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RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of telemedicine interventions for patients with heart failure?

2. What is the cost-effectiveness of telemedicine interventions for patients with heart failure?

KEY FINDINGS

Two health technology assessments, 22 systematic reviews, and seven economic evaluations were identified regarding the clinical and cost-effectiveness of telemedicine interventions for patients with heart failure.

METHODS

A focused search (with main concepts appearing in title or major subject heading) was conducted on key resources including PubMed, The Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. No filters were applied to the main focused search to limit the retrieval by study type. A second broader search (with main concepts appearing in the title, abstract or subject heading) was also included, however methodological filters were applied to limit retrieval to health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, and economic studies. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English-language documents published between January 1, 2010 and August 12, 2015. Internet links were provided, where available.

SELECTION CRITERIA

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

Disclaimer: The Rapid Response Service is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. Rapid responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. Rapid responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

Copyright: This report contains CADTH copyright material and may contain material in which a third party owns copyright. This report may be used for the purposes of research or private study only. It may not be copied, posted on a web site, redistributed by email or stored on an electronic system without the prior written permission of CADTH or applicable copyright owner.

Links: This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners’ own terms and conditions.
Table 1: Selection Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Patients with heart failure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Telemedicine interventions, including:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Video conferencing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Home health monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Telehealth phone lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparator</td>
<td>Usual care without telemedicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Q1: Clinical benefits (improved health outcomes, improved access to care, patients experience, travel time)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q2: Cost-effectiveness outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Designs</td>
<td>Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, economic evaluations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by economic evaluations. Due to the volume of relevant literature identified, randomized controlled trials and non-randomized studies were not included in this report.

Two health technology assessments, 22 systematic reviews and seven economic evaluations were identified regarding the clinical and cost-effectiveness of telemedicine interventions for patients with heart failure.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.
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