

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: SUMMARY OF ABSTRACTS

Flexible Dentures for Edentulism: Clinical Effectiveness, Cost-Effectiveness, and Guidelines

Service Line: Rapid Response Service

Version: 1.0

Publication Date: September 18, 2019

Report Length: 7 Pages



Authors: Shannon Hill, Mary-Doug Wright

Cite As: Flexible dentures for edentulism: clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, and guidelines. Ottawa: CADTH; 2019 Sep. (CADTH rapid response report: summary of abstracts).

Disclaimer: The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to requests@cadth.ca



Research Questions

- What is the clinical effectiveness of removable partial or complete flexible dentures for edentulism?
- What is the cost-effectiveness of removable partial and complete flexible dentures for edentulism?
- 3. What are the evidence-based guidelines on the use of removable partial or complete flexible dentures?

Key Findings

Two randomized controlled trials and six non-randomized studies were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of removable partial or complete flexible dentures for edentulism. Additionally, no economic evaluations or evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding removable partial or complete flexible dentures for edentulism.

Methods

A limited literature search was conducted by an information specialist on key resources including PubMed, the Cochrane Library, the University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, the websites of Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. The search strategy was comprised of both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine's MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. The main search concepts were removable partial or complete flexible dentures and people of all ages with partial or complete edentulism. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2009 and August 29, 2019. Internet links were provided, where available.

Selection Criteria

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.



Table 1: Selection Criteria

Population	People of all ages, in any setting, with partial or complete edentulism
Intervention	Flexible, non-metal, non-acrylic partial or complete dentures, using thermoplastic materials, including: - Thermoplastic polycarbonate - Thermoplastic polyamide - Thermoplastic acrylic - Thermoplastic nylon/nylon-like material - Thermoplastic resin
Comparator	Conventional partial or complete dentures, using the following materials: - Acrylic - Acrylic with metal
Outcomes	 Q1: Clinical effectiveness (e.g., wear resistance and longevity, masticatory function, fit and comfort, quality of life, side effects, adverse events) Q2: Cost-effectiveness (e.g., incremental cost per health benefit gained, cost per patient adverse events avoided, cost-minimization) Q3: Guidelines on appropriate use
Study Designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized control trials, non-randomized studies, economic evaluations, evidence-based guidelines.

Results

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, economic evaluations, and evidence-based guidelines.

Two randomized controlled trials^{1,2} and six non-randomized studies³⁻⁸ were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of removable partial or complete flexible dentures for edentulism. No relevant health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, economic evaluations or evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding removable partial or complete flexible dentures for edentulism.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

Overall Summary of Findings

Two randomized controlled trials^{1,2} and six non-randomized studies³⁻⁸ were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of removable partial or complete flexible dentures for edentulism.

The first identified randomized controlled trial (RCT)¹ compared patient preference and satisfaction with thermoplastic resin removable partial dentures and conventional metal clasp-retained removable partial dentures. The authors reported that overall satisfaction, oral appearance, mucosal pain, food impaction, oral comfort and speech score improved for those patients using thermoplastic resin removable partial dentures compared to metal clasp-retained removable partial dentures.¹ The authors concluded that thermoplastic resin removable partial dentures can offer greater satisfaction compared to metal clasp-retained removable partial dentures.¹ The second identified RCT² evaluated maximum bite force by comparing thermoplastic complete dentures to conventional heat-cured acrylic complete



dentures in completely edentulous patients. The authors reported that patients with a thermoplastic denture had a higher biting force than patients with conventional acrylic dentures after six months of denture use.²

The first identified non-randomized study (NRS)³ reported oral health-related quality of life for acrylic versus flexible partial dentures after denture use. The authors reported an improvement in oral health-related quality of life for those patients using flexible partial dentures and concluded that thermoplastic materials are a possible alternative for patient management.³ The second identified NRS⁴ evaluated the clinical and functional parameters of different materials of removable partial dentures. The authors reported that Polyamide VALPLAST material main advantages are aesthetic satisfaction and easiness to insert and remove compared to cobalt-chromium alloy and heat polymerized polymethyl methacrylate material.4 The third identified NRS5 assessed the satisfaction level among patients using different types of removable partial dentures. The authors reported no significant difference in satisfaction levels between metal and acrylic, or acrylic and flexible removable partial dentures.5 The fourth identified NRS6 evaluated and compared chewing efficiency and occlusal forces of thermoplastic (acetal and polyamide) materials and polymethyl methacrylate based materials for removable partial dentures.⁶ Overall, dentures made of polymethyl methacrylate or acetal material showed an increase in chewing efficiency and occlusal force. 6 The fifth identified NRS7 compared cast chromium cobalt alloy and flexible nylon materials for removable partial dentures. The authors concluded that flexible nylon material had higher patient satisfaction and aesthetics compared to cast chromium cobalt alloy.7 The last identified NRS 8 reported that flexible denture material is effective for preventing midline fractures and is well tolerated by patients compared to methyl methacrylate denture material.8

References Summarized

Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

No literature identified.

Randomized Controlled Trials

- Fueki K, Yoshida-Kohno E, Inamochi Y, Wakabayashi N. Patient satisfaction and preference with thermoplastic resin removable partial dentures: a randomised crossover trial. *Journal Prosthodont Res.* 2019 Jun 10. [epub ahead of print]. <u>PubMed: PM31196765</u>
- Fayad MI, Alruwaili HHT, Khan MS, Baig MN. Bite force evaluation in complete denture wearer with different denture base materials: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent*. 2018;8(5):416-419.
 PubMed: PM30430068



Non-Randomized Studies

 Akinyamoju CA, Dosumu OO, Taiwo JO, Ogunrinde TJ. Akinyamoju AO. Oral healthrelated quality of life: acrylic versus flexible partial dentures. *Ghana Med J*. 2019;53(2):163-169

PubMed: PM31481813

 Manzon L, Fratto G, Poli O, Infusino E. Patient and clinical evaluation of traditional metal and polyamide removable partial dentures in an elderly cohort. *J Prosthodont*. 2019 Aug 13. [epub ahead of print].

PubMed: PM31407833

- Aljabri MK, Ibrahim TO, Sharka RM. Removable partial dentures: patient satisfaction and complaints in Makkah City, KSA. J Taibah Univ Med Sci. 2017;12(6):561-564. <u>PubMed: PM31435295</u>
- Macura-Karbownik A, Chladek G, Zmudzki J, Kasperski J. Chewing efficiency and occlusal forces in PMMA, acetal and polyamide removable partial denture wearers. *Acta Bioeng Biomech.* 2016;18(1):137-144.

PubMed: PM27150898

- Hundal M, Madan R. Comparative clinical evaluation of removable partial dentures made of two different materials in Kennedy Applegate class II partially edentulous situation. *Med J Armed Forces India*. 2015;71(Suppl 2):S306-312. PubMed: PM26843744
- Dhiman RK, Chowdhury SR. Midline fractures in single maxillary complete acrylic vs flexible dentures. *Med J Armed Forces India*. 2009;65(2):141-145.
 <u>PubMed: PM27408221</u>

Economic Evaluations

No literature identified.

Guidelines and Recommendations

No literature identified.



Appendix — Further Information

Systematic Reviews – Alternative Population

 Sultan M, Aboul Ela A, Salloum M. Impact of thermoplastic acrylic denture base versus conventional base on the patient satisfaction in implant supported mandibular overdenture: a systematic review. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*. 2016;9(45).

http://www.indjst.org/index.php/indjst/article/view/98518/75564

Literature Reviews

- Bogucki ZA, Kownacka M. Elastic dental prostheses alternative solutions for patients using acrylic prostheses: literature review. Adv Clin Exp Med. 2018;27(10):1441-1445. PubMed: PM30063127
- 11. Vojdani M, Giti R. Polyamide as a denture base material: a literature review. *J Dent* (*Shiraz*). 2015;16(1 Suppl):1-9. PubMed: PM26106628

Additional References

Position Papers

 Fueki K, Ohkubo C, Yatabe M, et al. Clinical application of removable partial dentures using thermoplastic resin. Part II: material properties and clinical features of non-metal clasp dentures. *J Prosthodont Res.* 2014;58(2):71-84.
 PubMed: PM24746524