

pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review Registered Clinician Feedback on a pCODR Expert Review Committee Initial Recommendation

Brentuximab (Adcetris) for Hodgkin Lymphoma - Resubmission

February 21, 2018

3 Feedback on pERC Initial Recommendation

| Name of the drug indication(s): | Brentuximab/ post-ASCT consolidation treatment of HL patients |
|--|---|
| Name of registered clinician(s): | Dr. Tom Kouroukis, Dr. Jordan Herst, Dr. Janet MacEachern, Dr. Anca Prica |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| DCODR may contact this person if comm | ents require clarification. Contact information will not |
| pe included in any public posting of this o | |
| 3.1 Comments on the Initial Recomm | endation |
| a) Please indicate if the register | red clinician(s) agrees or disagrees with the initial |
| recommendation: | and the same |
| agrees X | agrees in part disagree |
| Please explain why the registe with the initial recommendate | ered clinician(s) agrees, agrees in part or disagrees ion. |
| The hematology drug advisory co recommendation. The DAC agree be reserved for high risk patients | mmittee (DAC) partially agrees with the initial s that the PFS is meaningful and that brentuximab should a. The DAC recognizes that it is a small patient population in young patients if they relapse post-ASCT. |
| | pulation defined in the study was limited, and would push high risk really means (to be clearly defined in ne with CLL). |
| should be a discount if patients e | ne price should be lowered in negotiations, or that there and up on it much longer than planned/modeled, and that ered to help with wastage, and these should be clearly |
| | |

the registered clinician(s) would support this initial recommendation proceeding to final

b) Notwithstanding the feedback provided in part a) above, please indicate if

| | • | | tion ("early conver e feedback deadlir | rsion"), which would occur two (2) Business Days | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Χ | | upport conver ecommendation | | Do not support conversion to final recommendation. | | |
| | | ecommendati econsideration | on does not requir n by pERC. | e Recommendation should be reconsidered by pERC. | | |
| c) | or are | the compone | ents of the recomm | I recommendation. Is the initial recommendation nendation (e.g., clinical and economic evidence) re the reasons clear? | | |
| | age Iumbe | Section r Title | Paragraph, Line Number | Comments and Suggested Changes to Improve Clarity | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Cor | Comments Related to the Registered Clinician(s) Input | | | | | |
| rev | iew on | outcomes or | issues important t | ician(s) input provided at the outset of the hat were identified in the submitted clinician I be not considered during this part of the review | | |
| pro the pro Exa | cess, he inforn gram. Imples iew ha | nowever, it mand it may be not a control of issues to control it mand it mand it may be not a control | ay be eligible for a e providing is eligik onsider include: Al | Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether ole for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR re there therapy gaps? Does the drug under ders may also consider other factors not listed | | |
| pro the pro Exa rev her | cess, he informogram. Imples iew have. | nowever, it mand it may be not a control of issues to control it mand it mand it may be not a control | ay be eligible for a e providing is eligik onsider include: Al | Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether ple for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR re there therapy gaps? Does the drug under | | |
| pro the pro Exa rev her | cess, he informogram. Imples iew have. | nowever, it manation you are of issues to cove any disadv | ay be eligible for a e providing is eligib onsider include: Al antages? Stakeholo Paragraph, | Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether ole for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR re there therapy gaps? Does the drug under ders may also consider other factors not listed Comments related to initial registered | | |
| pro the pro Exa rev her | cess, he informogram. Imples iew have. | nowever, it manation you are of issues to cove any disadv | ay be eligible for a e providing is eligib onsider include: Al antages? Stakeholo Paragraph, | Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether ole for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR re there therapy gaps? Does the drug under ders may also consider other factors not listed Comments related to initial registered | | |
| pro the pro Exa rev her | cess, he informogram. Imples iew have. | nowever, it manation you are of issues to cove any disadv | ay be eligible for a e providing is eligib onsider include: Al antages? Stakeholo Paragraph, | Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether ole for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR re there therapy gaps? Does the drug under ders may also consider other factors not listed Comments related to initial registered | | |
| pro the pro Exa rev her | cess, he inform gram. Imples iew have. | of issues to cover any disadv Section Title | ay be eligible for a e providing is eligib onsider include: An antages? Stakehold Paragraph, Line Number | Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether ole for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR re there therapy gaps? Does the drug under ders may also consider other factors not listed Comments related to initial registered | | |
| Page Page | cess, he informagram. Imples iew have. enber ditiona ase pro | of issues to cover any disadv Section Title | ay be eligible for a e providing is eligible for a eligible for a eligible for a providing for a first provided for a provided | Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether ole for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR of the there therapy gaps? Does the drug under ders may also consider other factors not listed. Comments related to initial registered clinician input | | |
| Page Page | cess, he informagram. Imples iew have. enber ditiona ase pro | of issues to cove any disadv Section Title I comments a covide any add Section | ay be eligible for a e providing is eligible for a | Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether ole for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR of the there therapy gaps? Does the drug under ders may also consider other factors not listed. Comments related to initial registered clinician input. | | |

3.2

3.3

1 About Completing This Template

pCODR invites those registered clinicians that provided input on the drug under review <u>prior</u> to deliberation by the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC), to also provide feedback and comments on the initial recommendation made by pERC. (See www.cadth.ca/pcodr for information regarding review status and feedback deadlines.)

As part of the pCODR review process, the pCODR Expert Review Committee makes an initial recommendation based on its review of the clinical, economic and patient evidence for a drug. (See www.cadth.ca/pcodr for a description of the pCODR process.) The initial recommendation is then posted for feedback and comments from various stakeholders. The pCODR Expert Review Committee welcomes comments and feedback that will help the members understand why the registered clinician(s) agree or disagree with the initial recommendation. In addition, the members of pERC would like to know if there is any lack of clarity in the document and if so, what could be done to improve the clarity of the information in the initial recommendation. Other comments are welcome as well.

All stakeholders have 10 (ten) business days within which to provide their feedback on the initial recommendation and rationale. If all invited stakeholders, including registered clinician(s), agree with the recommended clinical population described in the initial recommendation, it will proceed to a final pERC recommendation two (2) Business Days after the end of the feedback deadline date. This is called an "early conversion" of an initial recommendation to a final recommendation.

If any one of the invited stakeholders does not support the initial recommendation proceeding to final pERC recommendation, pERC will review all feedback and comments received at the next possible pERC meeting. Based on the feedback received, pERC will consider revising the recommendation document as appropriate. It should be noted that the initial recommendation and rationale for it may or may not change following consultation with stakeholders.

The final pERC recommendation will be made available to the participating provincial and territorial ministries of health and cancer agencies for their use in guiding their funding decisions and will also be made publicly available once it has been finalized.

2 Instructions for Providing Feedback

- a) Only registered clinician(s) that provided input at the beginning of the review of the drug can provide feedback on the initial recommendation. If more than one submission is made by the same registered clinician(s), only the first submission will be considered.
- b) Feedback or comments must be based on the evidence that was considered by pERC in making the initial recommendation. No new evidence will be considered during this part of the review process; however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission.
- c) The template for providing pCODR Clinician Feedback on a pERC Initial Recommendation can be downloaded from the pCODR website. (See www.cadth.ca/pcodr for a description of the pCODR process and supporting materials and templates.)
- d) At this time, the template must be completed in English. Registered clinician(s) should complete those sections of the template where they have substantive comments and should not feel obligated to complete every section, if that section does not apply. Similarly, the registered clinician(s) should not feel restricted by the space allotted on the form and can expand the tables in the template as required

- registered clinician(s) should not feel restricted by the space allotted on the form and can expand the tables in the template as required.
- e) Feedback on the initial pERC recommendations should not exceed three (3) pages in length, using a minimum 11 point font on 8 ½" by 11" paper. If comments submitted exceed three pages, only the first three pages of feedback will be forwarded to the pERC.
- f) Feedback should be presented clearly and succinctly in point form, whenever possible. The issue(s) should be clearly stated and specific reference must be made to the section of the recommendation document under discussion (i.e., page number, section title, and paragraph). Comments should be restricted to the content of the initial recommendation.
- g) References to support comments may be provided separately; however, these cannot be new references. New evidence is not considered during this part of the review process, however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether the information you are considering to provide is eligible for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR Secretariat.
- h) The comments must be submitted via a Microsoft Word (not PDF) document by logging into www.cadth.ca/pcodr and selecting "Submit Feedback" by the posted deadline date.
- i) If you have any questions about the feedback process, please e-mail submissions@pcodr.ca. Information about pCODR may be found at www.cadth.ca/pcodr.

Note: Submitted feedback may be used in documents available to the public. The confidentiality of any submitted information cannot be protected.