

CADTH Reference List

# Remote Substance-Monitoring Devices and Mobile Applications for Substance Use Disorders

November 2021

**Authors:** Camille Santos, Carolyn Spry

**Cite As:** *Remote substance-monitoring devices and mobile applications for substance use disorders*. (CADTH reference list: summary of abstracts). Ottawa: CADTH; 2021 Nov.

**Disclaimer:** The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up to date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

**About CADTH:** CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

**Funding:** CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to [requests@cadth.ca](mailto:requests@cadth.ca)

## Key Messages

- One health technology assessment and 3 randomized controlled trials were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of remote substance-monitoring devices and mobile applications for the treatment of substance use disorders.
- No evidence was found regarding the cost-effectiveness of remote substance-monitoring devices and mobile applications for the treatment of substance use disorders.
- No evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding the use of remote substance-monitoring devices and mobile applications for the treatment of substance use disorders.

## Research Questions

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of remote substance-monitoring devices and mobile applications for the treatment of substance use disorders?
2. What is the cost-effectiveness of remote substance-monitoring devices and mobile applications for the treatment of substance use disorders?
3. What are the evidence-based guidelines regarding the use of remote substance-monitoring devices and mobile applications for the treatment of substance use disorders?

## Methods

### Literature Search Methods

A limited literature search was conducted by an information specialist on key resources including MEDLINE, PsycINFO, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, the international HTA database, the websites of Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused internet search. The search strategy comprised both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine's MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. The main search concepts were substance use disorder, remote monitoring, and mobile applications. No filters were applied to limit retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English-language documents published between January 1, 2016 and October 25, 2021. Internet links were provided, where available.

### Selection Criteria and Summary Methods

One reviewer screened literature search results (titles and abstracts) and selected publications according to the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1. Full texts of study publications were not reviewed. The Overall Summary of Findings was based on information available in the abstracts of selected publications. Open-access, full-text versions of evidence-based guidelines were reviewed when abstracts were not available and relevant recommendations were summarized.

**Table 1: Selection Criteria**

Criteria	Description
<b>Population</b>	People with substance use disorders (e.g., alcohol use disorder), with or without comorbid conditions (e.g., posttraumatic stress disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, major depressive disorder)
<b>Intervention</b>	Remote substance-monitoring devices or mobile applications, alone or in combination with other interventions (e.g., psychotherapy)
<b>Comparator</b>	Q1 and Q2: Alternative remote substance-monitoring devices or mobile applications; pharmacological interventions; non-pharmacological interventions (e.g., psychotherapy); no treatment with remote substance-monitoring devices or mobile applications Q3: Not applicable
<b>Outcomes</b>	Q1: Clinical effectiveness (e.g., substance use, abstinence, or relapse, functional status, severity of symptoms [e.g., depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms], quality of life, safety [e.g., adverse events]) Q2: Cost-effectiveness (e.g., cost per quality-adjusted life-year gained) Q3: Recommendations regarding best practices (e.g., appropriate patient populations or clinical settings, recommended types of devices, strategies to mitigate harms and adverse events)
<b>Study designs</b>	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, economic evaluations, evidence-based guidelines

## Results

One health technology assessment<sup>1</sup> and 3 randomized controlled trials<sup>2-4</sup> were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of remote substance-monitoring devices and mobile applications for the treatment of substance use disorders. No relevant systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, economic evaluations, non-randomized studies, or evidence-based guidelines were identified.

Additional references of potential interest that did not meet the inclusion criteria are provided in Appendix 1.

## Overall Summary of Findings

One health technology assessment<sup>1</sup> and 3 randomized controlled trials<sup>2-4</sup> were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of remote substance-monitoring devices and mobile applications for the treatment of substance use disorders. The health technology assessment investigated the comparative effectiveness of 2 smartphone apps with substance use monitoring capabilities, Connections and DynamiCare (as an adjunct to medication-assisted treatment), to standard care alone.<sup>1</sup> Authors identified 1 study regarding the cognitive behavioural therapy portion and 1 trial was identified for the Connections and DynamiCare apps, respectively.<sup>1</sup> Authors concluded that the impact of these apps in opioid use disorders was moderate, at best.<sup>1</sup> However, the authors stated that the evidence used remains controversial and lacks direct quantitative assessment.<sup>1</sup>

Three randomized controlled trials<sup>2-4</sup> evaluated the clinical effectiveness of smartphone apps with self-monitoring capabilities for substance use disorders – 1<sup>3</sup> specifically in individuals with alcohol use disorder and 1<sup>4</sup> in individuals with opioid use disorder. One randomized

controlled trial found that remote ecological momentary assessments, in combination with ecological momentary intervention, show the potential for increased abstinence that goes beyond substance use disorder treatment engagement.<sup>2</sup> Another randomized trial found no significant difference, at 6 months, in the mean number of drinks per week between individuals with alcohol use disorder who were provided with smartphone-based intervention access and those who had no such access.<sup>3</sup> In another randomized controlled trial, individuals receiving text messages and daily surveys on cravings, affects, triggers, responses, and social contexts were associated with a significantly lower number of days using drugs in the past week compared to the control group.<sup>4</sup> Additionally, there was a lower percentage of participants in the intervention group with a positive urine test than the control group.<sup>4</sup>

No relevant literature was found regarding the cost-effectiveness of remote substance-monitoring devices and mobile applications for the treatment of substance use disorders. Similarly, no evidence-based guidelines regarding its use for the treatment of substance use disorders were identified; therefore, no summary can be provided.

## References

### Health Technology Assessments

1. Tetre JA, Whittington MD, Fluetsch N, et al. Digital health technologies as an adjunct to medication assisted therapy for opioid use disorder. *Final evidence report and meeting summary*: Boston (MA): Institute for Clinical and Economic Review; 2020: [https://icer.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/ICER\\_DHTs\\_for\\_OUD\\_Final\\_Evidence\\_Report\\_121120.pdf](https://icer.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/ICER_DHTs_for_OUD_Final_Evidence_Report_121120.pdf). Accessed 2021 Nov 2.

### Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

No literature identified.

### Randomized Controlled Trials

2. Scott CK, Dennis ML, Johnson KA, Grella CE. A randomized clinical trial of smartphone self-managed recovery support services. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2020 10;117:108089. [PubMed](#)
3. Bertholet N, Godinho A, Cunningham JA. Smartphone application for unhealthy alcohol use: pilot randomized controlled trial in the general population. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 02 01 2019; 195: 101-105. [PubMed](#)
4. Liang D, Han H, Du J, Zhao M, Hser YI. A pilot study of a smartphone application supporting recovery from drug addiction. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 05 2018; 88: 51-58. [PubMed](#)

### Non-Randomized Studies

No literature identified.

### Economic Evaluations

No literature identified.

### Guidelines and Recommendations

No literature identified.

## Appendix 1: References of Potential Interest

### Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses

#### Comparator Not Specified

5. Carreiro S, Newcomb M, Leach R, Ostrowski S, Boudreaux ED, Amante D. Current reporting of usability and impact of mHealth interventions for substance use disorder: a systematic review. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 10 01 2020; 215: 108201. [PubMed](#)
6. Ortis A, Caponnetto P, Polosa R, Urso S, Battiato S. A report on smoking detection and quitting technologies. *Int J Environ Res & Public Health.* 04 10 2020; 17(7): 2614. [PubMed](#)
7. Staiger PK, O'Donnell R, Liknaitzky P, Bush R, Milward J. Mobile apps to reduce tobacco, alcohol, and illicit drug use: systematic review of the first decade. *J Med Internet Res.* 11 24 2020; 22(11): e17156. [PubMed](#)  
BACKGROUND: Mobile apps for problematic substance use have the potential to bypass common barriers to treatment

### Randomized Controlled Trials

#### Unclear Population – Substance Abuse Disorder Diagnosis Unknown

8. Krishnan N, Elf JL, Chon S, Golub JE. COach2Quit: a pilot randomized controlled trial of a personal carbon monoxide monitor for smoking cessation. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 10 26 2019; 21(11): 1573-1577. [PubMed](#)
9. Crane D, Garnett C, Michie S, West R, Brown J. A smartphone app to reduce excessive alcohol consumption: identifying the effectiveness of intervention components in a factorial randomised control trial. *Sci. Rep.* 03 12 2018; 8(1): 4384. [PubMed](#)
10. Aharonovich E, Stohl M, Cannizzaro D, Hasin D. HealthCall delivered via smartphone to reduce co-occurring drug and alcohol use in HIV-infected adults: a randomized pilot trial. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 12 2017; 83: 15-26. [PubMed](#)

#### Alternative Population

11. Thompson RG, Aivadyan C, Stohl M, Aharonovich E, Hasin DS. Smartphone application plus brief motivational intervention reduces substance use and sexual risk behaviors among homeless young adults: results from a randomized controlled trial *Psychol Addict Behav.* Sep 2020; 34(6): 641-649. [PubMed](#)
12. Tsui JI, Leroux BG, Radick AC, et al. Video directly observed therapy for patients receiving office-based buprenorphine - a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 10 01 2021; 227(): 108917. [PubMed](#)

### Non-Randomized Studies

#### No Comparator

13. Kelly PJ, Beck AK, Deane FP, et al. Feasibility of a mobile health app for routine outcome monitoring and feedback in SMART recovery mutual support groups: stage 1 mixed methods pilot study. *J Med Internet Res.* Oct 06 2021; 23(10): e25217. [PubMed](#)
14. Malte CA, Dulin PL, Baer JS, et al. Usability and acceptability of a mobile app for the self-management of alcohol misuse among veterans (Step Away): pilot cohort study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth.* 04 08 2021; 9(4): e25927. [PubMed](#)
15. Mitchell MM, Mendelson J, Gryczynski J, Carswell SB, Schwartz RP. A novel telehealth platform for alcohol use disorder treatment: preliminary evidence of reductions in drinking. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* 05 03 2020; 46(3): 297-303. [PubMed](#)
16. Bertholet N, Daepfen JB, McNeely J, Kushnir V, Cunningham JA. Smartphone application for unhealthy alcohol use: a pilot study. *Subst Abuse.* Jul-Sep 2017; 38(3): 285-291. [PubMed](#)
17. You CW, Chen YC, Chen CH, et al. Smartphone-based support system (SoberDiary) coupled with a Bluetooth breathalyser for treatment-seeking alcohol-dependent patients. *Addict Behav.* 02 2017; 65: 174-178. [PubMed](#)

#### Alternative Population

18. Attwood S, Parke H, Larsen J, Morton KL. Using a mobile health application to reduce alcohol consumption: a mixed-methods evaluation of the Drinkaware track & calculate units application. *BMC Public Health.* 05 17 2017; 17(1): 394. [PubMed](#)

### Review Articles

19. Davis-Martin RE, Alessi SM, Boudreaux ED. Alcohol use disorder in the age of technology: a review of wearable biosensors in alcohol use disorder treatment. *Front Psychiatr.* 2021; 12: 642813. [PubMed](#)
20. Goldfine C, Lai JT, Lucey E, Newcomb M, Carreiro S. Wearable and wireless mHealth technologies for substance use disorder. *Curr Addict Rep.* Sep 2020; 7(3): 291-300. [PubMed](#)
21. Suffoletto B, Scaglione S Using digital interventions to support individuals with alcohol use disorder and advanced liver disease: a bridge over troubled waters. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* Jul 2018; 42(7): 1160-1165. [PubMed](#)

## Additional References

22. Gordon A, Jaffe A, McLellan AT, et al. How should remote clinical monitoring be used to treat alcohol use disorders?: Initial findings from an expert round table discussion. *J Addict Med.* Mar/Apr 2017; 11(2): 145-153. [PubMed](#)