

## **CADTH Reference List**

# Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitors for Heart Failure

September 2022

**Summary of Abstracts** 



Authors: Lindsay Ritchie, Jennifer Horton

Cite as: Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitors for Heart Failure. (CADTH reference list: summary of abstracts). Ottawa: CADTH; 2022 Sep.

**Disclaimer:** The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to requests@cadth.ca

## Key Message

We found 9 systematic reviews and 23 randomized controlled trials describing the potential clinical benefits and harms of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors in adults with heart failure.

## **Research Question**

What literature describes the potential clinical benefits and harms of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors in adults with heart failure?

## Methods

### Literature Search Methods

A limited literature search was conducted by an information specialist on key resources including MEDLINE, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, the International HTA Database, the websites of Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused internet search. The search strategy comprised both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine's MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. The main search concepts were SGLT2 inhibitors and heart failure. CADTH-developed search filters were applied to limit retrieval to health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, or indirect treatment comparisons. The search was completed on August 25, 2022, and limited to English-language documents published since January 1, 2017. An additional search was run for SGLT2 inhibitors and heart failure, and CADTH-developed search filters were applied to limit retrieval to randomized controlled trials or controlled clinical trials. This search was limited to English-language documents published since January 1, 2022. If possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. Internet links were provided, if available.

### **Selection Criteria and Summary Methods**

One reviewer screened the literature search results (titles and abstracts) and selected publications according to the inclusion criteria presented in <u>Table 1</u>. Full texts of study publications were not reviewed. The Overall Summary of Findings section was based on information available in the abstracts of selected publications. If the abstract did not mention the mean age of the population, or if it was unclear whether adult or pediatric patients were included in the study, the citation was included in the results. Studies with unspecified population ages were identified by a footnote in the Summary of Findings tables.



#### Table 1: Selection Criteria

Criteria	Description
Population	Adult patients ( $\geq$ 18 years of age) with chronic heart failure including:
	<ul> <li>heart failure with reduced ejection fraction</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>heart failure with preserved ejection fraction</li> </ul>
Intervention	SGLT2 inhibitors (i.e., empagliflozin, canagliflozin, dapagliflozin)
Comparator	Placebo
	Alternate SGLT2 inhibitor (i.e., empagliflozin, canagliflozin, dapagliflozin, ertugliflozin)
	ACE inhibitor (e.g., ramipril, lisinopril, perindopril, enalapril, captopril, trandolapril)
	Beta blocker (e.g., bisoprolol, carvedilol, metoprolol)
	ARB (e.g., valsartan, candesartan, losartan)
	ARNI (i.e., sacubitril-valsartan)
Type of information	Descriptions of potential clinical benefits (e.g., all-cause mortality, blood pressure, cardiovascular outcomes, quality of life) and harms (e.g., hypoglycemia, hypotension, diabetic ketoacidosis)
Study designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials

ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB = angiotensin II receptor blocker; ARNI = angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; SGLT2 = sodium-glucose cotransporter-2.

### Results

Nine systematic reviews<sup>1-9</sup> and 23 randomized controlled trials<sup>10-32</sup> describing the potential clinical benefits and harms of SGLT2 inhibitors in adults with heart failure were identified. No health technology assessments were identified.

Additional references of potential interest that did not meet the inclusion criteria are provided in <u>Appendix 1</u>.

## **Overall Summary of Findings**

Nine systematic reviews with meta-analyses<sup>1-9</sup> describing the potential clinical benefits and harms of SGLT2 inhibitors in adults with heart failure were identified. Of these, 8 studied dapagliflozin,<sup>1-6,8,9</sup> 7 studied empagliflozin,<sup>1-4,6-8</sup> and 2 studied canagliflozin.<sup>3,8</sup> The comparator was most often placebo<sup>1-3,5-7,9</sup> or an alternative SGLT2 inhibitor.<sup>2,3,8</sup> However, 1 study compared dapagliflozin and empagliflozin with sacubitril-valsartan.<sup>4</sup> The included studies investigated the impact of SGLT2 inhibitors on the following outcomes: heart failure hospitalization,<sup>1-9</sup> cardiovascular mortality,<sup>1-6,8,9</sup> the composite of cardiovascular mortality and heart failure hospitalization,<sup>1-5,7,8</sup> all-cause mortality,<sup>1-3,6,8,9</sup> body weight,<sup>6-9</sup> blood pressure,<sup>6,8,9</sup> Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire scores,<sup>6,7</sup> major adverse cardiac events,<sup>8,9</sup> hemoglobin A1C,<sup>8,9</sup> worsening heart failure,<sup>2</sup> the composite of worsening renal function and cardiovascular mortality,<sup>3</sup> emergency department visits due to heart failure,<sup>6</sup> hematocrit,<sup>6</sup> and 6-minute walk

test score.<sup>7</sup> Some studies reported on the harms of treatment, including hypoglycemia,<sup>25,9</sup> renal function,<sup>38,9</sup> volume depletion,<sup>59</sup> urinary tract infection,<sup>5</sup> serious adverse events,<sup>6</sup> creatinine,<sup>6</sup> and adverse events.<sup>9</sup> A detailed summary of the included systematic reviews can be found in <u>Table 2</u>.

Twenty-three randomized controlled trials<sup>10-32</sup> describing the potential clinical benefits and harms of SGLT2 inhibitors in adults with heart failure were identified. These studies or sub-studies from 7 major trials compared empagliflozin with placebo (EMPEROR-Preserved,<sup>11,13,14,23-25</sup> EMPEROR-Reduced,<sup>21,22,31,32</sup> EMPIRE HF,<sup>27</sup> EMPATROPISM<sup>29</sup>), dapagliflozin with placebo (DAPA-HF,<sup>10,12,15-20,26</sup> DAPA-VO<sub>2</sub><sup>28</sup>), and canagliflozin with placebo (CHIEF-HF<sup>30</sup>). The included studies investigated the impact of SGLT2 inhibitors on the following outcomes: composite of cardiovascular mortality and heart failure hospitalizations,<sup>11,13,14,18,21-25,31</sup> the composite of cardiovascular mortality and worsening heart failure, 10-12, 15-20, 26 heart failure hospitalizations, 13-15,20-25,31 cardiovascular mortality, 11,15,17,19-21,25,26 all-cause mortality, 15,17,19-21,26,32 Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire scores, 13-15,17,18,29,30 worsening heart failure, 17,19,26,31 anemia or iron deficiency,<sup>22,26</sup> renal outcomes,<sup>22</sup> hyperuricemia,<sup>26</sup> stressed blood volume,<sup>27</sup> peak oxygen consumption,<sup>28</sup> 6-minute walk test score,<sup>28</sup> and Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire score.<sup>28</sup> Harms studied were renal function,<sup>10,11,13,24,25,32</sup> study drug discontinuation,<sup>16,18</sup> serious adverse events,<sup>16,18</sup> hyperkalemia,<sup>23,31</sup> adverse events,<sup>13</sup> atrial fibrillation,<sup>17</sup> hypoglycemia,<sup>24</sup> and hypotension.<sup>31</sup> A brief overview of the major trials identified, as described by the abstracts of the included studies and post hoc analyses, can be found in Table 3.

Study citation	Included studies	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcomes measured	Harms measured
Ahmad et al. (2022) <sup>1</sup>	4 RCTs	People with HFª N = 15,684	Dapagliflozin Empagliflozin Sotagliflozin	Placebo	HF hospitalization CV mortality CV mortality or HF hospitalization All-cause mortality	NA
Shi et al. (2022)²	11 RCTs	People with HFª N = NR	Dapagliflozin Empagliflozin	Placebo Dapagliflozin Empagliflozin	HF hospitalization CV mortality CV mortality or HF hospitalization All-cause mortality Worsening HF	Hypoglycemia
Tager et al. (2022) <sup>3</sup>	22 RCTs	People with HFª N = 18,265	Canagliflozin Dapagliflozin Empagliflozin Ertugliflozin Sotagliflozin	Placebo Canagliflozin Dapagliflozin Empagliflozin Ertugliflozin Sotagliflozin	HF hospitalization CV mortality CV mortality or HF hospitalization All-cause mortality Worsening renal function or CV mortality	Worsening renal function

### Table 2: Summary of Included Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

Oturbu sitestian	Included	Denulation	Intoniontion	Ocumentar	Outcomes	Liemene meneration d
Study citation	studies	Population		Comparator	measured	Harms measured
Aimo et al. (2021)⁴	6 RCTs	People with HFrEF <sup>a</sup>	Dapagliflozin Empagliflozin	Sacubitril-valsartan Vericiguat	HF hospitalization CV mortality	NA
		N = NR	Linpagimozin	Standard of care	CV mortality or HF hospitalization	
Cai et al. (2021) <sup>5</sup>	9 RCTs	People with chronic HF <sup>a</sup> N = NR	Dapagliflozin	Placebo	HF hospitalization CV mortality CV mortality or HF hospitalization	Hypoglycemia Volume depletion UTI
Chambergo- Michilot et al. (2021) <sup>6</sup>	9 RCTs	People with HF <sup>a</sup> N = NR	Dapagliflozin Empagliflozin	Placebo	HF hospitalization CV mortality All-cause mortality Body weight BP KCCQ Emergency department visits due to HF Hematocrit Composite outcome (unspecified)	Serious adverse events Creatinine
Pan et al. (2021) <sup>7</sup>	7 RCTs	People with HFª N = 5,150	Empagliflozin	Placebo	HF hospitalization CV mortality or HF hospitalization Body weight KCCQ 6MWT	NA
Teo et al. (2021) <sup>8</sup>	10 RCTs	People with HFª N = 15,373	Canagliflozin Dapagliflozin Empagliflozin Ertugliflozin	Canagliflozin Dapagliflozin Empagliflozin Ertugliflozin	HF hospitalization CV mortality CV mortality or HF hospitalization All-cause mortality Body weight SPB MACE Hemoglobin A1C	Worsening renal function

Study citation	Included studies	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcomes measured	Harms measured
Zheng et al. (2021) <sup>9</sup>	4 RCTs	People with HF <sup>a</sup> N = 6,738	Dapagliflozin	Placebo	HF hospitalization CV mortality All-cause mortality Body weight SPB MACE Hemoglobin A1C	Hypoglycemia Renal impairment Volume depletion Adverse events

6MWT = 6-Minute Walk Test; BP = blood pressure; CV = cardiovascular; HF = heart failure; HFrEF = heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; KCCQ = Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; MACE = major adverse cardiovascular events; NA = not applicable; NR = not reported; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SPB = systolic blood pressure; UTI = urinary tract infection.

<sup>a</sup>Age of the population was unclear or unreported in the abstract.

### Table 3: Summary of Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Trial name and relevant citations	Trial design	Main study population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcomes measured <sup>a</sup>	Harms measured
CHIEF-HF Spertus et al. (2022) <sup>30</sup>	Remote, patient- centred, double-blind, randomized trial	People with HF <sup>b</sup> N = 476	Canagliflozin	Placebo	KCCQ	NA
DAPA-HF° Post hoc: Adamson et al. (2022); <sup>10</sup> Berg et al. (2022); <sup>12</sup> Butt et al. (2022); <sup>15</sup> Butt et al. (2022); <sup>16</sup> Butt et all (2022); <sup>17</sup> Docherty et al. (2022); <sup>18</sup> Docherty et al. (2022); <sup>19</sup> Docherty et al. (2022); <sup>20</sup> McDowell et al. (2022) <sup>26</sup>	Phase III, multi-national, double-blind, placebo- controlled, randomized trial	Adults with NYHA class II to IV symptoms and HFrEF (≤ 40%) <sup>b</sup> N = 4,744	Dapagliflozin	Placebo	Worsening HF or CV mortality CV mortality or HF hospitalization HF hospitalization CV mortality All-cause mortality KCCQ Worsening HF Iron deficiency Hyperuricemia	eGFR decline Study drug discontinuation Serious adverse events New-onset AF
DAPA-VO <sub>2</sub> Palau et al. (2022) <sup>28</sup>	Multi-centre, double-blind, randomized trial	Adults with HFrEF N = 90	Dapagliflozin	Placebo	Peak oxygen consumption 6MWT MLHFQ	NA

Trial name and relevant citations	Trial design	Main study population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcomes measured <sup>a</sup>	Harms measured
EMPATROPISM Post hoc: Requena-Ibanez et al. (2022) <sup>29</sup>	NR	People with HFrEF⁵ N = NR	Empagliflozin	Placebo	KCCQ	NA
EMPEROR- Preserved <sup>c</sup> Post hoc: Anker et al. (2022); <sup>11</sup> Bohm et al. (2022); <sup>13</sup> Butler et al. (2022); <sup>14</sup> Ferreira et al. (2022); <sup>23</sup> Filippatos et al. (2022); <sup>24</sup> Januzzi et al. (2022) <sup>25</sup>	Double-blind	Adults with class II to IV HFpEF (> 40%) N = 5,988	Empagliflozin	Placebo	CV mortality or HF hospitalization Worsening HF/CV mortality HF hospitalization CV mortality KCCQ	eGFR decline or renal death eGFR decline Adverse events Hypoglycemia Hyperkalemia
EMPEROR- Reduced <sup>c</sup> Post hoc: Doehner et al. (2022) <sup>21</sup> ; Ferreira et al. (2022) <sup>22</sup> ; Verma et al. (2022) <sup>31</sup> ; Zannad et al. (2022) <sup>32</sup>	Multi-national, double-blind, parallel-group, randomized trial	Adults with NYHA class II to IV HFrEF (≤ 40%) N = 3,730	Empagliflozin	Placebo	CV mortality or HF hospitalization HF hospitalization CV mortality All-cause mortality Kidney composite outcome (not specified) Anemia In- and outpatient HF events Hyperuricemia	Hypotension Hyperkalemia eGFR decline Kidney safety events
EMPIRE HF Post hoc: Omar et al. (2022) <sup>27</sup>	Investigator- initiated, double-blind, placebo- controlled, randomized trial	Adults with HFrEF N = 70	Empagliflozin	Placebo	Stressed blood volume	NA

6MWT = 6-minute walk test; AF = atrial fibrillation; CV = cardiovascular; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; HF = heart failure; HFpEF = heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HFrEF = heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; KCCQ = Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; MLHFQ = Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire; NA = not applicable; NR = not reported; NYHA = New York Heart Association.

<sup>a</sup>Primary outcomes are bolded.

<sup>b</sup>Age of the population was unclear or unreported in the abstract.

°Outcomes listed are not necessarily evaluated by each associated substudy.



### References

#### Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

#### Systematic Reviews

- 1. Ahmad Y, Madhavan MV, Stone GW, et al. Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors in patients with heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes. 2022; 8(4): 383-390. PubMed
- 2. Shi Z, Gao F, Liu W, He X. Comparative Efficacy of Dapagliflozin and Empagliflozin of a Fixed Dose in Heart Failure: A Network Meta-Analysis. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022; 9: 869272. PubMed
- 3. Tager T, Frankenstein L, Atar D, et al. Influence of receptor selectivity on benefits from SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with heart failure: a systematic review and head-tohead comparative efficacy network meta-analysis. *Clin Res Cardiol*. 2022; 111(4): 428-439. PubMed
- 4. Aimo A, Pateras K, Stamatelopoulos K, et al. Relative Efficacy of Sacubitril-Valsartan, Vericiguat, and SGLT2 Inhibitors in Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction: a Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther. 2021; 35(5): 1067-1076. PubMed
- 5. Cai RP, Xu YL, Su Q. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Chronic Heart Failure: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cardiol Res Pract. 2021; 2021: 6657380. PubMed
- 6. Chambergo-Michilot D, Tauma-Arrue A, Loli-Guevara S. Effects and safety of SGLT2 inhibitors compared to placebo in patients with heart failure: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc. 2021; 32: 100690. PubMed
- 7. Pan D, Xu L, Chen P, Jiang H, Shi D, Guo M. Empagliflozin in Patients With Heart Failure: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021; 8: 683281. PubMed
- Teo YH, Yoong CSY, Syn NL, et al. Comparing the clinical outcomes across different sodium/glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors in heart failure patients: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2021; 77(10): 1453-1464. <u>PubMed</u>
- 9. Zheng XD, Qu Q, Jiang XY, Wang ZY, Tang C, Sun JY. Effects of Dapagliflozin on Cardiovascular Events, Death, and Safety Outcomes in Patients with Heart Failure: A Meta-Analysis. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs. 2021; 21(3): 321-330. PubMed

#### **Randomized Controlled Trials**

- 10. Adamson C, Docherty KF, Heerspink HJL, et al. Initial Decline (Dip) in Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate After Initiation of Dapagliflozin in Patients With Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction: Insights From DAPA-HF. Circulation. 2022; 146(6): 438-449. PubMed
- 11. Anker SD, Siddiqi TJ, Filippatos G, et al. Outcomes with empagliflozin in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction using DELIVER-like endpoint definitions. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2022; 24(8): 1400-1405. <u>PubMed</u>
- 12. Berg DD, Docherty KF, Sattar N, et al. Serial Assessment of High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin and the Effect of Dapagliflozin in Patients With Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: An Analysis of the DAPA-HF Trial. *Circulation*. 2022; 145(3): 158-169. PubMed
- 13. Bohm M, Butler J, Filippatos G, et al. Empagliflozin Improves Outcomes in Patients With Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction Irrespective of Age. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022; 80(1): 1-18. PubMed
- 14. Butler J, Filippatos G, Jamal Siddiqi T, et al. Empagliflozin, Health Status, and Quality of Life in Patients With Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction: The EMPEROR-Preserved Trial. Circulation. 2022; 145(3): 184-193. PubMed
- 15. Butt JH, Dewan P, DeFilippis EM, et al. Effects of Dapagliflozin According to the Heart Failure Collaboratory Medical Therapy Score: Insights From DAPA-HF. JACC Heart Fail. 2022; 10(8): 543-555. PubMed
- 16. Butt JH, Dewan P, Merkely B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Dapagliflozin According to Frailty in Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Post Hoc Analysis of the DAPA-HF Trial. Ann Intern Med. 2022; 175(6): 820-830. PubMed
- 17. Butt JH, Docherty KF, Jhund PS, et al. Dapagliflozin and atrial fibrillation in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: insights from DAPA-HF. Eur J Heart Fail. 2022; 24(3): 513-525. PubMed
- 18. Docherty KF, Ogunniyi MO, Anand IS, et al. Efficacy of Dapagliflozin in Black Versus White Patients With Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction. JACC Heart Fail. 2022; 10(1): 52-64. PubMed
- 19. Docherty KF, Simpson J, Jhund PS, et al. Effect of Dapagliflozin, Compared With Placebo, According to Baseline Risk in DAPA-HF. JACC Heart Fail. 2022; 10(2): 104-118. PubMed
- Docherty KF, Welsh P, Verma S, et al. Iron Deficiency in Heart Failure and Effect of Dapagliflozin: Findings From DAPA-HF. Circulation. 2022 Aug 16: 101161CIRCULATIONAHA122060511. PubMed
- 21. Doehner W, Anker SD, Butler J, et al. Uric acid and sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibition with empagliflozin in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: the EMPEROR-reduced trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2022; 05: 05. PubMed



- 22. Ferreira JP, Anker SD, Butler J, et al. Impact of anaemia and the effect of empagliflozin in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: findings from EMPEROR-Reduced. Eur J Heart Fail. 2022; 24(4): 708-715. PubMed
- 23. Ferreira JP, Butler J, Zannad F, et al. Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonists and Empagliflozin in Patients With Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022; 79(12): 1129-1137. PubMed
- 24. Filippatos G, Butler J, Farmakis D, et al. Empagliflozin for Heart Failure With Preserved Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction With and Without Diabetes. Circulation. 2022 Aug 30: 101161CIRCULATIONAHA122059785. PubMed
- 25. Januzzi JL, Jr., Butler J, Zannad F, et al. Prognostic Implications of N-Terminal Pro-B-Type Natriuretic Peptide and High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T in EMPEROR-Preserved. JACC Heart Fail. 2022; 10(7): 512-524. PubMed
- 26. McDowell K, Welsh P, Docherty KF, et al. Dapagliflozin reduces uric acid concentration, an independent predictor of adverse outcomes in DAPA-HF. Eur J Heart Fail. 2022; 24(6): 1066-1076. PubMed
- 27. Omar M, Jensen J, Burkhoff D, et al. Effect of Empagliflozin on Blood Volume Redistribution in Patients With Chronic Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction: An Analysis From the Empire HF Randomized Clinical Trial. Circ Heart Fail. 2022; 15(3): e009156. PubMed
- 28. Palau P, Amiguet M, Dominguez E, et al. Short-term effects of dapagliflozin on maximal functional capacity in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (DAPA-VO2): a randomized clinical trial. Eur J Heart Fail. 2022 May 23. PubMed
- Requena-Ibanez JA, Santos-Gallego CG, Rodriguez-Cordero A, Vargas-Delgado AP, Badimon JJ. Empagliflozin improves quality of life in nondiabetic HFrEF patients. Sub-analysis of the EMPATROPISM trial. *Diabetes Metab Syndr.* 2022; 16(2): 102417. <u>PubMed</u>
- 30. Spertus JA, Birmingham MC, Nassif M, et al. The SGLT2 inhibitor canagliflozin in heart failure: the CHIEF-HF remote, patient-centered randomized trial. *Nat Med.* 2022; 28(4): 809-813. PubMed
- 31. Verma S, Dhingra NK, Butler J, et al. Empagliflozin in the treatment of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction in addition to background therapies and therapeutic combinations (EMPEROR-Reduced): a post-hoc analysis of a randomised, double-blind trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2022; 10(1): 35-45. PubMed
- 32. Zannad F, Ferreira JP, Gregson J, et al. Early changes in estimated glomerular filtration rate post-initiation of empagliflozin in EMPEROR-Reduced. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2022 Jun 16. PubMed

## **Appendix 1: References of Potential Interest**

#### **Previous CADTH Reports**

Dapagliflozin Reimbursement Review. Ottawa (ON): CADTH. 2021. https://www.cadth.ca/dapagliflozin-1. Accessed 30 Aug 2022.

#### Systematic Reviews

#### Unclear Methodology – Systematic Search of Literature Not Specified

Qiu M, Ding LL, Zhang M, Zhou HR. Safety of four SGLT2 inhibitors in three chronic diseases: A meta-analysis of large randomized trials of SGLT2 inhibitors. Diab Vasc Dis Res. 2021; 18(2): 14791641211011016. PubMed

Zannad F, Ferreira JP, Pocock SJ, et al. SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a meta-analysis of the EMPEROR-Reduced and DAPA-HF trials. *Lancet*. 2020; 396(10254): 819-829. PubMed

#### Mixed Population

- Shi FH, Li H, Shen L, et al. Beneficial Effect of Sodium-Glucose Co-transporter 2 Inhibitors on Left Ventricular Function. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022; 107(4): 1191-1203. PubMed
- Wang M, Zhang Y, Wang Z, Liu D, Mao S, Liang B. The effectiveness of SGLT2 inhibitor in the incidence of atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus/heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thorac Dis. 2022; 14(5): 1620-1637. PubMed
- Zhao LM, Huang JN, Qiu M, Ding LL, Zhan ZL, Ning J. Gliflozins for the prevention of stroke in diabetes and cardiorenal diseases: A meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcome trials. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2021; 100(39): e27362. PubMed

#### Mixed Intervention – Dapagliflozin-Empagliflozin and Sotagliflozin

- Pandey AK, Dhingra NK, Hibino M, Gupta V, Verma S. Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors in heart failure with reduced or preserved ejection fraction: a meta-analysis. ESC Heart Fail. 2022; 9(2): 942-946. PubMed
- Norre T, Grimm D, Simonsen U. Sacubitril/valsartan, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors and vericiguat for congestive heart failure therapy. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2022; 130(4): 425-438. PubMed

#### Unclear Intervention — Not Specific to Empagliflozin, Canagliflozin, or Dapagliflozin

Cao Y, Li P, Li Y, Han Y. Sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors in heart failure: an updated meta-analysis. ESC Heart Fail. 2022; 9(3): 1942-1953. PubMed

- Chieng JHL, Sia TK, Teo YH, et al. Evaluating the Initiation of Sodium/Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors within 2 Weeks of an Acute Hospital Admission: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Nine Clinical Trials. *Med Princ Pract*. 2022; 31(3): 215-223. PubMed
- Giri Ravindran S, Kakarla M, Ausaja Gambo M, Yousri Salama M, Haidar Ismail N, Tavalla P, Uppal P, Mohammed SA, Rajashekar S, Hamid P. The Effects of Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitors (SLGT-2i) on Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes in Non-diabetic Patients: A Systematic Review. Cureus. 2022; 14(5): e25476. PubMed
- Li M, Yi T, Fan F, et al. Effect of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors on blood pressure in patients with heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cardiovasc. 2022; 21(1): 139. PubMed
- Luo L, Yang X, Tang K, et al. Efficacy of three novel drugs in the treatment of heart failure: A network meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2022; 101(29): e29415. PubMed
- Pagnesi M, Baldetti L, Aimo A, et al. Prognostic Benefit of New Drugs for HFrEF: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. J Clin Med. 2022; 11(2): 348. PubMed
- Razuk V, Chiarito M, Cao D, et al. SGLT-2 inhibitors and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with and without a history of heart failure: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother. 2022; 8(6): 557-567. PubMed
- Teo YN, Teo YH, Syn NL, et al. Comparing Sacubitril/Valsartan Against Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors in Heart Failure: A Systematic Review and Network Metaanalysis. Clin Drug Invest. 2022; 42(1): 1-16. PubMed
- Vukadinovic D, Abdin A, Anker SD, et al. Side effects and treatment initiation barriers of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors in heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2022 Jun 22. PubMed
- Yin Z, Zheng H, Guo Z. Effect of Sodium-Glucose Co-transporter Protein 2 Inhibitors on Arrhythmia in Heart Failure Patients With or Without Type 2 Diabetes: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022; 9: 902-923. PubMed
- Younes AM, Salem M, Maraey A, et al. Safety outcomes of SGLT2i in the heart failure trials: A systematic review and Meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol. 2022; 366: 51-56. PubMed
- Zhao L, Guo W, Huang W, Wang L, Huang S. Benefit of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors on survival outcome is related to the type of heart failure: A meta-analysis. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2022; 187: 109871. PubMed
- Zhou H, Peng W, Li F, et al. Effect of Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors for Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022; 9: 875327. PubMed
- Zou X, Shi Q, Vandvik PO, et al. Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitors in Patients With Heart Failure: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med. 2022; 175(6): 851-861. PubMed



- Bazoukis G, Papadatos SS, Thomopoulos C, et al. Impact of SGLT2 inhibitors on major clinical events and safety outcomes in heart failure patients: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. J Geriatr Cardiol. 02021; 18(10): 783-795. PubMed
- Camilli M, Lombardi M, Chiabrando JG, et al. Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter Inhibitors Reduce Mortality and Morbidity in Patients With Heart Failure: Evidence From a Meta-Analysis of Randomized Trials. Am J Ther. 2021; 29(2): e199-e204. PubMed
- Cardoso R, Graffunder FP, Ternes CMP, et al. SGLT2 inhibitors decrease cardiovascular death and heart failure hospitalizations in patients with heart failure: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2021; 36: 100933. PubMed
- Chen C, Peng H, Li M, et al. Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Heart Failure Benefit More From Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitor: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2021; 12: 664533. PubMed
- Gager GM, Gelbenegger G, Jilma B, et al. Cardiovascular Outcome in Patients Treated With SGLT2 Inhibitors for Heart Failure: A Meta-Analysis. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021; 8: 691907. PubMed
- He Z, Yang L, Nie Y, et al. Effects of SGLT-2 inhibitors on health-related quality of life and exercise capacity in heart failure patients with reduced ejection fraction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol. 2021; 345: 83-88. PubMed
- Li HL, Lip GYH, Feng Q, et al. Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) and cardiac arrhythmias: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2021; 20(1): 100. PubMed
- Li X, Zhang Q, Zhu L, et al. Effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on cardiovascular, renal, and major safety outcomes in heart failure: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Int J Cardiol. 2021; 332: 119-126. PubMed
- Lu Y, Li F, Fan Y, Yang Y, Chen M, Xi J. Effect of SGLT-2 inhibitors on cardiovascular outcomes in heart failure patients: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Intern Med. 2021; 87: 20-28. PubMed
- Salah HM, Al'Aref SJ, Khan MS, et al. Effect of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors on cardiovascular and kidney outcomes-Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials. Am Heart J. 2021; 232: 10-22. PubMed
- Shoar S, Shah AA, Ikram W, et al. Cardiovascular benefits of SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with heart failure: a meta-analysis of small and large randomized controlled trials. Am J Cardiovasc Dis. 2021; 11(3): 262-272. PubMed
- Shrestha DB, Budhathoki P, Sedhai YR, et al. Sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 Inhibitors in Heart Failure: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of 13 Randomized Clinical Trials Including 14,618 Patients With Heart Failure. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol. 2021; 78(4): 501-514. PubMed
- Singh AK, Singh R. Cardiovascular Outcomes with SGLT-2 inhibitors in patients with heart failure with or without type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Diabetes Metab Syndr.* 2021; 15(1): 351-359. PubMed
- Teo YH, Teo YN, Syn NL, et al. Effects of Sodium/Glucose Cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) Inhibitors on Cardiovascular and Metabolic Outcomes in Patients Without Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized-Controlled Trials. J Am Heart Assoc. 2021; 10(5): e019463. PubMed
- Tsampasian V, Baral R, Chattopadhyay R, et al. The Role of SGLT2 Inhibitors in Heart Failure: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cardiol Res Pract. 2021; 2021: 9927533. PubMed
- Turgeon RD, Barry AR, Hawkins NM, Ellis UM. Pharmacotherapy for heart failure with reduced ejection fraction and health-related quality of life: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2021; 23(4): 578-589. PubMed
- Yan Y, Liu B, Du J, et al. SGLT2i versus ARNI in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. ESC Heart Fail. 2021; 8(3): 2210-2219. PubMed
- Yin D, Qiu M, Wei X, Duan X. Meta-analyzing the factors affecting the efficacy of gliflozins in patients with heart failure based on heart failure trials. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2021; 100(28): e26561. PubMed
- Arnott C, Li Q, Kang A, et al. Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibition for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Events in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Am Heart Assoc. 2020; 9(3): e014908. PubMed
- Butler J, Usman MS, Khan MS, et al. Efficacy and safety of SGLT2 inhibitors in heart failure: systematic review and meta-analysis. ESC Heart Fail. 2020; 7(6): 3298-3309. PubMed
- Kumar K, Kheiri B, Simpson TF, Osman M, Rahmouni H. Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitors in Heart Failure: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. Am J Med. 2020; 133(11): e625-e630. PubMed
- Zelniker TA, Wiviott SD, Raz I, et al. SGLT2 inhibitors for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcome trials. *Lancet.* 2019; 393(10166): 31-39. PubMed

#### Alternative Comparator – Combined SGLT2i and ARNI Therapy

Lin Y, Zhang H, Zhao S, et al. The Efficacy and Safety of the Combined Therapy of Sodium-Glucose Co-Transporter-2 Inhibitors and Angiotensin Receptor-Neprilysin Inhibitor in Patients With Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Meta-Analysis of the EMPEROR-Reduced and DAPA-HF Sub-Analysis. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022; 9: 882089. PubMed

#### **Randomized Controlled Trials**

#### Unclear Comparator - Heart Failure Medication

Reis J, Teixeira AR, Goncalves AV, et al. Dapagliflozin Impact on the Exercise Capacity of Non-Diabetic Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction Patients. J Clin Med. 2022; 11(10): 23. PubMed

#### Alternative Outcome

Jensen J, Omar M, Ali M, et al. The effect of empagliflozin on contractile reserve in heart failure: Prespecified sub-study of a randomized, double-blind, and placebocontrolled trial. Am Heart J. 2022; 250: 57-65. PubMed

Omar M, Jensen J, Kistorp C, et al. The effect of empagliflozin on growth differentiation factor 15 in patients with heart failure: a randomized controlled trial (Empire HF Biomarker). Cardiovasc. 2022; 21(1): 34. PubMed

#### Protocol

Zeng J, Zhu Y, Zhao W, et al. Rationale and Design of the ADIDAS Study: Association Between Dapagliflozin-Induced Improvement and Anemia in Heart Failure Patients. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther. 2022; 36(3): 505-509. PubMed

#### **Review Articles**

#### **Pooled Analysis**

Butler J, Packer M, Filippatos G, et al. Effect of empagliflozin in patients with heart failure across the spectrum of left ventricular ejection fraction. *Eur Heart J.* 2022; 43(5): 416-426. PubMed

Ferreira JP, Zannad F, Butler J, et al. Empagliflozin and serum potassium in heart failure: an analysis from EMPEROR-Pooled. Eur Heart J. 2022; 43(31): 2984-2993. PubMed

Kosiborod M, Gause-Nilsson I, Xu J, Sonesson C, Johnsson E. Efficacy and safety of dapagliflozin in patients with type 2 diabetes and concomitant heart failure. J Diabetes Complications. 2017; 31(7): 1215-1221. PubMed