

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: REFERENCE LIST Fidaxomicin Pulse Therapy for Clostridium Difficile Infection: Clinical Effectiveness

Service Line:Rapid Response ServiceVersion:1.0Publication Date:April 4, 2019Report Length:6 Pages

Authors: Deba Hafizi, Hannah Loshak

Cite As: *Fidaxomicin Pulse Therapy for Clostridium Difficile Infection: Clinical Effectiveness.* Ottawa: CADTH; 2019 Apr. (CADTH rapid response report: reference list).

Disclaimer: The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Research Question

What is the clinical effectiveness of fidaxomicin pulse therapy for the treatment of *Clostridium difficile* infections?

Key Findings

One randomized controlled trial and one non-randomized study were identified regarding fidaxomicin pulse therapy for Clostridium difficile infection.

Methods

This report makes use of a literature search strategy developed for a previous CADTH report. For the current report, a limited literature search was conducted on key resources including Medline and Embase via OVID, the Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. No filters were applied to limit retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was limited to English-language documents published between January 1, 2009 and April 1, 2019. Internet links were provided, where available.

Selection Criteria

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

Population	Adult patients, in all settings, with a Clostridium difficile infection
Intervention	Fidaxomicin pulse therapy
Comparator	Fidaxomcin twice daily dosing Vancomycin Metronidazole Fecal microbiota transplantation Other usual treatments Placebo No treatment
Outcomes	Clinical effectiveness (e.g., infection resolution, prevention of recurrence); safety (e.g., side effects, adverse events, mortality)
Study Designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, non- randomized studies

Table 1: Selection Criteria

Results

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and metaanalyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials, and nonrandomized studies.

One randomized controlled trial and one non-randomized study (both from the same clinical trial) were identified regarding fidaxomicin pulse therapy for clostridium difficile infection. No relevant health technology assessments, systematic reviews or meta-analyses were identified.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

No literature identified.

Randomized Controlled Trials

 Guery B, Menichetti F, Anttila VJ, et al. Extended-pulsed fidaxomicin versus vancomycin for Clostridium difficile infection in patients 60 years and older (EXTEND): a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3b/4 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2018;18(3):296-307. PubMed: PM29273269

Non-Randomized Studies

 Cornely OA, Vehreschild M, Adomakoh N, et al. Extended-pulsed fidaxomicin versus vancomycin for Clostridium difficile infection: EXTEND study subgroup analyses. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2019;Mar 25 [epub ahead of print]. <u>PubMed: PM30911926</u>

Appendix — Further Information

Previous CADTH Reports

- Fidaxomicin pulse therapy for clostridium difficile infection: clinical effectiveness. (CADTH Rapid response report: reference list). Ottawa (ON):CADTH; 2019: https://www.cadth.ca/fidaxomicin-pulse-therapy-clostridium-difficile-infection-clinicaleffectiveness-0. Accessed 2019 Apr 4.
- CADTH Canadian Drug Expert Committee (CDEC) final recommendation: fidaxomicin (Dificid – Optimer Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc.). Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2012 Dec 19; <u>https://www.cadth.ca/fidaxomicin-6</u>. Accessed 2019 Apr 4.

Non-Randomized Studies

Alternative Dosing Regimen

 Louie TJ, Miller MA, Mullane KM, et al. Fidaxomicin versus vancomycin for Clostridium difficile infection. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(5):422-431.
PubMed: PM21288078

In Vitro Model

 Chilton CH, Crowther GS, Todhunter SL, et al. Efficacy of alternative fidaxomicin dosing regimens for treatment of simulated Clostridium difficile infection in an in vitro human gut model. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015;70(9):2598-2607. <u>PubMed: PM26078392</u>

Economic Evaluations

- Cornely OA, Watt M, McCrea C, Goldenberg SD, De Nigris E. Extended-pulsed fidaxomicin versus vancomycin for Clostridium difficile infection in patients aged >=60 years (EXTEND): analysis of cost-effectiveness. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018;73(9):2529-2539. PubMed: PM29800295
- Baro E, Galperine T, Denies F, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of five competing strategies for the management of multiple recurrent community-onset Clostridium difficile infection in France. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12(1):e0170258. PubMed: PM28103289

Case Studies

- Yan WR. Fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) bridging with fidaxomicin and vancomycin for severe refractory Clostridium difficile infection (CDI). Am J Gastroenterol. 2017;112:S783.
- Soriano MM, Danziger LH, Gerding DN, Johnson S. Novel fidaxomicin treatment regimens for patients with multiple Clostridium difficile infection recurrences that are refractory to standard therapies. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2014;1(2):ofu069. <u>PubMed: PM25734139</u>

Review Articles

- Clayton JA, Toltzis P. Recent issues in pediatric Clostridium difficile infection. *Curr* Infect Dis Rep. 2017;19(12):49. <u>PubMed: PM29110105</u>
- Taylor KN, McHale MT, Saenz CC, Plaxe SC. Diagnosis and treatment of Clostridium difficile (C. diff) colitis: review of the literature and a perspective in gynecologic oncology. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2017;144(2):428-437.
 PubMed: PM27876339