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RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of intravenous administration of magnesium sulphate for the treatment of acute and unrelieved, refractory asthma-related bronchospasm in pre-hospital settings?

2. What are the evidence-based guidelines regarding the intravenous administration of magnesium sulphate for the treatment of acute and unrelieved, refractory asthma-related bronchospasm in pre-hospital settings

KEY FINDINGS

No relevant literature was identified regarding the guidelines for, and clinical effectiveness of, intravenous administration of magnesium sulphate for the treatment of acute and unrelieved, refractory asthma-related bronchospasm in pre-hospital settings.

METHODS

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, The Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2005 and August 17, 2015. Internet links were provided where available.

The summary of findings was prepared from the abstracts of the relevant information. Please note that data contained in abstracts may not always be an accurate reflection of the data contained within the full article.

Disclaimer: The Rapid Response Service is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. Rapid responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. Rapid responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

Copyright: This report contains CADTH copyright material and may contain material in which a third party owns copyright. This report may be used for the purposes of research or private study only. It may not be copied, posted on a web site, redistributed by email or stored on an electronic system without the prior written permission of CADTH or applicable copyright owner.

Links: This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners’ own terms and conditions.
SELECTION CRITERIA

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Selection Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Comparator**               | Q1: Inhaled Beta-2 agonists or anticholinergics; continuous positive airway pressure, intramuscular epinephrine, oral and intravenous corticosteroids  
Q2: No comparator necessary |
| **Outcomes**                 | Q1: Clinical effectiveness (e.g., onset and duration of effectiveness); Safety (e.g., cardiac arrhythmia)  
Q2: Guidelines for use |
| **Study Designs**            | Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, evidence-based guidelines |

RESULTS

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, and evidence-based guidelines.

No relevant health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies or evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding the guidelines for, and clinical effectiveness of, intravenous administration of magnesium sulphate for the treatment of acute and unrelieved, refractory asthma-related bronchospasm in pre-hospital settings.

References of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

OVERALL SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

No relevant literature was identified regarding the guidelines for, and clinical effectiveness of, intravenous administration of magnesium sulphate for the treatment of acute and unrelieved, refractory asthma-related bronchospasm in pre-hospital settings; therefore, no summary can be provided.
REFERENCES SUMMARIZED

Health Technology Assessments
No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses
No literature identified.

Randomized Controlled Trials
No literature identified.

Non-Randomized Studies
No literature identified.

Guidelines and Recommendations
No literature identified.
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APPENDIX – FURTHER INFORMATION:

Systematic Reviews

Unclear Setting


Emergency Department Setting


Randomized Controlled Trials

Emergency Department Setting


Unclear Setting


Non-Randomized Studies – Emergency Department Setting

Guidelines and Recommendations – Unclear Setting

See: 8.2.5 Magnesium Sulphate, page 89

Clinical Practice Guidelines – Unclear Setting

See: Assessment and Management: Severe and Critical


See: Recommendations, page 31

Position Statements


Review Articles
