

# CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: REFERENCE LIST

# Risk Calculators for Prostate Cancer: Clinical Utility and Guidelines

Service Line: Rapid Response Service

Version: 1.0

Publication Date: October 31, 2019

Report Length: 6 Pages



Authors: Yan Li, Charlene Argaez

Cite As: Risk calculators for prostate cancer: clinical utility and guidelines. Ottawa: CADTH; 2019 Oct. (CADTH rapid response report: reference list).

**Disclaimer:** The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

**About CADTH:** CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to requests@cadth.ca



### **Research Questions**

- 1. What is the clinical utility of prostate cancer risk calculators for prostate cancer?
- 2. What are the evidence-based guidelines on the use of prostate cancer risk calculators for prostate cancer?

# **Key Findings**

One systematic review was identified regarding the clinical utility of prostate cancer risk calculators for prostate cancer. Additionally, four evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding the use of prostate cancer risk calculators for prostate cancer.

### **Methods**

A limited literature search was conducted by an information specialist on key resources including PubMed, the Cochrane Library, the University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, the websites of Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. The search strategy was comprised of both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine's MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. The main search concepts were prostate cancer and risk calculators. Filters were applied to limit the retrieval to health technology assessments, systematic reviews, and meta analyses, randomized controlled trials, and guidelines. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2014 and October 23, 2019. Internet links were provided, where available.

### **Selection Criteria**

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

### **Table 1: Selection Criteria**

Population	Q1,2: Asymptomatic people or individuals at risk of prostate cancer
Intervention	Q1,2: The use of prostate cancer risk calculators (e.g., prostate cancer prevention trial risk calculator, European randomized study of screening for prostate cancer risk calculator, Sunnybrook prostate cancer risk calculator, Foggia prostate cancer risk calculator), with or without additional screening methods (e.g., digital rectal examination)
Comparator	Q1: No screening, or usual care Q2: Not applicable



Outcomes	Q1: Clinical utility (e.g., morbidity and mortality, downstream effects of false positives and false negatives, unnecessary treatment, physical harms [e.g., bruising, bleeding, complications], psychological harms) Q2: Guidelines
Study Designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, and evidence-based guidelines

### Results

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, meta-analyses are presented first, followed by randomized controlled trials and evidence-based guidelines.

One systematic review was identified regarding the clinical utility of prostate cancer risk calculators for prostate cancer. Four evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding the use of prostate cancer risk calculators for prostate cancer. No relevant health technology assessments or randomized controlled trials were identified regarding the clinical utility of prostate cancer risk calculators for prostate cancer.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

### Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

## Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

 Fenton JJ, Weyrich MS, Durbin S, Liu Y, Bang H, Melnikow J. Prostate-specific antigen-based screening for prostate cancer: a systematic evidence review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. (Evidence synthesis no. 154). Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2018: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK518890/. Accessed 2019 Oct 30.

### Randomized Controlled Trials

No literature identified.

### Guidelines and Recommendations

2. Prostate cancer - early detection. Plymouth Meeting (PA): National Comprehensive Cancer Network; 2019:

https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/prostate\_detection.pdf. Accessed 2019 Oct 30. (Registration required)

See: MS-14: Risk Calculators

3. Final recommendation statement - prostate cancer: screening. Rockville (MD): U.S. Preventive Services Task Force; 2018:

https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Document/RecommendationState mentFinal/prostate-cancer-screening1. Accessed 2019 Oct 30.

See: Screening



 Mottet N, Bellmunt J, Bolla M, et al. EAU-ESTRO-SIOG guidelines on prostate cancer. Part 1: screening, diagnosis, and local treatment with curative intent. *Eur Urol*. 2017;71(4):618-629.
 <u>PubMed: PM27568654</u>

 Rendon RA, Mason RJ, Marzouk K, et al. Canadian Urological Association recommendations on prostate cancer screening and early diagnosis. *Can Urol Assoc J.* 2017;11(10):298-309. <a href="https://www.cua.org/themes/web/assets/files/4888.pdf">https://www.cua.org/themes/web/assets/files/4888.pdf</a>. Accessed 2019 Oct 30.

See: Prostate risk calculators (pg.304)



# **Appendix** — Further Information

### Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

Alternative Population (Patients with Prostate Cancer)

- Lorent M, Maalmi H, Tessier P, Supiot S, Dantan E, Foucher Y. Meta-analysis of predictive models to assess the clinical validity and utility for patient-centered medical decision making: application to the CAncer of the Prostate Risk Assessment (CAPRA). BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2019;19(1):2.
   PubMed: PM30616621
- Thurtle D, Rossi SH, Berry B, Pharoah P, Gnanapragasam VJ. Models predicting survival to guide treatment decision-making in newly diagnosed primary non-metastatic prostate cancer: a systematic review. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9(6):e029149.
   PubMed: PM31230029

### Alternative Outcome (Diagnostic Accuracy)

 Louie KS, Seigneurin A, Cathcart P, Sasieni P. Do prostate cancer risk models improve the predictive accuracy of PSA screening? A meta-analysis. *Ann Oncol*. 2015;26(5):848-864.
 PubMed: PM25403590

### **Review Articles**

- Hanna B, Ranasinghe W, Lawrentschuk N. Risk stratification and avoiding overtreatment in localized prostate cancer. *Curr Opin Urol.* 2019;29(6):612-619. PubMed: PM31469662
- He BM, Chen R, Sun TQ, et al. Prostate cancer risk prediction models in Eastern Asian populations: current status, racial difference, and future directions. *Asian J Androl*. 2019 Jun 11.

PubMed: PM31187780

 Brawley OW, Thompson IM, Jr., Gronberg H. Evolving recommendations on prostate cancer screening. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. 2016;35:e80-87. PubMed: PM27249774