

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: SUMMARY OF ABSTRACTS

Intranasal Sufentanil for Acute Pain: Clinical Effectiveness and Guidelines

Service Line: Rapid Response Service
Version: 1.0
Publication Date: December 6, 2017
Report Length: 8 Pages

Authors: Wendy Pejic, Charlene Argáez

Cite As: Intranasal sufentanil for acute pain: clinical effectiveness and guidelines. Ottawa: CADTH. 2017 Nov. (CADTH rapid response report: summary of abstracts).

Acknowledgments:

Disclaimer: The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein are those of CADTH and do not necessarily represent the views of Canada's federal, provincial, or territorial governments or any third party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Research Questions

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of intranasal sufentanil for acute pain in adults?
2. What are the evidence-based guidelines regarding the use of intranasal opioids for acute pain in adults?

Key Findings

Three evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding the use of intranasal opioids for acute pain in adults.

Methods

A limited literature search was conducted on PubMed, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. For question #1, no methodological filters were applied to limit retrieval by study type. For question #2, methodological filters were applied to limit retrieval to guidelines. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2012 and November 20, 2017. Internet links were provided, where available.

Selection Criteria

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Selection Criteria

Population	Adult patients with acute pain
Interventions	Q1: Intranasal sufentanil Q2: Intranasal opioids (e.g., sufentanil, ketamine, or fentanyl)
Comparators	Q1: Standard of care (intravenous morphine, hydromorphone); Intranasal fentanyl; Ketamine Q2: No comparator
Outcomes	Q1: Clinical effectiveness (benefit/harm), safety, time to onset of pain relief Q2: Guidelines
Study Designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, evidence-based guidelines

Results

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, and evidence-based guidelines.

Three evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding the use of intranasal opioids for acute pain in adults. No relevant health technology assessment, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, or non-randomized studies were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of intranasal sufentanil for acute pain in adults.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

Overall Summary of Findings

Three evidence-based guidelines¹⁻³ were identified regarding the use of intranasal (IN) opioids for acute pain in adults.

In terms of IN opioid use, the Intranasal Medication Administration guidelines by the Emergency Nurses Association recommend the use of IN fentanyl to treat moderate to severe pain in both adults and children (aged one to 18) in the emergency setting.¹ In addition, IN ketamine may be a safe and effective intervention for pain management in the emergency setting; however, there is insufficient evidence to recommend the use of IN sufentanil in the emergency setting.¹ Recommendations from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines regarding the assessment and initial management of major trauma include considering the IN route for the delivery of diamorphine or ketamine for pain relief in the pre-hospital and hospital setting if an intravenous (IV) line has not been established.² In terms of potential harms, NICE indicates that caution should be heeded when administering pain relief intranasally and then administering it intravenously due to the additive dosing effects.² In addition, IN administration is contraindicated in cases where there is facial trauma or severe head injury.² The NICE recommendations for the assessment and initial management of spinal injury include considering the IN administration route for the atomized delivery of diamorphine or ketamine for pain relief in the pre-hospital or hospital setting if an IV line has not been established.³

References Summarized

Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

No literature identified.

Randomized Controlled Trials

No literature identified.

Non-Randomized Studies

No literature identified.

Guidelines and Recommendations

1. Emergency Nurses Association. Clinical practice guideline: intranasal medication administration [Internet]. Des Plaines (IL): Emergency Nurses Association; 2016 [cited 2017 Nov 27]. Available from: https://www.ena.org/docs/default-source/resource-library/practice-resources/cpg/intranasalmedcpg.pdf?sfvrsn=c9522db_8
2. Guideline Summary: Major trauma: assessment and initial management. In: National Guideline Clearinghouse [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2016 [cited 2017 Nov 27].
Summary available from: <https://www.guideline.gov/summaries/summary/50078/major-trauma-assessment-and-initial-management?q=intranasal+opioid>
See: Pain Relief
Potential Harms, bullet 12
3. Guideline summary: Spinal injury: assessment and initial management. In: National Guideline Clearinghouse [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2016 [cited 2017 Nov 27].
Summary available from: <https://www.guideline.gov/summaries/summary/50080/spinal-injury-assessment-and-initial-management?q=intranasal+opioid>
See: Pain Relief

Appendix — Further Information

Previous CADTH Reports

4. Sufentanil for palliative pain relief: a review of the clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, and guidelines [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2015 [cited 2017 Nov 27]. Available from: <https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/nov-2015/RC0728%20Sufentanil%20Final.pdf>
5. Intranasal versus intravenous administration of anxiolytic and analgesic medications: comparative clinical effectiveness [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2014 [cited 2017 Nov 27]. Available from: <https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/feb-2014/RB0641%20Intranasal%20Administration%20Final.pdf>
6. Patient-controlled analgesia for acute injury transfers: a review of the clinical effectiveness, safety, and guidelines [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; 2014 Aug [cited 2017 Nov 27]. (CADTH Rapid Response Report: Summary with Critical Appraisal). Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK254078/>
7. Pain management for pediatric patients in the emergency department: guidelines [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2013 [cited 2017 Nov 27]. Available from: <https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/dec-2013/RA0652%20Pediatric%20Pain%20in%20ED%20final.pdf>

Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

Alternative Intervention

8. Hansen MS, Dahl JB. Limited evidence for intranasal fentanyl in the emergency department and the prehospital setting - a systematic review [Internet]. Danish Med J; 2013 [cited 2017 Nov 27]. 60(1):A4563. Available from: http://www.danmedj.dk/portal/page/portal/danmedj.dk/dmj_forside/PAST_ISSUE/2013/DMJ_2013_01/A4563

Alternative Intervention in Pediatric Population

9. Murphy A, O'Sullivan R, Wakai A, Grant TS, Barrett MJ, Cronin J, et al. Intranasal fentanyl for the management of acute pain in children [Internet]. Cochrane Database Sys Rev. 2014 Oct [cited 2017 Nov 27]. Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD009942.pub2/full>

Randomized Controlled Trials – Alternative Intervention

10. Yang L, Sun DF, Wu Y, Han J, Liu RC, Wang LJ. Intranasal administration of butorphanol benefits old patients undergoing H-uvelopalatopharyngoplasty: a randomized trial. BMC Anesthesiol. 2015 Feb 2;15:20. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4429317>
[PubMed: PM25972155](#)

Non-Randomized Studies

No Comparator

11. Steenblik J, Goodman M, Davis V, Gee C, Hopkins CL, Stephen R, et al. Intranasal sufentanil for the treatment of acute pain in a winter resort clinic. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2012 Nov;30(9):1817-21.
[PubMed: PM22633713](#)
12. Stephen R, Lingenfelter E, Broadwater-Hollifield C, Madsen T. Intranasal sufentanil provides adequate analgesia for emergency department patients with extremity injuries. *J Opioid Manag*. 2012 Jul;8(4):237-41.
[PubMed: PM22941851](#)

Pediatric Population and Combined Intervention

13. Nielsen BN, Friis SM, Romsing J, Schmiegelow K, Anderson BJ, Ferreiros N, et al. Intranasal sufentanil/ketamine analgesia in children. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2014 Feb;24(2):170-80.
[PubMed: PM24118506](#)

Alternative Intervention

14. Schacherer NM, Erikson RD, Frazier SB, Perkins AM. Expedited delivery of pain medication for long-bone fractures using an intranasal fentanyl clinical pathway. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2015 Aug;31(8):560-3.
[PubMed: PM25875994](#)

Guidelines and Recommendations – Pediatric Population

15. Guideline summary: Fractures (non-complex): assessment and management. In: National Guideline Clearinghouse [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2016 [cited 2017 Nov 27].
Summary available from:
<https://www.guideline.gov/summaries/summary/50077/fractures-noncomplex-assessment-and-management?q=intranasal+opioid>
See: *Initial Pharmacological Management of Pain in Children (Under 16s)*, second
Bullet

Clinical Practice Guidelines – Uncertain Methodology

16. Scottish Palliative Care Guidelines: choosing and changing opioids [Internet]. Edinburgh, Scotland: NHS Scotland; 2017 [cited 2017 Nov 27].
Available from:
<http://www.palliativecareguidelines.scot.nhs.uk/guidelines/pain/choosing-and-changing-opioids.aspx>
See: Fentanyl sublingual/intranasal
17. Northwest Healthcare Response Network (Washington State). Intranasal (IN) medication administration: MBED clinical practice guideline [Internet]. Tukwila (WA): Northwest Healthcare Response Network; 2014 [cited 2017 Nov 27]. Available from:
<http://www.nwhrn.org/media/No-Pain-Intranasal-Medication-Administration-MBED-Clinical-Practice-Guideline1.pdf>

18. Providence HealthCare. Intranasal fentanyl protocol [Internet]. Vancouver (BC): St. Paul's Hospital Emergency Department; 2012 [cited 2017 Nov 27]. Available from: <http://sphemerg.ca/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Intranasal-Fentanyl-Protocol.pdf>

Review Articles

19. Ziesenitz VC, Vaughns JD, Koch G, Mikus G, van den Anker JN. Pharmacokinetics of fentanyl and its derivatives in children: a comprehensive review. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2017 Jul 7.
[PubMed: PM28688027](#)
20. AlSarheed MA. Intranasal sedatives in pediatric dentistry. Saudi Med J. 2016 Sep;37(9):948-56. Available from:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5039613>
[PubMed: PM27570849](#)
21. Corrigan M, Wilson SS, Hampton J. Safety and efficacy of intranasally administered medications in the emergency department and prehospital settings. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2015 Sep 15;72(18):1544-54.
[PubMed: PM26346210](#)
22. Grassin-Delye S, Buenestado A, Naline E, Faisy C, Blouquit-Laye S, Couderc L-J, et al. Intranasal drug delivery: An efficient and non-invasive route for systemic administration - Focus on opioids. Pharmacol Ther. 2012;134(3):366-79.
[PubMed: PM22465159](#)