TITLE: Telehealth Assessment of Patients Being Retained for Emergent Mental Health Reasons: Clinical Effectiveness and Guidelines

DATE: 21 March 2016

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- 1. What is the clinical evidence regarding the effectiveness and appropriateness of the use of telehealth for the assessment of patients who are being retained due to emergent mental health reasons?
- 2. What are the evidence-based guidelines regarding the use of telehealth for the assessment of patients who are being retained due to emergent mental health reasons?

KEY FINDINGS

No relevant studies or evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding the effectiveness and appropriateness of the use of telehealth for the assessment of patients who are being retained due to emergent mental health reasons.

METHODS

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, PsycINFO, The Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2006 and March 14, 2016. Internet links were provided, where available.

SELECTION CRITERIA

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

<u>Disclaimer</u>: The Rapid Response Service is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. Rapid responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources of the best evidence on the topic that the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allow ed. Rapid responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for w hich little information can be found, but w hich may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

<u>Copyright:</u> This report contains CADTH copyright material and may contain material in which a third party owns copyright. **This report may be used for the purposes of research or private study only**. It may not be copied, posted on a web site, redistributed by email or stored on an electronic system without the prior written permission of CADTH or applicable copyright owner.

<u>Links</u>: This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners' own terms and conditions.

Table 1: Selection Criteria	
Population	Patients (adult or pediatric) who are being retained in a hospital or other facility due to: risk of deterioration of mental health, harm or imminent risk to themselves or to others
Intervention	Telehealth psychiatric assessment
Comparator	No comparator; in-person psychiatric assessment
Outcomes	Q1: adequate assessment of the patient; effectiveness of telehealth to assess the patient, safety of patient, safety of those who may be harmed by patients Q2: guidelines regarding the adequacy, effectiveness, safety, or legality of using telehealth assessment for the assessment of this population
Study Designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, guidelines

RESULTS

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, and evidence-based guidelines.

No health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, or non-randomized studies were identified regarding the effectiveness and appropriateness of the use of telehealth for the assessment of patients who are being retained due to emergent mental health reasons; no evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding the use of telehealth for this group of patients.

References of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

No literature identified.

Randomized Controlled Trials

No literature identified.

Non-Randomized Studies

No literature identified.

Guidelines and Recommendations

No literature identified.

PREPARED BY:

Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health Tel: 1-866-898-8439

www.cadth.ca



APPENDIX - FURTHER INFORMATION:

Previous CADTH Reports

 Telehealth services for the treatment of psychiatric issues: clinical effectiveness, safety, and guidelines [Internet]. Ottawa: CADTH; 2015 Jan 15. [cited 2016 Mar 18]. (Rapid response report: summary with critical appraisal). Available from: https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/jan-2015/RC0624%20Telehealth%20and%20Mental%20Health%20Final.pdf

Systematic Review - Unclear Population

 Salmoiraghi A, Hussain S. A Systematic review of the use of telepsychiatry in acute settings. J Psychiatr Pract. 2015 Sep;21(5):389-93.
 PubMed: PM26348806

Non-Randomized Studies – Unclear Population

- 3. Trondsen MV, Bolle SR, Stensland GO, Tjora A. Video-confidence: a qualitative exploration of videoconferencing for psychiatric emergencies. BMC Health Serv Res. 2014;14:544. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4220059 PubMed: PM25359404
- Saurman E, Lyle D, Kirby S, Roberts R. Use of a mental health emergency care-rural access programme in emergency departments. J Telemed Telecare. 2014 Sep;20(6):324-9.

PubMed: PM25052388

 Seidel RW, Kilgus MD. Agreement between telepsychiatry assessment and face-to-face assessment for Emergency Department psychiatry patients. J Telemed Telecare. 2014 Mar;20(2):59-62.

PubMed: PM24414395

Practice Guidelines – Unclear Methodology

- 6. Guidelines for the practice of telepsychology. Am Psychol. 2013;68(9):791-800.
- 7. Turvey C, Coleman M, Dennison O, Drude K, Goldenson M, Hirsch P, et al. ATA practice guidelines for video-based online mental health services. Telemed J E Health. 2013 Sep;19(9):722-30.

PubMed: PM23909884

8. Grady B, Myers KM, Nelson EL, Belz N, Bennett L, Carnahan L, et al. Evidence-based practice for telemental health. Telemed J E Health. 2011 Mar;17(2):131-48. PubMed: PM21385026

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE SERVICE

Review Articles

9. Caudill RL, Sager Z. Institutionally based videoconferencing. Int Rev Psychiatry. 2015 Dec;27(6):496-503.

PubMed: PM26507786

 Clark PA, Capuzzi K, Harrison J. Telemedicine: medical, legal and ethical perspectives. Med Sci Monit. 2010 Dec;16(12):RA261-RA272. PubMed: PM21119593

- Diamond JM, Bloch RM. Telepsychiatry assessments of child or adolescent behavior disorders: a review of evidence and issues. Telemed J E Health. 2010 Jul;16(6):712-6. PubMed: PM20575615
- 12. Godleski L, Nieves JE, Darkins A, Lehmann L. VA telemental health: suicide assessment. Behav Sci Law. 2008;26(3):271-86. PubMed: PM18548515

Additional References

- States leverage telepsychiatry solutions to ease ED crowding, accelerate care. ED Manag. 2015 Feb;27(2):13-7.
 PubMed: PM25688413
- Telepsychiatry program eases patient crowding in the ED, expedites mental health services to patients and providers. ED Manag. 2013 Nov;25(11):121-4. PubMed: PM24195141