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RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of high-level disinfectants versus sterilization for the reprocessing of bronchoscopes, cystoscopes, duodenoscopes, or hysteroscopes?

2. What is the clinical effectiveness of manual cleaning prior to the use of high-level disinfectants versus using only an automated endoscope reprocessor with a cleaning claim?

3. What are the evidence-based guidelines regarding the disinfection or sterilization requirements for final rinse water for the reprocessing of endoscopic devices?

KEY FINDINGS

Two evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding the disinfection or sterilization requirements for final rinse water for the reprocessing of endoscopic devices.

METHODS

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, The Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. No methodological filters were applied to limit retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2011 and June 9 2016. Internet links were provided, where available.

SELECTION CRITERIA

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

Disclaimer: The Rapid Response Service is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. Rapid responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources of the best evidence on the topic that the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. Rapid responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

Copyright: This report contains CADTH copyright material and may contain material in which a third party owns copyright. This report may be used for the purposes of research or private study only. It may not be copied, posted on a web site, redistributed by email or stored on an electronic system without the prior written permission of CADTH or applicable copyright owner.

Links: This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners’ own terms and conditions.
Table 1: Selection Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Patients in hospital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1: High-level disinfectants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2: Manual cleaning prior to high-level disinfectants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3: Disinfection and sterilization equipment and standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comparator</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1: Sterilization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2: Automated endoscope reprocessing with cleaning claim</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3: No comparator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcomes</strong></td>
<td>Clinical evidence to support practice; Guidelines and best practice for disinfectants or sterilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study Designs</strong></td>
<td>Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, evidence-based guidelines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, and evidence-based guidelines.

Two evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding the disinfection or sterilization requirements for final rinse water for the reprocessing of endoscopic devices. No relevant health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, or non-randomized studies were identified regarding clinical effectiveness of high-level disinfectants versus sterilization for the reprocessing of bronchoscopes, cystoscopes, duodenoscopes, or hysteroscopes or the clinical effectiveness of manual cleaning prior to the use of high-level disinfectants versus using only an automated endoscope reprocessor with a cleaning claim.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

REFERENCES SUMMARIZED

Health Technology Assessments
No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses
No literature identified.

Randomized Controlled Trials
No literature identified.

Non-Randomized Studies
No literature identified.

Guidelines and Recommendations

1. Provincial Infectious Diseases Advisory Committee (PIDAC). Infection prevention and control for clinical office practice (1st revision) [Internet]. Toronto (ON): Queen’s Printer for
See: Disinfection, pages i3 to i4
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