

CADTH Reference List

Newborn Transcutaneous Bilirubin Screening: A 2022 Update

February 2022

Authors: Robyn Haas, Monika Mierzwinski-Urban

Cite As: *Newborn Transcutaneous Bilirubin Screening*. (CADTH reference list: summary of abstracts). Ottawa: CADTH; 2022 Feb.

Disclaimer: The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up to date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to requests@cadth.ca

Key Messages

- One health technology assessment and 6 non-randomized studies were identified regarding the diagnostic test accuracy of transcutaneous bilirubin screening compared with serum bilirubin testing for well newborns in hospital.
- One health technology assessment and 1 randomized controlled trial were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of transcutaneous bilirubin for well newborns in hospital.
- One health technology assessment and 1 economic evaluation were identified regarding the cost-effectiveness of transcutaneous bilirubin screening for well newborns in hospital.
- One evidence-based guideline was identified regarding transcutaneous bilirubin screening for well newborns in hospital.

Research Questions

1. What is the diagnostic test accuracy of transcutaneous bilirubin (TcB) screening compared with serum bilirubin testing for well newborns in hospital?
2. What is the clinical effectiveness of TcB screening for well newborns in hospital?
3. What is the cost-effectiveness of TcB screening for well newborns in hospital?
4. What are the evidence-based guidelines regarding TcB screening for well newborns in hospital?

Methods

Literature Search Methods

A limited literature search was conducted by an information specialist on key resources including MEDLINE, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, the International HTA Database, the websites of Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused internet search. The search strategy comprised both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine's MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. The main search concepts were transcutaneous bilirubin AND screening tests. The search was based on a previous CADTH report. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. The search was also limited to English-language documents published between January 1, 2016, and January 17, 2022.

Selection Criteria and Summary Methods

One reviewer screened literature search results (titles and abstracts) and selected publications according to the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1. Full texts of study publications were not reviewed. The Overall Summary of Findings was based on information available in the abstracts of selected publications. Open-access full-text versions of evidence-based guidelines were reviewed when available, and relevant recommendations were summarized.

Table 1: Selection Criteria

Criteria	Description
Population	Q1 to Q4: Well newborns (i.e., < 10 days of age), in hospital
Index test or intervention	Q1 to Q4: Transcutaneous screening test(s) (e.g., Philips BiliChek, Minolta Jaundice Meter, Dräger Jaundice Meter JM-103, BiliStick, Dräger Jaundice Meter JM-105, transcutaneous Jaundice Detector JH20-1C [Ningbo David, China], novel icterometers such as smart phone camera apps, and other point-of-care, transcutaneous interventions) for measuring bilirubin in newborns
Reference standard	Q1: Serum bilirubin measurement
Comparator	Q1 to Q3: Any other transcutaneous bilirubin screening test device(s), method, approach Q4: Not applicable
Outcomes	Q1: Diagnostic test accuracy (e.g., sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value) Q2: Clinical effectiveness (e.g., benefits and harms to newborn patients and/or families, including time to treatment, impact on quality of life, feasibility of screening test, adverse events from the screening, incidental findings) Q3: Cost-effectiveness (e.g., QALYs, costs per unit of health benefit) Q4: Evidence-based recommendations and/or guidance (e.g., which screening test method is optimal; guidance as to which intervention is preferable in particular patient populations, settings, contexts; clinical and other considerations when using one screening method vs. another)
Study designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, economic evaluations, evidence-based guidelines

QALY = quality-adjusted life-year; vs. = versus.

Results

Ten relevant references were identified for this report.¹⁻¹⁰

One health technology assessment (HTA)¹ and 6 non-randomized studies³⁻⁸ were identified regarding the diagnostic test accuracy of TcB screening compared with serum bilirubin testing for well newborns in hospital. One HTA¹ and 1 randomized controlled trial² were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of TcB screening for well newborns in hospital. One HTA¹ and 1 economic evaluation⁹ were identified regarding the cost-effectiveness of TcB screening for well newborns in hospital. One evidence-based guideline was identified regarding TcB screening for well newborns in hospital.¹⁰

Additional references of potential interest that did not meet the inclusion criteria are provided in Appendix 1.

Overall Summary of Findings

One HTA¹ and 6 non-randomized studies³⁻⁸ were identified regarding the diagnostic test accuracy of TcB screening compared with serum bilirubin testing for well newborns in hospital. The HTA compared to total serum bilirubin (TSB) screening and found that TcB had poorer diagnostic accuracy than TSB measurements, when it is assumed that TSB has perfect diagnostic accuracy.¹ All of the non-randomized studies that examined the diagnostic test accuracy of TcB measurements compared to serum bilirubin levels found that TcB devices could be used as reliable tools to screen for hyperbilirubinemia in newborn

populations.³⁻⁸ The specific newborn populations examined, outcomes investigated, and additional conclusions made by authors varied across studies.³⁻⁸ A detailed summary of the identified studies relevant to research question 1 can be found in Table 2.

One HTA¹ and 1 randomized controlled trial² were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of TcB screening for well newborns in hospital. The HTA examined TcB screening methods, specifically the BiliChek and Jaundice Meter JM-105 devices.¹ The authors found that after TcB implementation, there was a trend toward decreased health care resource usage, including TSB testing, phototherapy, and hospital readmissions.¹ A randomized controlled trial examined the effect of pre-discharge TcB screening on the rate of hospital readmission for jaundice and the incidence of severe hyperbilirubinemia.² The study found that pre-discharge screening with TcB better identified newborns at risk of severe hyperbilirubinemia compared to visual inspection.²

One HTA¹ and 1 economic evaluation⁹ were identified regarding the cost-effectiveness of TcB screening for well newborns in hospital. The HTA performed a cost-effectiveness analysis and found that the overall differences in health outcomes (e.g., quality-adjusted life-years) between TcB, TSB, and visual assessment were small.¹ When it was assumed that patients must wait in the hospital for TSB results, the TcB devices that were evaluated tended to be less costly than TSB.¹ However, TSB was preferred when patients didn't have to wait for results in the hospital because TcB resulted in higher costs in these scenarios.¹ Among TcB devices, the Jaundice Meter JM-105 was less costly and had equivalent benefits in terms of clinical outcomes when compared to the BiliChek.¹ The Jaundice Meter JM-105 was less costly and more effective than visual assessment.¹ The economic evaluation used a cost-minimization analysis to compare a TcB-TSB screening program to a TSB-only screening program in a tertiary care centre.⁹ The authors found that the TcB-TSB program reduced nurses' time to screen and provided immediate results at the point-of-care.⁹ TcB reduced the need for painful heel pokes while improving access to screening and decreasing the overall program cost.⁹

One evidence-based guideline was identified regarding TcB screening for well newborns in hospital.¹⁰ The guideline, published by the Association of Ontario Midwives, recommended that TcB screening should be offered in instances where screening for hyperbilirubinemia is requested or recommended and bilimeters are available.¹⁰ The authors classified this as a "strong recommendation" with "very low certainty of evidence."¹⁰

Table 2: Summary of Included Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies

Study citation	Study design, population	Intervention and comparator(s)	Relevant outcome(s)	Authors' conclusions
Health technology assessments				
Dowsett et al. (2017) ¹	HTA with 9 diagnostic accuracy studies included Population: Newborns in an acute care setting	Intervention: TcB measurement Comparator: TSB measurement	Sensitivity, specificity	Sensitivity of TcB ranged from 72% to 100%. Specificity of TcB ranged from 58% to 88%. Based on the assumption that TSB has perfect diagnostic accuracy, TcB has poorer diagnostic accuracy than TSB.

Study citation	Study design, population	Intervention and comparator(s)	Relevant outcome(s)	Authors' conclusions
Non-randomized studies				
Casnocha et al. (2021) ³	Study design: NRS Population: Healthy term infants from Slovakia N = 102	Intervention: TcB using the JM-105 device Comparator: TSB screening	TcB/TSB correlation, mean difference of TcB and TSB, sensitivity, area under the curve	Transcutaneous bilirubinometry is an accurate, sensitive, and convenient screening method in term Caucasian newborns. TcB measurements showed best performance on the forehead. Confirmation of higher transcutaneous bilirubin values with a TSB measurement is necessary.
Khajehei et al. (2021) ⁴	Study design: NRS Population: Ethnically diverse neonates > 35 weeks gestation, aged > 168 hours N = 78	Intervention: Two TcB devices (JM-105, MBJ-20) Comparator: SBR levels	JM-105/MBJ-20 correlation, Correlation between TcB measurements and SBR levels, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV	Both devices had high sensitivity and negative predictive values at an SBR level of less than 230 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ and high specificity and positive predictive values at an SBR level of 230 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ and greater. Both devices equally overestimated the actual SBR and had more reliable results if used on the sternum.
Mendoza-Chuctaya et al. (2021) ⁵	Study design: NRS, prospective, and cross sectional Population: Full-term newborns 3,400 m above sea level N = 123	Intervention: TcB measurement Comparator: TSB measurement	TcB and TSB correlation, sensitivity, specificity, area under the curve	TcB measurement is a fast and painless method that can be considered a reliable tool for screening and monitoring jaundice in newborns. It is not a definitive diagnosis tool for deciding use of phototherapy in full-term newborns at 3,400 m above sea level.
Chokemungmeepisarn et al. (2020) ⁶	Study design: NRS Population: Healthy newborns \geq 35 weeks gestation N = 214	Intervention: TcB measurement with BiliCare Comparator: TSB measurement	TcB and TSB correlation, mean difference of TcB and TSB, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, area under the ROC	BiliCare TcB and TSB measurements were well correlated. The TcB level + 3 mg/dL could detect all newborns who had hyperbilirubinemia requiring phototherapy during their birth hospitalization.
Lee et al. (2019) ⁷	Study design: NRS Population: Newborns at 2 hospital sites N = 790	Intervention: Bili-ruler icterometer Comparator: Reference standard TcB concentrations, TSB concentrations	Correlation of Bili-ruler measurements and reference standard TcB and TSB levels, sensitivity, specificity,	The Bili-ruler is a noninvasive tool with high diagnostic accuracy for jaundice screening in newborns. It may be used to improve referrals from community or peripheral health centres to higher-level facilities with capacity for bilirubin testing and/or phototherapy.

Study citation	Study design, population	Intervention and comparator(s)	Relevant outcome(s)	Authors' conclusions
Taylor et al. (2017) ⁸	Study design: NRS Population: Diverse sample of newborns, < 7 days old N = 530	Intervention: BiliCam smartphone app Comparator: TSB measurements	Correlation of estimated bilirubin levels and TSB, sensitivity, specificity	BiliCam gave accurate estimates of TSB values, demonstrating that smartphone technology could be used to effectively screen newborns for jaundice.

NRS = non-randomized study; NPV = negative predictive value; PPV = positive predictive value; ROC = receiver operating characteristic curve; SBR = serum bilirubin; TcB = transcutaneous bilirubin; TSB = total serum bilirubin.

References

Health Technology Assessments

1. Dowsett L, Soril L, Clement F, et al. Transcutaneous bilirubinometers for newborn hyperbilirubinemia. Calgary (AB): The Health Technology Assessment Unit, University of Calgary; 2017: <https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/health/about-bc-s-health-care-system/health-care-partners/health-authorities/bc-health-technology-assessments/bilirubinometers-for-newborn-hyperbilirubinemia.pdf> . Accessed 2022 Jan 20

Systematic Reviews

No literature identified.

Randomized Controlled Trials

2. Okwundu C, Bhutani VK, Smith J, Esterhuizen TM, Wiysonge C. Predischarge transcutaneous bilirubin screening reduces readmission rate for hyperbilirubinaemia in diverse South African newborns: a randomised controlled trial. *S Afr Med J* Feb 26. 2020;110(3):249-254. [PubMed](#)

Non-Randomized Studies

3. Casnocha Lucanova L, Zibolenova J, Matasova K, Docekalova L, Zibolen M. Accuracy of enhanced transcutaneous bilirubinometry according to various measurement sites. *Turk Arch Pediatr*. Jan 2021;56(1):15-21. [PubMed](#)
4. Khajehei M, Chua SC, Gidaszewski B, Swain J. Comparing JM-105 and MBJ-20 Transcutaneous bilirubinometers according to the area tested in ethnically diverse late-preterm and term neonates. *J Perinat Neonatal Nurs*. Jul-Sep 01 2021;35(3):E30-E37. [PubMed](#)
5. Mendoza-Chuctaya G, Ramos-Chuctaya KR, Maraza-Aquino EJ, Ruiz-Esquivel JE, Velazquez-Cordova LAS. Accuracy of transcutaneous bilirubin measurement in full-term newborns at 3400 meters above sea level. *Bol Med Hosp Infant Mex*. 02 16 2021;78(2):116-122. [PubMed](#)
6. Chokemungmeepisarn P, Tantiprabha W, Kosarat S, Manopunya S. Accuracy of the Bilicare TM transcutaneous bilirubinometer as the predischarge screening tool for significant hyperbilirubinemia in healthy term and late preterm neonates. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. Jan 2020;33(1):57-61. [PubMed](#)
7. Lee AC, Folger LV, Rahman M, et al. A Novel Icterometer for hyperbilirubinemia screening in low-resource settings. *Pediatrics*. 2019;143(5):e20182039. [PubMed](#)
8. Taylor JA, Stout JW, de Greef L, et al. Use of a smartphone app to assess neonatal jaundice. *Pediatrics* Sep. 2017;140(3):e20170312. [PubMed](#)

Economic Evaluations

9. McClean S, Baerg K, Smith-Fehr J, Szafron M. Cost savings with transcutaneous screening versus total serum bilirubin measurement for newborn jaundice in hospital and community settings: a cost-minimization analysis. *CMAJ Open*. Jul-Sep 2018;6(3):E285-E291. [PubMed](#)

Guidelines and Recommendations

10. Hyperbilirubinemia CPG Work Group. Management of hyperbilirubinemia in healthy term and late preterm neonates (*Clinical practice guideline no. 18*). Toronto (ON): Association of Ontario Midwives; 2019: <https://www.ontariomidwives.ca/sites/default/files/2019-11/CPG-Management-of-Hyperbilirubinemia-PUB.pdf>. Accessed 2022 Jan 20
See: Transcutaneous bilimetre, p.17-18

Appendix 1: References of Potential Interest

Previous CADTH Reports

11. Transcutaneous bilirubin measurements in newborns: clinical and cost-effectiveness, and guidelines (*CADTH Rapid response report: summary of abstracts*). Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2017: <https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/2017/RB1164%20Transcutaneous%20Bilirubin%20Measurement%20Final.pdf>. Accessed 2022 Jan 20.

Non-Randomized Studies

Alternative Outcomes – Relationship Between TcB and TSB Measurements

12. Cat FC, Cat A, Cicek T, Gulec SG. Evaluation of the relationship between transcutaneous bilirubin measurement and total serum bilirubin in neonatal patients followed for jaundice. *Sisli Etfal Hastan Tip Bul.* 2021;55(2):262-267. [PubMed](#)

Alternative Outcomes – Clinical Utility

13. Konana OS, Bahr TM, Strike HR, Coleman J, Snow GL, Christensen RD. Decision accuracy and safety of transcutaneous bilirubin screening at intermountain healthcare. *J Pediatr.* 01 2021;228:53-57. [PubMed](#)

Mixed Population – Includes Newborns Older Than 10 Days Old

14. Aune A, Vartdal G, Bergseng H, Randeberg LL, Darj E. Bilirubin estimates from smartphone images of newborn infants' skin correlated highly to serum bilirubin levels. *Acta Paediatr.* 12 2020;109(12):2532-2538. [PubMed](#)
15. Munkholm SB, Krogholt T, Ebbesen F, Szecsi PB, Kristensen SR. The smartphone camera as a potential method for transcutaneous bilirubin measurement. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(6):e0197938. [PubMed](#)

Mixed Population – Newborns With Fewer Than 35 Weeks Gestation and Newborns With 35 Weeks Gestation or More

16. Starowicz O, Edwards P, Schmidt P, Birch P. Evaluation of the Kejian KJ-8000 bilirubinometer in an Australian setting. *J Paediatr Child Health.* Feb 2020;56(2):283-288. [PubMed](#)
17. Chimhini GLT, Chimhuya S, Chikwasha V. Evaluation of transcutaneous bilirubinometer (DRAEGER JM 103) use in Zimbabwean newborn babies. *Matern Health Neonatol Perinatol.* 2018;4:1. [PubMed](#)

Mixed Population – Newborns Discharged From Hospital and In Hospital

18. Ying Q, You X, You J, Wang J. The accuracy of transcutaneous bilirubin to identify hyperbilirubinemia in jaundiced neonates. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* Nov 19 2020;1-8. [PubMed](#)

Alternative Population – Newborns Discharged From Hospital

19. Ercan Ş, Ozgun G. The accuracy of transcutaneous bilirubinometer measurements to identify the hyperbilirubinemia in outpatient newborn population. *Clin Biochem.* May 2018;55:69-74. [PubMed](#)

Guidelines and Recommendations

Methodology Not Specified

20. Management of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia in the children's emergency department. Winnipeg (MB): Winnipeg Regional Health Authority; 2021: <https://professionals.wrha.mb.ca/old/extranet/eipt/files/EIPT-080.pdf>. Accessed 2022 Jan 20.
21. Hyperbilirubinemia screening, assessment and treatment – well newborn 35 0/7 weeks gestation and greater. Edmonton (AB): Alberta Health Services; 2020: <https://extranet.ahsnet.ca/teams/policydocuments/1/clp-prov-womens-health-postpartum-hyperbilirubinemia-hcs-238-01.pdf>. Accessed 2022 Jan 20.
22. Inpatient pediatric management of indirect neonatal hyperbilirubinemia guideline. Ann Arbor (MI): Michigan Medicine, University of Michigan; 2020: <https://michmed-public.policystat.com/policy/7109625/latest/>. Accessed 2022 Jan 20.
See: Table 4. Indications for Bilirubin Testing Modalities and Infant Jaundice Studies; Diagnostic Procedures and Considerations
23. Walker D, Richards G, Roy R. Clinical guideline/standard operating procedure for the use of transcutaneous bilirubinometers in neonatal and maternity services. Norwich (GB): Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital; 2020: <https://www.nnuh.nhs.uk/publication/download/clinical-guideline-standard-operating-procedure-for-the-use-of-transcutaneous-bilirubinometers-in-neonatal-and-maternity-services-v3>. Accessed 2022 Jan 20.
24. Queensland Clinical Guidelines. Neonatal jaundice. Brisbane (AU): Queensland Health; 2019: https://www.health.qld.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0018/142038/g-jaundice.pdf. Accessed 2022 Jan 20.
See: 5.1 Measurement of bilirubin, p.14; Table 13. Phototherapy treatment, p. 20
25. Clinical Expert Advisory Group (CEAG). Clinical pathway handbook for hyperbilirubinemia in term and late pre-term infants (≥35 weeks). Toronto (ON): Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care; 2018: https://www.pcmch.on.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/Clinical-Handbook-Hyperbili_2018MAY23.pdf. Accessed 2022 Jan 20.
See: Transcutaneous Bilirubin Screening, p. 19-20
26. Jaundice and hyperbilirubinemia in the newborn: assessment and management. Winnipeg (MB): Winnipeg Regional Health Authority; 2018: <https://professionals.wrha.mb.ca/old/extranet/eipt/files/EIPT-035-024.pdf>. Accessed 2022 Jan 20.

Review Articles

27. Hulzebos CV, Vitek L, Coda Zabetta CD, et al. Screening methods for neonatal hyperbilirubinemia: benefits, limitations, requirements, and novel developments. *Pediatr Res.* 08 2021;90(2):272-276. [PubMed](#)