

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: SUMMARY OF ABSTRACTS

Off-Label Use of Intravenous Immunoglobulin for Dermatological Conditions: Clinical Effectiveness

Service Line: Rapid Response Service
Version: 1.0
Publication Date: November 3, 2017
Report Length: 12 Pages

Authors: Michelle Clark, Sarah Visintini

Cite As: Off-Label Use of Intravenous Immunoglobulin for Dermatological Conditions: Clinical Effectiveness. Ottawa: CADTH; 2017 Nov. (CADTH rapid response report: summary of abstracts).

Acknowledgments:

Disclaimer: The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein are those of CADTH and do not necessarily represent the views of Canada's federal, provincial, or territorial governments or any third party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Research Question

What is the clinical effectiveness of the off-label use of intravenous or subcutaneous immunoglobulin for the treatment of dermatological conditions?

Key Findings

Twenty-one systematic reviews and meta-analyses and five randomized controlled trials were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of the off-label use of intravenous or subcutaneous immunoglobulin for the treatment of dermatological conditions.

Methods

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, The Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. Methodological filters were applied to limit retrieval to health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses and randomized controlled trials. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2009 and October 24, 2017. Internet links were provided, where available.

Selection Criteria

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Selection Criteria

Population	Patients any age with dermatological conditions that are not approved indications for IVIG, including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Atopic dermatitis/eczema • Autoimmune bullous diseases • Dermatomyositis/Polymyositis • Pemphigus • Pyoderma gangrenosum • Stevens-Johnson syndrome • Systemic sclerosis/scleroderma • Toxic epidermal necrolysis • Urticaria
Intervention	Human IVIG or SCIG products, including but not limited to those available in Canada, alone or in combination with corticosteroids or other immunomodulation therapies.
Comparator	Treatment as usual, placebo, no treatment
Outcomes	Clinical benefits and harms
Study Designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials

Results

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials.

Twenty-one systematic reviews and meta-analyses and five randomized controlled trials were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of the off-label use of intravenous or subcutaneous immunoglobulin for the treatment of dermatological conditions. No relevant health technology assessments were identified.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

Overall Summary of Findings

Twenty-one systematic reviews and meta-analyses¹⁻²¹ and five randomized controlled trials²²⁻²⁶ were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of the off-label use of intravenous or subcutaneous immunoglobulin for the treatment of dermatological conditions. The dermatological conditions that were studied included necrobiotic xanthogranuloma,¹ paraneoplastic dermatomyositis,² Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis,^{3,6,7,9,18,20,21} drug rash with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms,⁴ pemphigus,^{5,8,11,12,14,15,22,26} *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*-associated mucocutaneous disease,¹⁰ dermatomyositis and polymyositis,^{13,17,19,24} Wegener's granulomatosis,¹⁶ skin sclerosis in diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis,²³ and moderate to severe childhood atopic dermatitis.²⁵ The results of the studies varied in reference to the effectiveness of intravenous immunoglobulin for these conditions. Further details are provided in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of Included Studies

First Author, Year	Indication	Population	Results	Authors' Conclusions
Systematic Reviews				
Miguel (2017) ¹	Necrobiotic xanthogranuloma	Not specified in the abstract	NR in the abstract	High-dose IVIG was specified as one treatment option identified in the review but not results or conclusions were provided in the abstract.
Zerdes (2017) ²	Paraneoplastic dermatomyositis	Not specified in the abstract	NR in the abstract	IVIG may be a viable alternative treatment for cases resistant to prednisone.
Zimmermann (2017) ³	SJS/TEN	Not specified in the abstract	NR in the abstract	There were no beneficial findings identified regarding the use of IVIG for SJS/TEN.

Table 2: Summary of Included Studies

First Author, Year	Indication	Population	Results	Authors' Conclusions
Bommersbach (2016) ⁴	DRESS	Not specified in the abstract	NR in the abstract	IVIg may be a promising management option for DRESS.
Cholera (2016) ⁵	Pemphigus vulgaris	Not specified in the abstract	NR in the abstract	IVIg was reported in the literature as a treatment option for patients who did not respond to standard treatment.
Huang (2016) ⁶	TEN	Not specified in the abstract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overall mortality = 24.2% Overall mortality of adults treated with high-dose IVIg = 11.7% 	The authors concluded the evidence did not support the use of IVIg, including high-dose, for the treatment of TEN.
Ye (2016) ⁷	SJS/TEN	Not specified in the abstract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Corticosteroid IVIg combination significantly reduced recovery time compared with corticosteroid alone Beneficial effects were greater for those treated with high-dose IVIg IVIg use was associated with a decrease in mortality 	The authors concluded that the combination of IVIg and corticosteroid may reduce recovery time for SJS/TEN.
Atzmony (2015) ⁸	Pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus	Not specified in the abstract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adjuvants, including IVIg, were found to decrease the risk of relapse. Different adjuvants were pooled in the analysis 	The authors concluded that adjuvant therapies, including IVIg, may have a role in treating pemphigus.
Barron (2015) ⁹	SJS/TEN	Not specified in the abstract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Meta-regression demonstrated a strong inverse correlation between IVIg dosage and SMRs." 	The authors concluded that IVIg at doses ≥ 2 g/kg may significantly reduce mortality in these patients.

Table 2: Summary of Included Studies

First Author, Year	Indication	Population	Results	Authors' Conclusions
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SMRs did not differ significantly between studies 	
Canavan (2015) ¹⁰	Mycoplasma pneumoniae-associated mucocutaneous disease	All ages	NR in the abstract	IVIg was identified from the literature as a treatment option.
McMillan (2015) ¹¹	Pemphigus vulgaris	Not specified in the abstract	NR in the abstract	IVIg was identified from the literature as a treatment option.
Taylor (2015) ¹²	Mucous membrane pemphigoid	Not specified in the abstract	NR in the abstract	The authors identified IVIg as a promising intervention for mucous membrane pemphigoid.
Vermaak (2015) ¹³	Dermatomyositis and polymyositis	Not specified in the abstract	NR in the abstract	The authors concluded that no specific treatment could be recommended based on the results of the review; however, IVIg was identified as one treatment with improved outcomes.
Zhao (2015) ¹⁴	Pemphigus vulgaris	Not specified in the abstract	NR in the abstract	The authors concluded that the available evidence was incomplete and inconclusive. IVIg appeared to be a promising treatment but requires further study for confirmation.
Atzmony (2014) ¹⁵	Pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus	Not specified in the abstract	IVIg had more favourable short-term efficacy versus placebo	Further study is required before treatment recommendations can be made.
Fortin (2013) ¹⁶	Wegener's granulomatosis	Not specified in the abstract	No significant difference was reported in mortality, serious	The authors concluded there was not sufficient evidence to recommend

Table 2: Summary of Included Studies

First Author, Year	Indication	Population	Results	Authors' Conclusions
			adverse events, time to relapse, open-label rescue therapy, and infection rates between IVIG and placebo as adjuvant treatment.	IVIG as adjuvant treatment.
Gordon (2012) ¹⁷	Dermatomyositis and polymyositis	Not specified in the abstract	Significant improvement was reported in muscle strength scores over 3 months in the IVIG group,	The authors concluded that the review highlighted the need for RCTs to assess the efficacy of treatments.
Huang (2012) ¹⁸	TEN	All ages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall mortality of patients treated with IVIG = 19.9% • Treatment with high-dose IVIG resulted in significantly lower mortality than low-dose IVIG • Pediatric patients had significantly lower mortality than adults 	The authors concluded that the evidence did not support the clinical benefit of IVIG.
Wang (2012) ¹⁹	Polymyositis or dermatomyositis	Adult patients	IVIG + corticosteroid significantly improved muscle strength and decreased serum creatine kinase versus placebo	The authors concluded that IVIG was an effective and relatively safe treatment for patients with polymyositis or dermatomyositis
Del Pozzo-Magana (2011) ²⁰	SJS/TEN	Children	IVIG + steroids <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • had similar results as dressing and support treatment alone • longer time to achieve remission • associated with more complications and deaths 	The authors concluded that IVIG + steroids improved outcomes but the results were variable between the identified studies.
Roujeau (2011) ²¹	SJS/TEN	Not specified in the abstract	Pooled mortality ratio = 0.82	The authors concluded that neither corticosteroids nor IVIG

Table 2: Summary of Included Studies

First Author, Year	Indication	Population	Results	Authors' Conclusions
				resulted in any important reduction in the risk of mortality.
Randomized Controlled Trials				
Amagai (2017) ²²	Bullous pemphigoid	Patients who failed prednisolone Age not specified in the abstract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DAS15 was 12.5 points lower in the IVIG group versus placebo 	The authors concluded that IVIG was therapeutically beneficial for patients with bullous pemphigoid who were resistant to steroids.
Takehara (2013) ²³	Skin sclerosis in diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis	Not specified in the abstract	There was no significant difference observed in MRSS between IVIG and placebo groups	The authors indicated that the primary endpoint was not met but they still considered that repeated IVIG may be effective for skin sclerosis in diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis.
Miyasaka (2012) ²⁴	Polymyositis and dermatomyositis resistant to corticosteroids	Not specified in the abstract	Significant improvements in manual muscle test score, serum creatine kinase level, and activities of daily living score were observed in both groups	The authors concluded that IVIG therapy with polyethylene glycol-treated human IgG could be used with the same precautions as other IVIG therapy.
Jee (2011) ²⁵	Moderate to severe childhood atopic dermatitis	Children	Disease severity index was significantly reduced in the IVIG group at 3 months	The authors concluded that IVIG may improve symptoms at 3 months of use but the improvement may not be sustained beyond 6 months.
Amagai (2009) ²⁶	Pemphigus vulgaris or pemphigus foliaceus	Patients who failed prednisolone Age not specified in the abstract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disease activity and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay scores were significantly lower in the high-dose IVIG 	The authors concluded that high-dose IVIG was effective and safe for patients with pemphigus who were resistant to steroid treatment.

Table 2: Summary of Included Studies

First Author, Year	Indication	Population	Results	Authors' Conclusions
			group • There was no difference in safety between 400mg/kg, 200 mg/kg or placebo doses	

DAS15 = disease activity score on day 15; DRESS = drug rash with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms; IVIG = intravenous immunoglobulin; MRSS = modified Rodnan skin thickness score; NR = not reported; SJS = Stevens-Johnson syndrome; SMR = standardized mortality ratio; TEN = toxic epidermal necrolysis

References Summarized

Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

1. Miguel D, Lukacs J, Illing T, Elsner P. Treatment of necrobiotic xanthogranuloma - a systematic review. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2017 Feb;31(2):221-35. PubMed: PM27436448
2. Zerdes I, Tolia M, Nikolaou M, Tsoukalas N, Velentza L, Hajjiioannou J, et al. How can we effectively address the paraneoplastic dermatomyositis: diagnosis, risk factors and treatment options. *J BUON.* 2017 Jul;22(4):1073-80. PubMed: PM28952230
3. Zimmermann S, Sekula P, Venhoff M, Motschall E, Knaus J, Schumacher M, et al. Systemic immunomodulating therapies for Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2017 Jun 1;153(6):514-22. PubMed: PM28329382
4. Bommersbach TJ, Lapid MI, Leung JG, Cunningham JL, Rumans TA, Kung S. Management of psychotropic drug-induced DRESS syndrome: a systematic review. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2016 Jun;91(6):787-801. PubMed: PM27126302
5. Cholera M, Chainani-Wu N. Management of pemphigus vulgaris. *Adv Ther [Internet].* 2016 Jun [cited 2017 Nov 3];33(6):910-58. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4920839> PubMed: PM27287854
6. Huang YC, Chien YN, Chen YT, Li YC, Chen TJ. Intravenous immunoglobulin for the treatment of toxic epidermal necrolysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *G Ital Dermatol Venereol.* 2016 Oct;151(5):515-24. PubMed: PM27248150

7. Ye LP, Zhang C, Zhu QX. The effect of intravenous immunoglobulin combined with corticosteroid on the progression of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: a meta-analysis. PLoS ONE [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Nov 3];11(11):e0167120. Available from:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5130247>
[PubMed: PM27902746](#)
8. Atzmony L, Hodak E, Leshem YA, Rosenbaum O, Gdalevich M, Anhalt GJ, et al. The role of adjuvant therapy in pemphigus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2015 Aug;73(2):264-71.
[PubMed: PM26088689](#)
9. Barron SJ, Del Vecchio MT, Aronoff SC. Intravenous immunoglobulin in the treatment of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: a meta-analysis with meta-regression of observational studies. Int J Dermatol. 2015 Jan;54(1):108-15.
[PubMed: PM24697283](#)
10. Canavan TN, Mathes EF, Frieden I, Shinkai K. Mycoplasma pneumoniae-induced rash and mucositis as a syndrome distinct from Stevens-Johnson syndrome and erythema multiforme: a systematic review. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2015 Feb;72(2):239-45.
[PubMed: PM25592340](#)
11. McMillan R, Taylor J, Shephard M, Ahmed R, Carrozzo M, Setterfield J, et al. World Workshop on Oral Medicine VI: a systematic review of the treatment of mucocutaneous pemphigus vulgaris. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2015 Aug;120(2):132-42.
[PubMed: PM25934414](#)
12. Taylor J, McMillan R, Shephard M, Setterfield J, Ahmed R, Carrozzo M, et al. World Workshop on Oral Medicine VI: a systematic review of the treatment of mucous membrane pemphigoid. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2015 Aug;120(2):161-71.
[PubMed: PM25953640](#)
13. Vermaak E, Tansley SL, McHugh NJ. The evidence for immunotherapy in dermatomyositis and polymyositis: a systematic review. Clin Rheumatol. 2015 Dec;34(12):2089-95.
[PubMed: PM26299472](#)
14. Zhao CY, Murrell DF. Pemphigus vulgaris: an evidence-based treatment update. Drugs. 2015 Feb;75(3):271-84.
[PubMed: PM25655250](#)
15. Atzmony L, Hodak E, Gdalevich M, Rosenbaum O, Mimouni D. Treatment of pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Clin Dermatol. 2014 Dec;15(6):503-15.
[PubMed: PM25403548](#)
16. Fortin PM, Tejani AM, Bassett K, Musini VM. Intravenous immunoglobulin as adjuvant therapy for Wegener's granulomatosis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews [Internet] 2013 [cited 2017 Nov 3]; 1: CD007057. Available from:
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD007057.pub3/full>
17. Gordon PA, Winer JB, Hoogendijk JE, Choy EH. Immunosuppressant and immunomodulatory treatment for dermatomyositis and polymyositis. Cochrane

Database Syst Rev. 2012 Aug 15;(8):CD003643.

[PubMed: PM22895935](#)

18. Huang YC, Li YC, Chen TJ. The efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin for the treatment of toxic epidermal necrolysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Dermatol*. 2012 Aug;167(2):424-32.
[PubMed: PM22458671](#)
19. Wang DX, Shu XM, Tian XL, Chen F, Zu N, Ma L, et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in adult patients with polymyositis/dermatomyositis: a systematic literature review. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2012 May;31(5):801-6.
[PubMed: PM22274797](#)
20. Del Pozzo-Magana BR, Lazo-Langner A, Carleton B, Castro-Pastrana LI, Rieder MJ. A systematic review of treatment of drug-induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis in children. *J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol*. 2011;18:e121-e133.
[PubMed: PM21467603](#)
21. Roujeau JC, Bastuji-Garin S. Systematic review of treatments for Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis using the SCORTEN score as a tool for evaluating mortality. *Ther Adv Drug Saf* [Internet]. 2011 Jun [cited 2017 Nov 3];2(3):87-94. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4110817>
[PubMed: PM25083204](#)

Randomized Controlled Trials

22. Amagai M, Ikeda S, Hashimoto T, Mizuashi M, Fujisawa A, Ihn H, et al. A randomized double-blind trial of intravenous immunoglobulin for bullous pemphigoid. *J Dermatol Sci*. 2017 Feb;85(2):77-84.
[PubMed: PM27876358](#)
23. Takehara K, Ihn H, Sato S. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial: intravenous immunoglobulin treatment in patients with diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2013 Mar;31(2 Suppl 76):151-6.
[PubMed: PM23910617](#)
24. Miyasaka N, Hara M, Koike T, Saito E, Yamada M, Tanaka Y, et al. Effects of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in Japanese patients with polymyositis and dermatomyositis resistant to corticosteroids: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Mod Rheumatol* [Internet]. 2012 Jun [cited 2017 Nov 3];22(3):382-93. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3375426>
[PubMed: PM21971943](#)
25. Jee SJ, Kim JH, Baek HS, Lee HB, Oh JW. Long-term efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy for moderate to severe childhood atopic dermatitis. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res* [Internet]. 2011 Apr [cited 2017 Nov 3];3(2):89-95. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3062801>
[PubMed: PM21461247](#)
26. Amagai M, Ikeda S, Shimizu H, Iizuka H, Hanada K, Aiba S, et al. A randomized double-blind trial of intravenous immunoglobulin for pemphigus. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2009 Apr;60(4):595-603.
[PubMed: PM19293008](#)

Appendix — Further Information

Systematic Reviews of Case Studies or Case Series

27. Song H, Lahood N, Mostaghimi A. Intravenous immunoglobulin as adjunct therapy for refractory pyoderma gangrenosum: systematic review of cases and case series. *Br J Dermatol*. 2017 Jul 25.
[PubMed: PM28742926](#)
28. Callander J, Robson Y, Ingram J, Piguet V. Treatment of clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis in adults: a systematic review. *Br J Dermatol*. 2016 May 11.
[PubMed: PM27167896](#)

Case Studies and Case Series

29. Gaitanis G, Spyridonos P, Patmanidis K, Koulouras V, Nakos G, Tzaphlidou M, et al. Treatment of toxic epidermal necrolysis with the combination of infliximab and high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin. *Dermatology*. 2012;224(2):134-9.
[PubMed: PM22572593](#)
30. Cruz MJ, Mota A, Baudrier T, Gil-da-Costa MJ, Azevedo F. Stevens-Johnson syndrome associated with cytomegalovirus infection in a child with ependymoma. *J Dermatol Case Rep* [Internet]. 2010 Apr 11 [cited 2017 Nov 3];4(1):11-4. Available from:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3157806>
[PubMed: PM21886739](#)