089-94.  
[PubMed: PM21978999](#)

## Appendix — Further Information

### Previous CADTH Reports

26. Management and patient safety of IVIG administration: a review of clinical practice guidelines [Internet]. Ottawa: CADTH; 2011 Feb 28. [cited 2017 Oct 27]. Available from: <https://www.cadth.ca/management-and-patient-safety-ivig-administration-review-clinical-practice-guidelines>
27. Intravenous immunoglobulin: evidence for clinical effectiveness of off-label use [Internet]. Ottawa: CADTH; 2009 Nov 26. [cited 2017 Oct 27]. Available from: <https://www.cadth.ca/intravenous-immunoglobulin-evidence-clinical-effectiveness-label-use>

### Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

#### *Alternative Comparator – Comparison of IVIG Treatment Strategies*

28. Chen S, Dong Y, Yin Y, Krucoff MW. Intravenous immunoglobulin plus corticosteroid to prevent coronary artery abnormalities in Kawasaki disease: a meta-analysis. *Heart*. 2013 Jan;99(2):76-82.  
[PubMed: PM22869678](#)

### Non-Randomized Studies

#### *No Comparator*

29. Crickx E, Machelart I, Lazaro E, Kahn JE, Cohen-Aubart F, Martin T, et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin as an immunomodulating agent in antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitides: a French nationwide study of ninety-two patients. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2016 Mar;68(3):702-12.  
[PubMed: PM26473632](#)
30. Nosadini M, Mohammad SS, Suppiej A, Sartori S, Dale RC, IVIG in Neurology Study Group. Intravenous immunoglobulin in paediatric neurology: safety, adherence to guidelines, and long-term outcome. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2016 Nov;58(11):1180-92.  
[PubMed: PM27242065](#)
31. Blazek B, Misbah SA, Soler-Palacin P, McCoy B, Leibl H, Engl W, et al. Human immunoglobulin (Kiovig(R)/Gammagard liquid(R)) for immunodeficiency and autoimmune diseases: an observational cohort study. *Immunotherapy*. 2015;7(7):753-63.  
[PubMed: PM25865232](#)
32. Goto M, Miyagawa N, Kikunaga K, Miura M, Hasegawa Y. High incidence of adrenal suppression in children with Kawasaki disease treated with intravenous immunoglobulin plus prednisolone. *Endocr J*. 2015;62(2):145-51.  
[PubMed: PM25342092](#)

33. Ky C, Swasdibutra B, Khademi S, Desai S, Laquer V, Grando SA. Efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin monotherapy in patients with cutaneous lupus erythematosus: results of proof-of-concept study. *Dermatol Reports [Internet]*. 2015 Mar 16 [cited 2017 Oct 27];7(1):5804. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4387332>  
[PubMed: PM25918617](#)
34. Bounfour T, Bouaziz JD, Bezier M, Cordoliani F, Saussine A, Petit A, et al. Clinical efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulins for the treatment of dermatomyositis skin lesions without muscle disease. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2014 Sep;28(9):1150-7. [PubMed: PM23906502](#)
35. Camara I, Sciascia S, Simoes J, Pazzola G, Salas V, Karim Y, et al. Treatment with intravenous immunoglobulins in systemic lupus erythematosus: a series of 52 patients from a single centre. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2014 Jan;32(1):41-7. [PubMed: PM24029366](#)
36. Seidling V, Hoffmann JH, Enk AH, Hadaschik EN. Analysis of high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in 16 patients with refractory autoimmune blistering skin disease: high efficacy and no serious adverse events. *Acta Derm Venereol*. 2013 May;93(3):346-9. [PubMed: PM23073990](#)
37. Sciascia S, Giachino O, Roccatello D. Prevention of thrombosis relapse in antiphospholipid syndrome patients refractory to conventional therapy using intravenous immunoglobulin. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2012 May;30(3):409-13. [PubMed: PM22513222](#)
38. Zandman-Goddard G, Krauthammer A, Levy Y, Langevitz P, Shoenfeld Y. Long-term therapy with intravenous immunoglobulin is beneficial in patients with autoimmune diseases. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol*. 2012 Apr;42(2):247-55. [PubMed: PM21732045](#)
39. Rist S, Sellam J, Hachulla E, Sordet C, Puechal X, Hatron PY, et al. Experience of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in neuropathy associated with primary Sjogren's syndrome: a national multicentric retrospective study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2011 Sep;63(9):1339-44. [PubMed: PM21584943](#)
40. Danieli MG, Calcabrini L, Calabrese V, Marchetti A, Logullo F, Gabrielli A. Intravenous immunoglobulin as add on treatment with mycophenolate mofetil in severe myositis. *Autoimmun Rev*. 2009 Dec;9(2):124-7. [PubMed: PM19386287](#)

## Case Reports

41. Mizoguchi F, Takada K, Ishikawa K, Mizusawa H, Kohsaka H, Miyasaka N. A case of dermatomyositis with rhabdomyolysis, rescued by intravenous immunoglobulin. *Mod Rheumatol*. 2015 Jul;25(4):646-8. [PubMed: PM24252047](#)

42. Imataka G, Arisaka O. Long-term, high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in a patient with banker-type juvenile dermatomyositis. *Cell Biochem Biophys* [Internet]. 2014 Jul [cited 2017 Oct 27];69(3):747-8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4057626>  
[PubMed: PM24500836](#)
43. Hurelbrink CB, Spies JM, Yiannikas C. Significant dermatological side effects of intravenous immunoglobulin. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2013 Aug;20(8):1114-6.  
[PubMed: PM23659929](#)
44. Takeuchi M, Oda Y, Suzuki I. Maculopapular rash in the convalescent phase of Kawasaki disease: case series and literature review. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2013 Mar;172(3):405-7.  
[PubMed: PM23192460](#)
45. Shahani L. Refractory calcinosis in a patient with dermatomyositis: response to intravenous immune globulin. *BMJ Case Rep* [Internet]. 2012 Aug 18 [cited 2017 Oct 27];2012. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4544600>  
[PubMed: PM22907859](#)

## Review Articles

46. Dourmishev LA, Guleva DV, Miteva LG. Intravenous immunoglobulins for treatment of connective tissue diseases in dermatology. *Wien Med Wochenschr*. 2017 Sep 1.  
[PubMed: PM28864939](#)
47. Anh-Tu HS, Hudson M. Critical review of the role of intravenous immunoglobulins in idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2017 Feb;46(4):488-508.  
[PubMed: PM27908534](#)
48. Rodriguez MM, Wagner-Weiner L. Intravenous immunoglobulin in pediatric rheumatology: when to use it and what is the evidence. *Pediatr Ann*. 2017 Jan 1;46(1):e19-e24.  
[PubMed: PM28079914](#)
49. Shulman ST. Intravenous immunoglobulin for the treatment of Kawasaki disease. *Pediatr Ann*. 2017 Jan 1;46(1):e25-e28.  
[PubMed: PM28079915](#)
50. Dezsi L, Horvath Z, Vecsei L. Intravenous immunoglobulin: pharmacological properties and use in polyneuropathies. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol*. 2016 Aug 22;1-16.  
[PubMed: PM27428464](#)
51. Dourmishev LA, Guleva DV, Miteva LG. Intravenous immunoglobulins: mode of action and indications in autoimmune and inflammatory dermatoses. *Int J Inflamm* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Oct 27];2016:3523057. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4739470>  
[PubMed: PM26885437](#)
52. Nikolov N, Reisinger J, Schwarz HP. 10% liquid human immunoglobulin (KIOVIG((R))) for immunomodulation in autoimmune disorders. *Immunotherapy*. 2016 Jul;8(8):923-40.  
[PubMed: PM27126341](#)

53. Tavakolpour S. The role of intravenous immunoglobulin in treatment of mucous membrane pemphigoid: a review of literature. *J Res Med Sci* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Oct 27];21:37. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5122191>  
[PubMed: PM27904583](#)
54. Wong PH, White KM. Impact of immunoglobulin therapy in pediatric disease: a review of immune mechanisms. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol*. 2016 Dec;51(3):303-14.  
[PubMed: PM26142065](#)
55. Cantarini L, Rigante D, Vitale A, Napodano S, Sakkas LI, Bogdanos DP, et al. Intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIg) in systemic sclerosis: a challenging yet promising future. *Immunol Res*. 2015 Mar;61(3):326-37.  
[PubMed: PM25550086](#)
56. Patel RM, Shulman ST. Kawasaki disease: a comprehensive review of treatment options. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2015 Dec;40(6):620-5.  
[PubMed: PM26547265](#)
57. Lin MC. Comparative effectiveness of intravenous immunoglobulin from different manufacturing processes on Kawasaki disease. *World J Pediatr*. 2014 May;10(2):114-8.  
[PubMed: PM24801230](#)
58. Nayer A, Ortega LM. Catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome: a clinical review. *J Nephropathol* [Internet]. 2014 Jan [cited 2017 Oct 27];3(1):9-17. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3956908>  
[PubMed: PM24644537](#)
59. Czernik A, Toosi S, Bystryń JC, Grando SA. Intravenous immunoglobulin in the treatment of autoimmune bullous dermatoses: an update. *Autoimmunity*. 2012 Feb;45(1):111-8.  
[PubMed: PM21923613](#)