Urinary track calculi or urinary stones, formed from crystalized chemicals in the urine such as calcium oxalate, uric acid and cystine, occur in one of ten Canadians in their lifetime.\(^1\) The obstruction of the urinary tract by calculi at the narrowest anatomical areas leads to impaired drainage and severe pain (renal colic). The treatment of renal colic includes conservative treatment including rehydration, analgesia, and drugs to enhance stones expulsion, and surgical treatments such as uroscopy, percutaneous nephrolithotomy and open/laparoscopic lithotomy.\(^2,3\) Pain therapy includes drugs such as paracetamol, narcotics, corticosteroids, and acupuncture. Drugs that enhance expulsion include cyclooxygenase inhibitors, corticosteroids, \(\alpha\)-blocker therapy, or calcium-channel blocker therapy.\(^4\) The stone composition, size and location are key determinants for predicting spontaneous stone passage and therefore dictate the type of therapy used. Stones less than 5mm in diameter and located in the distal ureter are more likely to pass spontaneously with facilitation from drugs that enhance expulsion than larger stones and stones that are located in the proximal ureter which need surgical therapy. Small stones can also be treated with extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy.\(^5,6\) The economic burden of urinary stone treatment is estimated at US$5 billion including direct and indirect costs in 2005.\(^7,8\)

Because of the great variability in renal colic management, this Rapid Response report aims to review the comparative clinical and cost-effectiveness of different treatment strategies of renal colic.

**RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

1. What is the comparative clinical effectiveness of treatment strategies for patients with renal colic due to kidney stones?
2. What is the comparative cost-effectiveness of treatment strategies for patients with renal colic due to kidney stones?

**Disclaimer:** The Rapid Response Service is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. Rapid responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. Rapid responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

**Copyright:** This report contains CADTH copyright material and may contain material in which a third party owns copyright. This report may be used for the purposes of research or private study only. It may not be copied, posted on a web site, redistributed by email or stored on an electronic system without the prior written permission of CADTH or applicable copyright owner.

**Links:** This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners’ own terms and conditions.
KEY FINDINGS

The literature search did not find evidence that compared clinical and cost effectiveness of conservative therapy to surgical therapy for patients with renal colic.

METHODS

Literature Search Strategy

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, The Cochrane Library (2014, Issue 10), University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, ECRI (Health Devices Gold), Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2010 and October 20, 2014.

Selection Criteria and Methods

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies. In the first level of screening, titles and abstracts were reviewed for relevance. Full texts of any relevant titles or abstracts were retrieved, and assessed for inclusion. The final article selection was based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Selection Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comparator</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcomes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study Designs</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exclusion Criteria

Articles were excluded if they did not meet the selection criteria in Table 1, if they were duplicate publications of the same study, or if they were referenced in a selected systematic review.
SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

Quantity of Research Available

The literature search yielded 413 citations. After screening of abstracts from the literature search and from other sources, no potentially relevant studies were selected for full-text review. The PRISMA flowchart in Appendix 1 details the process of the study selection.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR DECISION OR POLICY MAKING

The literature search did not find evidence that compared clinical and cost effectiveness of conservative therapy to surgical therapy for patients with renal colic. Conservative pharmaceutical therapy and surgical therapy have distinct indications depending on stone size and location, which may explain the lack of comparative evidence. There are, however, studies comparing different conservative strategies to each other or comparing different surgical therapies to each other.9-14 A review of these studies may provide useful information on the comparative clinical and cost effectiveness among different conservative strategies or among different surgical strategies for renal colic due to urinary stones of particular size or location.
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Appendix 1: Selection of Included Studies

413 citations identified from electronic literature search and screened

408 citations excluded

5 potentially relevant articles retrieved for scrutiny (full text, if available)

2 relevant reports retrieved from other sources (grey literature, hand search)

7 potentially relevant reports

7 reports excluded (irrelevant comparators)

0 reports included in review