

CADTH Reference List

Low-Field Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Musculoskeletal Imaging

March 2023

Summary of Abstracts



Authors: Camille Santos, Sharon Bailey

Contributors: Weiyi Xie

Cite As: Low-Field Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Musculoskeletal Imaging. (CADTH reference list: summary of abstracts). Ottawa: CADTH; 2023 Mar.

Disclaimer: The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policymakers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up to date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to requests@cadth.ca



Key Messages

- We found no studies about the clinical utility of low-field MRI systems for adults requiring musculoskeletal imaging.
- We found no studies about the cost-effectiveness of low-field MRI systems for adults requiring musculoskeletal imaging.
- We found no evidence-based guidelines for the use of low-field MRI systems for adults requiring musculoskeletal imaging.
- We identified other references on this topic that may be of interest, which are listed in the appendix.

Research Questions

- 1. What is the clinical utility of low-field MRI systems for the adults requiring musculoskeletal imaging?
- 2. What is the cost-effectiveness of low-field MRI systems for adults requiring musculoskeletal imaging?
- 3. What are the evidence-based guidelines for the use of low-field MRI systems for adults requiring musculoskeletal imaging?

Methods

Literature Search Methods

An information specialist conducted a literature search on key resources including MEDLINE, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, the International HTA Database, the websites of Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused internet search. The search approach was customized to retrieve a limited set of results, balancing comprehensiveness with relevancy. The search strategy comprised both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine's MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. Search concepts were developed based on the elements of the research questions and selection criteria. The main search concept was low-field MRI systems. Comments, newspaper articles, editorials, and letters were excluded. The search was completed on March 21, 2023, and limited to English-language documents published since January 1, 2018. Internet links were provided, where available.

Selection Criteria and Summary Methods

One reviewer screened literature search results (titles and abstracts) and selected publications according to the inclusion criteria presented in <u>Table 1</u>. Full texts of study publications were not reviewed. The Overall Summary of Findings was based on information available in the abstracts of selected publications. Open access full-text versions of evidence-based guidelines were reviewed when available, and relevant recommendations were summarized.

Table 1: Selection Criteria

Criteria	Description
Population	Adults (any age) requiring MRI for musculoskeletal extremity imaging
Intervention	Low-field MRI (< 1.0 T)
Comparator	Q1 and Q2: High field MRI (> 1.0 T), X-ray systems
	Q3: Not applicable
Outcomes	Q1: Clinical utility (e.g., time to treatment, diagnostic accuracy, morbidity, incidence of disease, mortality, quality of life)
	Q2: Cost-effectiveness (e.g., cost per quality-adjusted life-year gained, incremental cost-effectiveness ratio)
	Q3: Recommendations regarding best practices for the use of low-field MRI systems for musculoskeletal imaging (e.g., appropriate use, patient populations)
Study designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, nonrandomized studies, economic evaluations, evidence-based guidelines

Results

No relevant health technology assessments, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, nonrandomized studies, or economic evaluations were identified regarding the clinical utility and cost-effectiveness of low-field MRI systems for adults requiring musculoskeletal imaging. Additionally, no evidence-based guidelines for the use of low-field MRI systems for adults requiring musculoskeletal imaging were identified.

References of potential interest that did not meet the inclusion criteria are provided in Appendix 1.

Overall Summary of Findings

No relevant literature was found regarding the clinical utility and cost-effectiveness of low-field MRIs for adults requiring musculoskeletal imaging. Additionally, no relevant evidence-based guidelines for the use of low-field MRI systems for adults requiring musculoskeletal imaging were found; therefore, no summary can be provided.



References

Health Technology Assessments No literature identified. Systematic Reviews No literature identified. Randomized Controlled Trials No literature identified. Non-Randomized Studies No literature identified. Economic Evaluations No literature identified. Guidelines and Recommendations No literature identified.



Appendix 1: References of Potential Interest

Systematic Reviews

Unclear Population Age

Liu F, Dong J, Shen WJ, Kang Q, Zhou D, Xiong F. Detecting rotator cuff tears: A network meta-analysis of 144 diagnostic studies. Orthop J Sports Med. 2020;8(2):2325967119900356. PubMed

Non-Randomized Studies

Alternative Outcomes

Rusche T, Vosshenrich J, Winkel DJ, et al. More space, less noise-new-generation low-field magnetic resonance imaging systems can improve patient comfort: A prospective 0.55T-1.5T-scanner comparison. J Clin Med. 2022;11(22):6705. PubMed

Schmidt AM, Stockton DJ, Hunt MA, Yung A, Masri BA, Wilson DR. Reliability of tibiofemoral contact area and centroid location in upright, open MRI. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2020;21(1):795. PubMed

Alternative Population

- Breit HC, Vosshenrich J, Hofmann V, et al. Image quality of lumbar spine imaging at 0.55T low-field MRI is comparable to conventional 1.5T MRI initial observations in healthy volunteers. Acad Radiol. 2023;23:23. PubMed
- Lopez Schmidt I, Haag N, Shahzadi I, et al. Diagnostic image quality of a low-field (0.55T) knee MRI protocol using deep learning image reconstruction compared with a standard (1.5T) knee MRI protocol. J Clin Med. 2023;12(5):1916. PubMed
- Yin Q, Manoliu RA, Kichari JR, Ritt M. Using an office-based, dedicated extremity MRI scanner for depicting important structures in common wrist pathologies: A pilot comparison with a conventional MRI scanner. J Wrist Surg. 2021;10(1):84-92. PubMed

Alternative Comparator

Schroder FF, Post CE, van Raak SM, et al. The diagnostic potential of low-field MRI in problematic total knee arthroplasties - a feasibility study. *J Exp Orthop.* 2020;7(1):59. PubMed

Leigheb M, Guzzardi G, Barini M, et al. Role of low field MRI in detecting knee lesions. Acta Biomed. 2018;90(1-S):116-122. PubMed

Review Articles

Fritz J, Runge VM. Scientific advances and technical innovations in musculoskeletal radiology. Invest Radiol. 2023;58(1):1-2. PubMed

Khodarahmi I, Keerthivasan MB, Brinkmann IM, Grodzki D, Fritz J. Modern low-field MRI of the musculoskeletal system: Practice considerations, opportunities, and challenges. *Invest Radiol*. 2023;58(1):76-87. PubMed

Kijowski R, Fritz J. Emerging technology in musculoskeletal MRI and CT. Radiology. 2023;306(1):6-19. PubMed

Additional References

Clinical Policy Bulletin

Aetna. Medical clinical policy bulletins: Open air, low field strength, and positional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) units. 2023; https://www.aetna.com/cpb/medical/data/1_99/0093.html. Accessed 2023 Mar 23.