

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: REFERENCE LIST

# Intravenous Fentanyl Patient Controlled Analgesia for Patients in Labour: Clinical Effectiveness and Guidelines

Service Line: Rapid Response Service  
Version: 1.0  
Publication Date: September 14, 2020  
Report Length: 5 Pages

**Authors:** Holly Gunn, Charlene Argáez

**Cite As:** *Intravenous Fentanyl Patient Controlled Analgesia for Patients in Labour: Clinical Effectiveness and Guidelines*. Ottawa: CADTH; 2020 Sep. (CADTH rapid response report: reference list).

**Disclaimer:** The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

**About CADTH:** CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

**Funding:** CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to [requests@cadth.ca](mailto:requests@cadth.ca)

## Research Questions

1. What is the comparative clinical effectiveness of intravenous fentanyl delivered via patient-controlled analgesia versus administration by health care provider for patients in labour?
2. What are the evidence-based guidelines regarding intravenous fentanyl delivered via patient-controlled analgesia for patients in labour?

## Key Findings

No relevant literature was identified regarding the comparative clinical effectiveness of intravenous fentanyl delivered via patient-controlled analgesia versus administration by health care provider for patients in labour. Additionally, no relevant evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding intravenous fentanyl delivered via patient-controlled analgesia for patients in labour.

## Methods

### Literature Search Methods

A limited literature search was conducted by an information specialist on key resources including PubMed, the Cochrane Library, the University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, the websites of Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused internet search. The search strategy was comprised of both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine's MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. The main search concepts were patient-controlled fentanyl and labour (childbirth). No filters were applied to limit the results by study type. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2010 and September 8, 2020. Internet links are provided, where available.

### Selection Criteria

One reviewer screened literature search results (titles and abstracts) and selected publications according to the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1. Full texts of study publications were not reviewed. Open access full-text versions of evidence-based guidelines were reviewed when abstracts were not available.

**Table 1: Selection Criteria**

<b>Population</b>	Patients, of any age and at any gestation, in labour
<b>Intervention</b>	Intravenous fentanyl delivered via patient-controlled analgesia
<b>Comparator</b>	Q1: Intravenous fentanyl administered by health care provider Q2: Not applicable
<b>Outcomes</b>	Q1: Clinical effectiveness (e.g., maternal pain score, level of sedation, neonatal Apgar score) Safety (e.g., maternal and neonatal adverse events, such as respiratory depression, changes in heart rate and oxygen saturation; need for neonatal resuscitation and/or naloxone at delivery) Q2: Recommendations regarding the use of intravenous fentanyl delivered via patient-controlled analgesia in labour; recommendations regarding the use of pre-printed orders for intravenous fentanyl delivered via patient-controlled analgesia in labour
<b>Study Designs</b>	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, evidence-based guidelines

## Results

No relevant health technology assessments, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials or non-randomized studies were identified regarding the comparative clinical effectiveness of intravenous fentanyl delivered via patient-controlled analgesia versus administration by health care provider for patients in labour. Furthermore, no relevant evidence-based guidelines were identified regarding intravenous fentanyl delivered via patient-controlled analgesia for patients in labour.

References of potential interest that did not meet the inclusion criteria are provided in the appendix.

### Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

### Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

No literature identified.

### Randomized Controlled Trials

No literature identified.

### Non-Randomized Studies

No literature identified.

### Guidelines and Recommendations

No literature identified.

## Appendix — Further Information

### Previous CADTH Report

1. Use of Fentanyl and continuous electronic fetal monitoring in labour and delivery: a review of clinical effectiveness, safety, and clinical practice guidelines [*CADTH rapid response report: summary with critical appraisal*]. Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2011 Jan: [https://cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/jan-2011/L2039\\_Fentanyl\\_draft\\_final.pdf](https://cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/jan-2011/L2039_Fentanyl_draft_final.pdf) Accessed 2020 Sep 11.

### Systematic Reviews & Meta-Analyses – Unclear Comparator

2. Smith LA, Burns E, Cuthbert A. Parenteral opioids for maternal pain management in labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018 Jun 5;6(6):Cd007396.  
[PubMed: PM29870574](#)

### Randomized Controlled Studies – Alternative Comparator

3. Smith RL, Siddiqui N, Henderson T, Teresi J, Downey K, Carvalho JC. Analgesia for medically induced second trimester termination of pregnancy: a randomized trial. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. 2016 Feb;38(2):147-153.  
[PubMed: PM27032739](#)
4. Douma MR, Verwey RA, Kam-Endtz CE, van der Linden PD, Stienstra R. Obstetric analgesia: a comparison of patient-controlled meperidine, remifentanyl, and fentanyl in labour. *Br J Anaesth*. 2010 Feb;104(2):209-215.  
[PubMed: PM20008859](#)

### Non-Randomized Studies – Alternative Comparator

5. Miyakoshi K, Tanaka M, Morisaki H, et al. Perinatal outcomes: intravenous patient-controlled fentanyl versus no analgesia in labor. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*. 2013 Apr;39(4):783-789.  
[PubMed: PM23167696](#)
6. Hosokawa Y, Morisaki H, Nakatsuka I, et al. Retrospective evaluation of intravenous fentanyl patient-controlled analgesia during labor. *J Anesth*. 2012 Apr;26(2):219-224.  
[PubMed: PM22120170](#)
7. Marwah R, Hassan S, Carvalho JC, Balki M. Remifentanyl versus fentanyl for intravenous patient-controlled labour analgesia: an observational study. *Can J Anaesth*. 2012 Mar;59(3):246-254.  
[PubMed: PM22057875](#)

### Review Article

8. Phillips SN, Fernando R, Girard T. Parenteral opioid analgesia: does it still have a role? *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol*. 2017 Mar;31(1):3-14.  
[PubMed: PM28625303](#)