

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: REFERENCE LIST

# Point-of-Care Urine Dipstick Testing for Suspected Urinary Tract Infections for Adults: Diagnostic Accuracy

Service Line:	Rapid Response Service
Version:	1.0
Publication Date:	February 15, 2019
Report Length:	5 Pages

**Authors:** Deba Hafizi, Aleksandra Grobelna

**Cite As:** Point-of-care urine dipstick testing for suspected urinary tract infections for adults: diagnostic accuracy. Ottawa: CADTH; 2019 Feb. (CADTH rapid response report: reference list).

**Disclaimer:** The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

**About CADTH:** CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

**Funding:** CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to [requests@cadth.ca](mailto:requests@cadth.ca)

## Research Question

What is the comparative diagnostic accuracy of point-of-care urine dipstick testing versus microscopic urinalysis in adult patients who present urinary tract infections in the emergency room or primary care setting?

## Key Findings

One systematic review and two non-randomized studies were identified regarding point-of-care urine dipstick testing for suspected urinary tract infections in the emergency department or primary care setting for adults.

## Methods

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, the Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2009 and February 12, 2019. Internet links were provided, where available.

## Selection Criteria

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

**Table 1: Selection Criteria**

<b>Population</b>	Adult patients (>19 years of age) presenting to the emergency department or in primary care with suspected urinary tract infection.
<b>Intervention</b>	Point-of-care urine dipstick testing through leukocyte esterase screening; point-of-care dipstick testing using an automated reader through leukocyte esterase screening
<b>Comparator</b>	Urine microscopy looking through white blood cell screening
<b>Outcomes</b>	Diagnostic accuracy (e.g., specificity, sensitivity, positive or negative predictive values, area under the curve)
<b>Study Designs</b>	Health technology assessment, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies

## Results

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials and non-randomized studies.

One systematic review and two non-randomized studies were identified regarding point-of-care urine dipstick testing for suspected urinary tract infections in the emergency department and primary care for adults. No health technology assessments, meta-analyses or randomized controlled trials were identified.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

### Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

### Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

1. Cameron AP, Rodriguez GM, Schomer KG. Systematic review of urological followup after spinal cord injury. *J Urol.* 2012 Feb;187(2):391-397.  
[PubMed: PM22177149](#)

### Randomized Controlled Trials

No literature identified.

### Non-Randomized Studies

2. Hessdoerfer E, Jundt K, Peschers U. Is a dipstick test sufficient to exclude urinary tract infection in women with overactive bladder? *Int Urogynecol J.* 2011;22(2):229-232.  
[PubMed: PM20838986](#)
3. Khasriya R, Khan S, Lunawat R, et al. The inadequacy of urinary dipstick and microscopy as surrogate markers of urinary tract infection in urological outpatients with lower urinary tract symptoms without acute frequency and dysuria. *J Urol.* 2010 May;183(5):1843-1847.  
[PubMed: PM20303096](#)

## Appendix — Further Information

### Previous CADTH Reports

4. Point-of-care urine testing for suspected urinary tract infections in the emergency department: diagnostic accuracy, clinical utility, and guidelines. (CADTH rapid response report: summary of abstracts). Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2017: <https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/2017/RB1174%20POC%20UTI%20Testing%20in%20ER%20Final.pdf> Accessed 2019 Feb 15.
5. Urine testing in long-term care: clinical and cost effectiveness. (CADTH rapid response report: summary of abstracts). Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2014: <https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/jan-2015/RB0764%20Urine%20Testing%20Utility%20Final.pdf>. Accessed 2019 Feb 14.

### Non-randomized Studies

#### *Alternative Setting*

6. Mandal J, Sagili H, Lakshminarayanan S, Parija SC. Utility of urine dipstick test for the screening of urinary tract infection in catheterized women following gynecological surgeries. *J Obstet Gynaecol India*. 2015 Dec;65(6):401-404. [PubMed: PM26664000](#)
7. Tan PC, King AS, Omar SZ. Screening for urinary tract infection in women with hyperemesis gravidarum. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*. 2012 Jan;38(1):145-153. [PubMed: PM21955280](#)

#### *Alternative Intervention*

8. Sterry-Blunt RE, Randall KS, Doughton MJ, Aliyu SH, Enoch DA. Screening urine samples for the absence of urinary tract infection using the sediMAX automated microscopy analyser. *J Med Microbiol*. 2015;64(6):605-609. [PubMed: PM25855757](#)

#### *Alternative Comparator*

9. Kocer D, Sariguzel FM, Ciraci MZ, Karakukcu C, Oz L. Diagnostic accuracy of a new urinalysis system, DongJiu, for diagnosis of urinary tract infection. *Ann Clin Lab Sci*. 2015 Fall;45(6):686-691. [PubMed: PM26663800](#)