



TITLE: Functional Electrical Stimulation for Children with Spinal Cord Injuries or Cerebral Palsy: Update of Review of Clinical Effectiveness

DATE: 6 August 2015

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of functional electrical stimulation (FES) including FES cycling (FES Cycle) for children with spinal cord injuries?
2. What is the clinical effectiveness of functional electrical stimulation (FES) including FES cycling (FES Cycle) for children with cerebral palsy?

KEY FINDINGS

Three systematic reviews, six randomized controlled trials, and six non-randomized studies were identified regarding the use of functional electrical stimulation (FES) for children with spinal cord injuries or cerebral palsy.

METHODS

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including CINAHL, PubMed, The Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2011 and July 23, 2015. Internet links were provided, where available.

The summary of findings was prepared from the abstracts of the relevant information. Please note that data contained in abstracts may not always be an accurate reflection of the data contained within the full article.

Disclaimer: The Rapid Response Service is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. Rapid responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. Rapid responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

Copyright: This report contains CADTH copyright material and may contain material in which a third party owns copyright. **This report may be used for the purposes of research or private study only.** It may not be copied, posted on a web site, redistributed by email or stored on an electronic system without the prior written permission of CADTH or applicable copyright owner.

Links: This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners' own terms and conditions.

SELECTION CRITERIA

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Selection Criteria	
Population	Children with spinal cord injuries or cerebral palsy
Intervention	Functional electrical stimulation (FES); FES Cycle/Bikes
Comparator	No comparator; Any comparators
Outcomes	Clinical effectiveness (overall health [including functional mobility - walking, running, standing unassisted, Quality of Life]; any changes in health outcomes)
Study Designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies

RESULTS

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials and non-randomized studies.

Three systematic reviews, six randomized controlled trials, and six non-randomized studies were identified regarding the use of functional electrical stimulation (FES) for children with spinal cord injuries or cerebral palsy. No relevant health technology assessments were identified.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

OVERALL SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Two systematic reviews¹⁻² were identified regarding the use of FES for children with cerebral palsy. The 2015 systematic review¹ reported weak or conflicting evidence that FES improved postural control in children with cerebral palsy, while the systematic review by Chiu² reported that FES was more effective than no FES and had a similar effect as activity training alone for children with cerebral palsy. The third systematic review³ reported FES to be a safe and moderately effective intervention to mitigate inactivity in youth with spinal cord injury.

Table 2 summarizes the findings of the randomized controlled trials⁴⁻⁹ and non-randomized studies.¹⁰⁻¹⁵ The majority of these studies reported on electrical stimulation interventions in children with cerebral palsy,^{4-8,10,11,13-15} while two studies^{9,12} reported on electrical stimulation interventions in children with spinal cord dysfunction. The majority of studies found FES to be an effective intervention and well tolerated by patients.

Table 2: Summary of Findings from the Randomized Controlled Trials and Non-Randomized Studies

First Author, Year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Author Findings and Conclusions
<i>Randomized Controlled Trials</i>				
Pool, 2015 ⁴	N = 32 children with USCP	8 weeks of FES applied during every day walking	Usual therapy	FES improved self-perceived performance and satisfaction regarding functional skills
Yildizgoren, 2014 ⁵	N = 14 children with USCP	30 minute sessions (5 days a week for 6 weeks) of NMES with conventional exercise	Conventional exercise	NMES plus conventional exercise is effective at improving hand and wrist functions
Arya, 2012 ⁶	N = 10 children with spastic diplegic/hemiplegic CP	NMES plus conventional therapy (muscle strengthening exercises and physiotherapy)	Conventional therapy (muscle strengthening exercises and physiotherapy)	NMES plus conventional therapy is more effective than conventional therapy alone for improving walking ability and functional outcomes
Karabay, 2012 ⁷	N = 32 children with spastic diplegic CP	30 minute FES application (5 days a week for 4 weeks) to abdomen-posterior back muscles plus PTR	PTR program	FES plus PTR was more effective than PTR alone in improving balance when sitting
Xu, 2012 ⁸	N = 68 children with hemiplegic CP	ES plus constraint therapy for 2 weeks	Constraint therapy or occupational therapy	ES plus constraint therapy improved hand dysfunction more than two comparator interventions
Johnston, 2011 ⁹	N = 30 children with chronic SCI	FES cycling; or non-cycling, ES exercise	Passive cycling	FES cycling had the greatest improvement in muscle strength, ES had the greatest improvement in muscle volume
<i>Non-Randomized Studies</i>				
Pool, 2014 ¹⁰	N = 12 children with USCP	FES device for walking (daily for 8 weeks)	Pre-FES measurements	FES effectively reduced gait impairments for children with USCP

Table 2: Summary of Findings from the Randomized Controlled Trials and Non-Randomized Studies

First Author, Year	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Author Findings and Conclusions
Meilahn, 2013 ¹¹	N = 10 children with hemiparetic CP	ES foot drop neuroprosthesis for 3 months	N/A	An ES foot drop neuroprosthesis was effective for treatment of foot drop and was well tolerated by children with hemiparetic CP
Castello, 2012 ¹²	N = 6 children and adolescents with spinal cord dysfunction	FES cycle	N/A	FES cycle improved BMD and quality of life, and improvement was associated with number of FES cycle sessions attended
Harrington, 2012 ¹³	N = 4 adolescents with spastic CP	FES cycle	Conventional cycling	FES cycle was well tolerated and improved cycling performance related outcomes
Prosser, 2012 ¹⁴	N = 21 children and adolescents with CP	FES device while walking (4 months)	Non-FES conditions	FES was effective for treatment of foot drop and improving mild gait impairments; the FES device was well accepted by participants
Alabdulwahab, 2011 ¹⁵	N = 17 children with spastic diplegic CP in the study group; N = 15 children with spastic diplegic CP in the control group; N = 17 healthy children in the second control group	ES of the bilateral hip adductor and abductor muscles during walking	Not specified	Gait performance, knee position, and muscle tone were improved in children receiving ES interventions

BMD = bone mineral density; CP = cerebral palsy; ES = electrical stimulation; FES = functional electrical stimulation; N/A = not applicable; NMES = neuromuscular electrical stimulation; PTR = physical therapy and rehabilitation; SCI = spinal cord injury; USCP = unilateral spastic cerebral palsy.

REFERENCES SUMMARIZED

Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

1. Dewar R, Love S, Johnston LM. Exercise interventions improve postural control in children with cerebral palsy: a systematic review. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2015 Jun;57(6):504-20.
[PubMed: PM25523410](#)
2. Chiu HC, Ada L. Effect of functional electrical stimulation on activity in children with cerebral palsy: a systematic review. *Pediatr Phys Ther*. 2014;26(3):283-8.
[PubMed: PM24819681](#)
3. Mayson TA, Harris SR. Functional electrical stimulation cycling in youth with spinal cord injury: A review of intervention studies. *J Spinal Cord Med [Internet]*. 2014 May [cited 2015 Aug 4];37(3):266-77. Available from:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4064576>
[PubMed: PM24621033](#)

Randomized Controlled Trials

4. Dayna Pool, Pool D, Valentine J, Blackmore M, Colegate J, Bear N, Stannage K, et al. Daily functional electrical stimulation during everyday walking activities improves performance and satisfaction in children with unilateral spastic cerebral palsy: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Physiother [Internet]*. 2015 Jul 18 [cited 2015 Aug 4];5(5):1-10. Available from: <http://www.archivesphysiotherapy.com/content/5/1/5>
5. Yildizgören MT, Nakipoğlu Yüzer GF, Ekiz T, Özgirgin N. Effects of neuromuscular electrical stimulation on the wrist and finger flexor spasticity and hand functions in cerebral palsy. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2014 Sep;51(3):360-4.
[PubMed: PM25011433](#)
6. Arya BK, Mohapatra J, Subramanya K, Prasad H, Kumar R, Mahadevappa M. Surface EMG analysis and changes in gait following electrical stimulation of quadriceps femoris and tibialis anterior in children with spastic cerebral palsy. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*. 2012;2012:5726-9.
[PubMed: PM23367230](#)
7. Karabay I, Dogan A, Arslan MD, Dost G, Ozgirgin N. Effects of functional electrical stimulation on trunk control in children with diplegic cerebral palsy. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2012;34(11):965-70.
[PubMed: PM22149464](#)
8. Xu K, Wang L, Mai J, He L. Efficacy of constraint-induced movement therapy and electrical stimulation on hand function of children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy: a controlled clinical trial. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2012;34(4):337-46.
[PubMed: PM21961441](#)

9. Johnston TE, Modlesky CM, Betz RR, Lauer RT. Muscle changes following cycling and/or electrical stimulation in pediatric spinal cord injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2011 Dec;92(12):1937-43.

[PubMed: PM22133240](#)

Non-Randomized Studies

10. Pool D, Blackmore AM, Bear N, Valentine J. Effects of short-term daily community walk aide use on children with unilateral spastic cerebral palsy. Pediatr Phys Ther. 2014;26(3):308-17.

[PubMed: PM24979083](#)

11. Meilahn JR. Tolerability and effectiveness of a neuroprosthesis for the treatment of foot drop in pediatric patients with hemiparetic cerebral palsy. PM R. 2013 Jun;5(6):503-9.

[PubMed: PM23313040](#)

12. Castello F, Louis B, Cheng J, Armento M, Santos AM. The use of functional electrical stimulation cycles in children and adolescents with spinal cord dysfunction: a pilot study. J Pediatr Rehabil Med. 2012;5(4):261-73.

[PubMed: PM23411767](#)

13. Harrington AT, McRae CG, Lee SC. Evaluation of functional electrical stimulation to assist cycling in four adolescents with spastic cerebral palsy. Int J Pediatr [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2015 Aug 4];2012:504387. Available from:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3364582>

[PubMed: PM22685479](#)

14. Prosser LA, Curatalo LA, Alter KE, Damiano DL. Acceptability and potential effectiveness of a foot drop stimulator in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol [Internet]. 2012 Nov [cited 2015 Aug 4];54(11):1044-9. Available from:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3465476>

[PubMed: PM22924431](#)

15. Alabdulwahab SS. Electrical stimulation improves gait in children with spastic diplegic cerebral palsy. NeuroRehabilitation. 2011;29(1):37-43.

[PubMed: PM21876294](#)

PREPARED BY:

Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health

Tel: 1-866-898-8439

www.cadth.ca

APPENDIX – FURTHER INFORMATION:

Systematic Reviews – Uncertain Outcomes

16. Franki I, Desloovere K, De Cat J, Feys H, Molenaers G, Calders P, et al. The evidence-base for basic physical therapy techniques targeting lower limb function in children with cerebral palsy: a systematic review using the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health as a conceptual framework. *J Rehabil Med*. 2012 May;44(5):385-95. [PubMed: PM22549646](#)

Randomized Controlled Trials

Alternate Outcomes

17. Lauer RT, Smith BT, Mulcahey MJ, Betz RR, Johnston TE. Effects of cycling and/or electrical stimulation on bone mineral density in children with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord*. 2011 Aug;49(8):917-23. [PubMed: PM21423253](#)

Mixed Modality, Electric Stimulation with Botulinum Toxin

18. Mudge A, Harvey LA, Lancaster A, Lowe K. Electrical Stimulation Following Botulinum Toxin A in Children With Spastic Diplegia: A Within-Participant Randomized Pilot Study. *Phys Occup Ther Pediatr*. 2014 Dec 20. [PubMed: PM25529410](#)
19. Pieber K, Herceg M, Wick F, Grim-Stieger M, Bernert G, Paternostro-Sluga T. Functional electrical stimulation combined with botulinum toxin type A to improve hand function in children with spastic hemiparesis - a pilot study. *Wien Klin Wochenschr*. 2011 Feb;123(3-4):100-5. [PubMed: PM21240688](#)

Non-Randomized Studies

Alternate Outcomes

20. Xiaoke Z, Mengying C, Senjie D, Hongying L, Xiaonan L. Evaluation of Stress and Pain in Young Children with Cerebral palsy During Early Developmental Intervention Programs. *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*. 2015 Mar;94(3):169-79.
21. Karabay I, Oztürk GT, Malas FU, Kara M, Tiftik T, Ersöz M, et al. Short-term effects of neuromuscular electrical stimulation on muscle architecture of the Tibialis Anterior and Gastrocnemius in children with cerebral palsy: preliminary results of a prospective controlled study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2014 Nov 20. [PubMed: PM25415393](#)
22. Damiano DL, Prosser LA, Curatalo LA, Alter KE. Muscle plasticity and ankle control after repetitive use of a functional electrical stimulation device for foot drop in cerebral palsy. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair [Internet]*. 2013 Mar [cited 2015 Aug 4];27(3):200-7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3579660>

[PubMed: PM23042834](#)

Mixed Modality, Electric Stimulation with Botulinum Toxin

23. Galen S, Wiggins L, McWilliam R, Granat M. A combination of Botulinum Toxin A therapy and Functional electrical stimulation in children with cerebral palsy--a pilot study. *Technol Health Care*. 2012;20(1):1-9.

[PubMed: PM22297709](#)

Additional References

Summary with Critical Appraisal

24. Cleary E, Waite A, Asher K. There is low level evidence to support the use of functional electrical stimulation to enhance function in the upper limb of children with neurological conditions [Internet]. Sydney, AU: Sydney Children's Hospital Network; 2015 May. 1-14 p. [cited 2015 Aug 4]. Available from:

http://www.otcats.com/topics/FES_CAT_FINAL_NOV_2011.pdf