

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: REFERENCE LIST

Timing of Pain Reassessment PostPharmacological Treatment Administration in Hospitals: Guidelines

Service Line: Rapid Response Service

Version: 1.0

Publication Date: August 02, 2019

Report Length: 6 Pages



Authors: Deba Hafizi, Charlene Argáez

Cite As: Timing of Pain Reassessment Post-Pharmacological Treatment Administration in Hospitals: Guidelines. Ottawa: CADTH; Aug 2019. (CADTH rapid response report: reference list).

Disclaimer: The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to requests@cadth.ca



Research Question

What are the evidence-based guidelines regarding frequency and timing of pain reassessment following medication administration in hospital?

Key Findings

Three evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding frequency and timing of pain reassessment following medication administration in hospital.

Methods

A limited literature search was conducted by an information specialist on key resources including PubMed, the Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. The search strategy was comprised of both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine's MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. The main search concepts were pain assessment and timing. Search filters were applied to limit retrieval to guidelines. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2009 and July 30, 2019. Internet links were provided, where available.

Selection Criteria

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Selection Criteria

Population	In-patients with any pain
Intervention	Timing and frequency of pain assessment post pharmacological treatment administration (e.g., analgesics, opioids)
Comparator	Not applicable
Outcomes	Evidence-based guidelines
Study Designs	Evidence-based guidelines



Results

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Normally, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first; however, in reports where guidelines are primarily sought, the aforementioned evidence types are presented in the appendix.

Three evidence-based guidelines¹⁻³ were identified regarding frequency and timing of pain reassessment following medication administration in hospital.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

Guidelines and Recommendations

 The Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne. Pain assessment and measurement [nursing clinical guidelines]. Melbourne, Australia: RCH; 2019 Feb. https://www.rch.org.au/rchcpg/hospital-clinical-guideline-index/Pain-Assessment-an-d-Measurement/

Accessed 2019 Aug 01. See: When to assess pain?

 Assessment and management of pain [clinical practice guidelines]. Toronto (ON): Registered Nurses of Ontario; 2013 Dec. https://rnao.ca/sites/rnao-ca/files/AssessAndManagementOfPain2014.pdf

Accessed 2019 Aug 01.

See: Recommendation 4.1 Evaluation, page 9.

 Winnipeg Regional Health Authority. Pain assessment and management: clinical practice guidelines. Winnipeg (MB): Winnipeg Regional Health Authority; 2012 Apr. https://www.wrha.mb.ca/extranet/eipt/files/EIPT-017-001.pdf Accessed 2019 Aug 01.

See: Recommendation 6: pain reassessment - timing, page 8.



Appendix — Further Information

Non-Randomized Studies

 Aukes DI, Roofthooft DWE, Simons SHP, Tibboel D, van Dijk M. Pain management in neonatal intensive care: evaluation of the compliance with guidelines. *Clin J Pain*. 2015 Sep;31(9):830-835.

PubMed: PM25370139

Guidelines and Recommendations

Alternative Setting - Prehospital

 Gausche-Hill M, Brown KM, Oliver ZJ, et al. An Evidence-based guideline for prehospital analgesia in trauma. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2014;18 Suppl 1:25-34. PubMed: PM24279813

Unspecified Methodology

 Erlenwein J, Meissner W, Petzke F, Pogatzki-Zahn E, Stamer U, Koppert W. Staff and organizational requirements for pain services in hospitals: a recommendation from the German Society for Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine. *Anaesthesist*. 2019 Jul 10.

PubMed: PM31292666

 Overcarsh P, Harvey L, Yunker A. Guidelines for monitoring patients using opioid therapy. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2019 Mar;62(1):59-66.

PubMed: PM30601143

Resources/Hospice-palliative-care/Sections-PDFs-for-FH-Aug31/9524-24-FH---Sym_Guide-Pain-Mgmt.pdf

Accessed 2019 Aug 01.

See: Principles of Pain Management, bullet 4, page 8

See: Breakthrough Dosing table, page 13

9. The Joint Commission. Pain assessment and management standards for hospitals. *R*₃ *Report*. 2017 Aug.

https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/18/R3 Report Issue 11 Pain Assessment 2_11_19 REV.pdf

Accessed 2019 Aug 01.

See: EP 7, Rationale, page 6.

 Cone EJ, DePriest AZ, Gordon A, Passik SD. Risks and responsibilities in prescribing opioids for chronic noncancer pain, part 2: best practices. *Postgrad Med.* 2014 Nov;126(7):129-138.

PubMed: PM25387221



 Rosenfeld RM, Schwartz SR, Cannon CR, et al. Clinical practice guideline: acute otitis externa. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014 Feb;150(1 Suppl):S1-s24. PubMed: PM24491310

Review Articles

 Hatherley C, Jennings N, Cross R. Time to analgesia and pain score documentation best practice standards for the emergency department - a literature review. *Australas Emerg Nurs J: AENJ.* 2016 Feb;19(1):26-36.
 PubMed: PM26718064

Additional References

13. Aldekhyyel RN, Melton G, Pitt MB, et al. Effects of implementing a patient interactive tool on nurse reassessment of pain documentation compliance rates. *Pediatrics*. 2018 Jan;141 (1 Meeting Abstract):4.

https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/141/1 MeetingAbstract/4 Accessed 2019 Aug 01